



Nov. 2, 2009

Asia Pacific Center for Theoretical Physics

Time evolution in QM (cf. Sakurai 2.1)

* Time evolution operator

pure state at $t = t_0$ $|\psi(t_0)\rangle$

at later time t $|\psi(t)\rangle$

They should be related by a linear operator $U(t, t_0)$.

$$|\psi(t)\rangle = U(t, t_0) |\psi(t_0)\rangle$$

$U(t, t_0)$ has following 3 properties:

① $U(t_0, t_0) = \mathbb{I}$

② $U(t, t_0)^{-1} = U(t, t_0)^{\dagger}$

unitary to preserve probabilities.

if $\forall R$, no particle $| = \text{Prob}(\text{finding a particle in } V)$
is created or destroyed. $= \text{Prob}(\text{finding a particle in } V \text{ at a later time})$

③ $U(t_2, t_1) U(t_1, t_0) = U(t_2, t_0)$

* like translation, U also forms a unitary group.

* Hamiltonian

consider $t \rightarrow t + \epsilon$ $\epsilon \ll 1$

$$U(t + \epsilon, t) = \mathbb{I} - i\epsilon \mathcal{H}(t) + \dots$$

$$\mathcal{H}(t) \equiv i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} U(t', t) \Big|_{t'=t}$$

↓
this "i" makes $\mathcal{H}$ Hermitian, by $U U^{\dagger} = \mathbb{I} - i\epsilon(\mathcal{H} - \mathcal{H}^{\dagger}) \dots$

Headquarters

Hogil Kim Memorial Building #501, POSTECH, San 31 Hyoja-dong, Namgu
Pohang, Gyeongbuk 790-784, Korea
Tel : +82-54-279-8661~4 Fax : +82-54-279-8679

Branch Office

The Korean Federation of Science and Technology Societies Building 11th floor
635-4 Yoksam-dong, Kangnam-gu, Seoul 135-703, Korea
Tel : +82-2-561-7641~2 Fax : +82-2-561-7140



Asia Pacific Center for Theoretical Physics

operator

- $\Omega(t)$ is regarded as the generator of time translation in QM.

It advances a state from time t to $t+\epsilon$,
and many infinitesimal advances results in finite Δt evolution.

- $\Omega(t)$ is Hermitian, $\leadsto$ some physical observables?

* From the CM Poisson bracket

$$\frac{d}{dt} A = \frac{\partial A}{\partial g} \frac{dg}{dt} + \frac{\partial A}{\partial p} \frac{dp}{dt} + \frac{\partial A}{\partial t} \quad \text{if no explicit time dependence.}$$

$$= \{A, H\}_{\text{poisson}}$$

$$\Rightarrow A(t+\epsilon) \simeq 1A + \epsilon \{H, A\}_p + O(\epsilon^2)$$

$$A(t+\Delta t) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 - \frac{\Delta t}{N} \{H, \} \right)^N A(t)$$

$$= \exp^{-i \Delta t H} A(t)$$

- We guess that $\Omega(t)$ is closely related to the operator that we should define as the QM version Hamiltonian.

$$\hat{H}$$

* Dimensionality

$$[\Omega] = \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right] = \frac{1}{[T]}$$

$$[\text{energy}] = \frac{ML^2}{T^2}$$

$$\frac{ML^2}{T}$$

we need
a converting factor
with this dimensionality

What is it? Not surprisingly
 $\hbar$ again!!

Headquarters

Hogil Kim Memorial Building #501, POSTECH, San 31 Hyoja-dong, Namgu
Pohang, Gyeongbuk 790-784, Korea
Tel : +82-54-279-8661~4 Fax : +82-54-279-8679

Branch Office

The Korean Federation of Science and Technology Societies Building 11th floor
635-4 Yoksam-dong, Kangnam-gu, Seoul 135-703, Korea
Tel : +82-2-561-7641~2 Fax : +82-2-561-7140



Asia Pacific Center for Theoretical Physics

(3)

* So, $\hat{H}(t) = \hbar \Omega(t)$

with this,

$$U(t+\epsilon, t) = \mathbb{1} - \frac{i}{\hbar} \epsilon \hat{H}(t) + \dots$$

- * In CM, H could be time-dependent, e.g., charged particle in an EM wave, -

* Similar question arise when we write

$$\boxed{\vec{p} = \hbar \vec{k}} \quad \& \quad \boxed{\hat{H}(t) = \hbar \Omega(t)}$$

How do we know these two operators share the same $\hbar$?
(photon)

- * 1900's Einstein $\Rightarrow$ light $E = \hbar_1 \omega$, $\vec{p} = \hbar_2 \vec{k}$
by Maxwell's theory $\omega = c|\vec{k}| \Rightarrow \hbar_1 = \hbar_2$

* tested in Compton scattering (1923)

- * Another ~~any~~ reason that these 2 $\hbar$'s must be the same is from Special relativity.

$P^\mu = (E, \vec{p})$. They are equally footing, diff in diff frame
 $\Rightarrow \hbar$
only one

* Differential Equation for Time Evolution

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial U(t, t_0)}{\partial t} &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{U(t+\epsilon, t_0) - U(t, t_0)}{\epsilon} \\ &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{(U(t+\epsilon, t) - \mathbb{1}) U(t, t_0)}{\epsilon} = -\frac{i}{\hbar} \hat{H}(t) U(t, t_0) \end{aligned}$$

Headquarters

Hogil Kim Memorial Building #501, POSTECH, San 31 Hyoja-dong, Namgu
Pohang, Gyeongbuk 790-784, Korea
Tel : +82-54-279-8661~4 Fax : +82-54-279-8679

Branch Office

The Korean Federation of Science and Technology Societies Building 11th floor
635-4 Yoksam-dong, Kangnam-gu, Seoul 135-703, Korea
Tel : +82-2-561-7641~2 Fax : +82-2-561-7140



Asia Pacific Center for Theoretical Physics

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{i\hbar \frac{\partial U(t, t_0)}{\partial t} = \hat{H}(t) U(t, t_0)}$$

giving the initial conditions, the solution is unique.

So,

$$\begin{aligned} i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} |\psi(t)\rangle &= i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (U(t, t_0) |\psi(t_0)\rangle) \\ &= (i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} U(t, t_0)) |\psi(t_0)\rangle \\ &= \hat{H}(t) U(t, t_0) |\psi(t_0)\rangle = \hat{H}(t) |\psi(t)\rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} |\psi(t)\rangle = \hat{H}(t) |\psi(t)\rangle}$$

This is our old friend, the Schrödinger eq.

• If $\hat{H}$ is independent of time, $\frac{\partial \hat{H}}{\partial t} = 0$.

$$\Rightarrow U(t, t_0) = \exp\left(-\frac{i\hat{H}}{\hbar}(t-t_0)\right)$$

by choosing $t_0 = 0$,

$$U(t) = \exp\left(-\frac{i\hat{H}t}{\hbar}\right)$$

• In general, if $\hat{H}$ is time-dependent, no simple solution for $U(t, t_0)$

& $\hat{H}(t)$ does NOT commute with $U(t, t_0)$.

$$\text{In general } [\hat{H}(t), \hat{H}(t')] \neq 0$$

2. The poisson bracket helped Dirac to establish the formal structure of QM on the CM.

$$\frac{1}{i\hbar} [A, B]_{\text{QM}} \rightarrow \{A, B\}_{\text{poisson}}$$

Headquarters

Hogil Kim Memorial Building #501, POSTECH, San 31 Hyoja-dong, Namgu
Pohang, Gyeongbuk 790-784, Korea
Tel: +82-54-279-8661~4 Fax: +82-54-279-8679

See regular

spin has no CM counterpart

Branch Office

The Korean Federation of Science and Technology Societies Building 11th floor
635-4 Yoksam-dong, Kangnam-gu, Seoul 135-703, Korea
Tel: +82-2-561-7641~2 Fax: +82-2-561-7140



Heisenberg picture

- diff by a time dependent unitary transformation
- Up to now, we have used the Schrödinger picture:
 $\Rightarrow$ kets evolve according to the Schrödinger Eq.
 & operators do not evolve!
- But, sometimes, the so called Heisenberg picture is useful.
 $\Rightarrow$ kets do not evolve
 but operators evolve according to the Heisenberg Eq.
- Denote $|\psi_S(t)\rangle = |\psi(t)\rangle$: time-dependent state vector in the Schrödinger picture.
 $|\psi_H\rangle = U(t, t_0)^\dagger |\psi_S(t)\rangle$: state vector in the Heisenberg picture
 $= |\psi_S(t_0)\rangle$

- If the operator $A_S(t) = A(t)$ allow an explicit time dependence

then in the Heisenberg picture

$$A_H(t) = U(t, t_0)^\dagger A_S(t) U(t, t_0)$$

implicit time dependence

no evolution for $|\psi_H\rangle$

$$i\hbar \frac{dA_H}{dt} = -U(t, t_0)^\dagger \hat{H}_S A_S U(t, t_0) + U^\dagger A_S \hat{H}_S U + i\hbar U^\dagger \frac{\partial A_S}{\partial t} U$$

$U U^\dagger = I$

$$\left(\begin{aligned} i\hbar \frac{d}{dt} U(t, t_0) &= \hat{H}_S(t) U(t, t_0) \\ -i\hbar \frac{d}{dt} U(t, t_0)^\dagger &= U(t, t_0)^\dagger \hat{H}_S(t) \end{aligned} \right) \Rightarrow \begin{aligned} &= -(U^\dagger \hat{H}_S U) (U^\dagger A_S U) = [A_H, \hat{H}_H] \\ &+ (U^\dagger A_S U) (U^\dagger \hat{H}_S U) \\ &+ i\hbar U^\dagger \frac{\partial A_S}{\partial t} U \end{aligned}$$

This is to compare with the CM equation:

Headquarters

Hogil Kim Memorial Building #501, POSTECH, San 31 Hyoja-dong, Namgu
Pohang, Gyeongbuk 790-784, Korea
Tel : +82-54-279-8661~4 Fax : +82-54-279-8679

Branch Office

The Korean Federation of Science and Technology Societies Building 11th floor
635-4 Yoksam-dong, Kangnam-gu, Seoul 135-703, Korea
Tel : +82-2-561-7641~2 Fax : +82-2-561-7140



Asia Pacific Center for Theoretical Physics

- All physical observables can be expressed in terms of matrix elements of the form of $\langle \phi | A | \psi \rangle$.

These are the same in both the Heisenberg & Schrödinger picture

$$\langle \phi_S | A_S | \psi_S(t) \rangle = \langle \phi_H | A_H(t) | \psi_H \rangle$$

- If you know one solution, it's easy to ^{transfer it to} ~~get~~ another picture.
in one picture

⇒ The two pictures are physically equivalent.

- For some problem, eg. S.H.O., the Heisenberg picture is easier to use.

- If, in the special case, $\hat{H}_S$ is independent of time, the operator $U(t, t_0)$ is a function of $\hat{H}_S$ and thus $[U, \hat{H}_S] = 0$. then $\hat{H}_H = U^\dagger \hat{H}_S U = \hat{H}_S$

- Another useful picture : interaction picture is usually adopted in dealing with ^{time-dependent} perturbation calculation.

$$\hat{H} = \underbrace{\hat{H}_0}_{\hat{H}_H} + \underbrace{\hat{H}_1}_{\hat{H}_S}$$

free

perturbation

the time evolution of kets due to $\hat{H}_0$ is absorbed into $\hat{H}_0 \rightarrow \hat{H}_H$

and leave the time evolution due to $\hat{H}_S$ to the kets

Headquarters

Hogil Kim Memorial Building #501, POSTECH, San 31 Hyoja-dong, Namgu Pohang, Gyeongbuk 790-784, Korea
Tel : +82-54-279-8661~4 Fax : +82-54-279-8679

Branch Office

The Korean Federation of Science and Technology Societies Building 11th floor 635-4 Yoksam-dong, Kangnam-gu, Seoul 135-703, Korea
Tel : +82-2-561-7641~2 Fax : +82-2-561-7140



* Time evolution of the density operator

Here, we work in the Schrödinger picture ~~picture~~.

and consider the density operator for a discrete ensemble of pure state $|\psi_i\rangle$ with statistical weight f_i .

$\Rightarrow \hat{\rho}$ is a function of time, because $|\psi_i\rangle$ is.

At $t = t_0$

$$\hat{\rho}(t_0) = \sum_i f_i |\psi_i(t_0)\rangle \langle \psi_i(t_0)|$$

and at the final time it is

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\rho}(t) &= \sum_i f_i |\psi_i(t)\rangle \langle \psi_i(t)| = U(t, t_0) \sum_i f_i |\psi_i(t_0)\rangle \langle \psi_i(t_0)| U^\dagger(t, t_0) \\ &= U(t, t_0) \hat{\rho}(t_0) U^\dagger(t, t_0) \end{aligned}$$

Differentiating it with respect to time

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \hat{\rho}(t) = \hat{H} U \hat{\rho}(t_0) U^\dagger - U \hat{\rho}(t_0) U^\dagger \hat{H} = [\hat{H}, \hat{\rho}]$$

$$\left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial t} = -\frac{i}{\hbar} \hat{H} U, \quad \frac{\partial U^\dagger}{\partial t} = \frac{i}{\hbar} U^\dagger \hat{H} \right)$$

$$\boxed{i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \hat{\rho} = [\hat{H}, \hat{\rho}]}$$

$\Rightarrow$ This replaces the Schrödinger eq when we are facing the mixed state.

$$\text{or} \quad \frac{\partial \hat{\rho}}{\partial t} - \frac{i}{\hbar} [\hat{\rho}, \hat{H}] = 0$$

looks like the classical Liouville eq.

also looks like the Heisenberg eq, but totally different.

Headquarters

Hogil Kim Memorial Building #501, POSTECH, San 31 Hyoja-dong, Namgu
Pohang, Gyeongbuk 790-784, Korea
Tel: +82-54-279-8661~4 Fax: +82-54-279-8679

Branch Office

The Korean Federation of Science and Technology Societies Building 11th floor
635-4 Yoksam-dong, Kangnam-gu, Seoul 135-703, Korea
Tel: +82-2-561-7641~2 Fax: +82-2-561-7140