



Nov. 4, 2009

Asia Pacific Center for Theoretical Physics

Problems with ★ Time-independent Hamiltonians

Given the initial state $|\psi(t_0)\rangle$, it is possible to give an explicit solution for a later time in terms of the eigenstates & eigenvalues of the Hamiltonians.

eigenstate & eigenvalues n (a collective labeling, could include many indices.)

$$H|n\rangle = E_n|n\rangle$$

either discrete, continuous, or even mixed spectrum

$$|\psi(t)\rangle = \sum_n c_n(t)|n\rangle$$

$$\Rightarrow i\hbar \frac{d}{dt} c_n(t) = E_n c_n(t)$$

$$\text{or } c_n(t) = e^{-i\frac{E_n t}{\hbar}} c_n(0)$$

$$\Rightarrow |\psi(t)\rangle = \sum_n e^{-i\frac{E_n t}{\hbar}} c_n(0)|n\rangle, \quad c_n(0) = \langle n|\psi(0)\rangle$$

One can use it to expand the time evolution operator

$$U(t) = e^{-i\frac{Ht}{\hbar}} = \sum_n |n\rangle e^{-i\frac{E_n t}{\hbar}} \langle n|$$

* usual procedure: ① find the eigenfunctions of the $\hat{H}$

② compute $c_n(0)$

③ get the $U(t)$

★ If the system is known to be in an ^{energy} eigenstate at $t=0$

$\Rightarrow$ it stays in this state forever!!

just a time-dependent phase $e^{-i\frac{E_n t}{\hbar}}$

$\Rightarrow$ energy eigenstate is called "stationary state".

Headquarters

Hogil Kim Memorial Building #501, POSTECH, San 31 Hyoja-dong, Namgu
Pohang, Gyeongbuk 790-784, Korea
Tel: +82-54-279-8661~4 Fax: +82-54-279-8679

Branch Office

The Korean Federation of Science and Technology Societies Building 11th floor
635-4 Yoksam-dong, Kangnam-gu, Seoul 135-703, Korea
Tel: +82-2-561-7641~2 Fax: +82-2-561-7140



Asia Pacific Center for Theoretical Physics

Particle in a potential

Hamiltonian is the time evolution generator, but what is it?

particle mass m , in a potential $V(x, t)$

In Newton's mechanics

$$m\vec{a} = -\vec{\nabla} V(x, t)$$

& in CM,

$$H = \frac{p^2}{2m} + V(x, t)$$

To go to QM, we promote the $\hat{x}$ and $\hat{p}$ to operators.
can work on the position eigenkets $|x\rangle$ isomorphic to W.F. $\psi(x)$

$$[\hat{x}_i, \hat{p}_j] = i\hbar \delta_{ij}, \quad [\hat{x}_i, \hat{x}_j] = [\hat{p}_i, \hat{p}_j] = 0$$

QM $\xrightarrow{\hbar \rightarrow 0}$ CM. so we need to guess what $\hat{H}$ is.

only experiment tells the final say. (maybe with the help of CM)

However, since $QM \supset CM$, there is no logical deduction to obtain QM from CM.

(N -particle, mass m_i , position x_i , and momentum p_i)

$$H_{CM} = \sum_i \frac{p_i^2}{2m_i} + V(x_1, \dots, x_N)$$

Schrödinger Eq. $\psi(x, t) = \langle x | \psi(t) \rangle$

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 \psi(x, t) + V(x, t) \psi(x, t) = i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi(x, t)}{\partial t}$$

$$\langle x | i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} | \psi(t) \rangle = \langle x | \hat{H}(t) | \psi(t) \rangle$$

$$\text{and } \langle x | p^n | \psi \rangle = (-i\hbar)^n \frac{\partial^n}{\partial x^n} \langle x | \psi \rangle$$

Headquarters

Hogil Kim Memorial Building #501, POSTECH, San 31 Hyoja-dong, Namgu
Pohang, Gyeongbuk 790-784, Korea
Tel : +82-54-279-8661~4 Fax : +82-54-279-8679

Branch Office

The Korean Federation of Science and Technology Societies Building 11* floor
635-4 Yoksam-dong, Kangnam-gu, Seoul 135-703, Korea
Tel : +82-2-561-7641~2 Fax : +82-2-561-7140



Asia Pacific Center for Theoretical Physics

If the $V(x,t) = V(x)$, we often wish to find $\psi_n(x) = \langle x | n \rangle$
the eigenfunction

$$\left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 + V(x) \right] \psi_n(x) = E_n \psi_n(x)$$

In the momentum space, if $V(x,t) = V(x)$
just a multiplicative operator

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\vec{p}^2}{2m} \phi_n(\vec{p}) + \int d\vec{p}' \tilde{V}(\vec{p}-\vec{p}') \phi_n(\vec{p}') = E_n \phi_n(\vec{p})$$

where $\phi_n(\vec{p}) = \langle \vec{p} | n \rangle$ becomes an integral operator

$$\text{and } \tilde{V}(\vec{p}) = \int \frac{d^3x}{(2\pi\hbar)^3} e^{-i\frac{\vec{p}\cdot\vec{x}}{\hbar}} V(\vec{x})$$

Probability density & current

consider a single particle moving in a 3-D potential V .

$$\rho(x,t) \equiv |\psi(x,t)|^2 \quad \text{density: probability density}$$

may be time dependent, but

finding the particle at $\vec{x}$ and time t

$$\int d^3x \rho(\vec{x},t) = \int d^3x |\psi(\vec{x},t)|^2 = \int d^3x \langle \psi(t) | \vec{x} \rangle \langle \vec{x} | \psi(t) \rangle$$

$$= \langle \psi(t) | \psi(t) \rangle = 1 \quad \text{is always hold.}$$

due to the unitarity of $U(t,t_0)$

Associated with $\rho(x,t)$, is the probability current $\vec{j}(x,t)$

$$\text{such that } \nabla \cdot \vec{j} + \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = 0$$

$\vec{j}$ is usually expressed in terms of the velocity operator

Headquarters

Hogil Kim Memorial Building #501, POSTECH, San 31 Hyoja-dong, Namgu
Pohang, Gyeongbuk 790-784, Korea
Tel : +82-54-279-8661~4 Fax : +82-54-279-8679

Branch Office

The Korean Federation of Science and Technology Societies Building 11* floor
635-4 Yoksam-dong, Kangnam-gu, Seoul 135-703, Korea
Tel : +82-2-561-7641~2 Fax : +82-2-561-7140



Asia Pacific Center for Theoretical Physics

In the position representation

$$\vec{V} = \frac{1}{m} \vec{p} = -\frac{i\hbar}{m} \vec{\nabla}$$

$$\Rightarrow \vec{J}(\vec{x}, t) = \frac{1}{2} \left[\psi^*(\vec{x}, t) \vec{V} \psi(\vec{x}, t) + \psi(\vec{x}, t) \vec{V}^* \psi^*(\vec{x}, t) \right]$$

$$\begin{aligned} \psi^* \left(-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 \psi + V \psi \right) &= i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi \\ - \psi \left(-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 \psi^* + V \psi^* \right) &= -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi^* \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} (\psi^* \nabla^2 \psi - \psi \nabla^2 \psi^*) + (\psi^* V \psi - \psi V \psi^*) &= i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\psi^* \psi) \\ &\quad \text{" because } V \text{ is real} \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow -\frac{\hbar}{2m} (\psi^* \vec{\nabla}_i \cdot \vec{\nabla}_i \psi - \psi \vec{\nabla}_i \cdot \vec{\nabla}_i \psi^*) = i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\psi^* \psi)$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{-\hbar}{2m} \vec{\nabla}_i (\psi^* \vec{\nabla}_i \psi - \psi \vec{\nabla}_i \psi^*) = i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\psi^* \psi)$$

$$\Rightarrow -\frac{\hbar}{2m} \nabla \cdot (\psi^* \vec{\nabla} \psi - \psi \vec{\nabla} \psi^*) = i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\psi^* \psi)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\psi^* \psi) - \frac{i\hbar}{2m} \nabla \cdot (\psi^* \vec{\nabla} \psi - \psi \vec{\nabla} \psi^*) &= 0 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \nabla \cdot (\psi^* \vec{V} \psi - \psi \vec{V} \psi^*) \end{aligned}$$

* Note, if $\psi(\vec{x}, t)$ is an energy eigenfunction $\psi_n(\vec{x}) e^{-i\frac{E_n t}{\hbar}}$

$$\Rightarrow \vec{J} = 0, \quad \rho = |\psi_n(\vec{x})|^2 \text{ is a constant,}$$

* single particle in 3D, $\vec{J}$ is a 3-vector.

Headquarters

Hogil Kim Memorial Building #501, POSTECH, San 31 Hyoja-dong, Namgu
Pohang, Gyeongbuk 790-784, Korea
Tel : +82-54-279-8661~4 Fax : +82-54-279-8679

Branch Office

The Korean Federation of Science and Technology Societies Building 11th floor
635-4 Yoksam-dong, Kangnam-gu, Seoul 135-703, Korea
Tel : +82-2-561-7641~2 Fax : +82-2-561-7140



Asia Pacific Center for Theoretical Physics

Physical meaning of ρ & $\vec{j}$

ρ is clear based on the postulates of QM.

Note: we should not think that the particle in an individual system has been smeared around in space.

For a charged particle, we never get a fractional charge!!

If we multiply both ρ & $\vec{j}$ by the charge of the particle.

$$\rho_c = q\rho, \quad \vec{j}_c = q\vec{j}$$

$$\text{then } \nabla \cdot \vec{j}_c + \frac{\partial \rho_c}{\partial t} = 0 \Rightarrow \text{conservation of charge.}$$

or Statistical interpretation, dipole field of H-atom $\rightarrow$ Gauss law electric field.

If we write
$$\psi(x,t) = \sqrt{\rho(x,t)} \exp\left(\frac{iS(x,t)}{\hbar}\right)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{then } \vec{j} &= \frac{\hbar}{2im} \left(\psi^* \frac{-i\hbar}{m} \vec{\nabla} (\sqrt{\rho} e^{\frac{iS}{\hbar}}) - \psi \left(\frac{-i\hbar}{m} \vec{\nabla} (\sqrt{\rho} e^{-\frac{iS}{\hbar}}) \right) \right) \\ &= \frac{-i\hbar}{2m} \left(\sqrt{\rho} e^{\frac{iS}{\hbar}} \left(\frac{\vec{\nabla} \rho}{2\sqrt{\rho}} e^{\frac{iS}{\hbar}} + \sqrt{\rho} e^{\frac{iS}{\hbar}} \frac{i}{\hbar} \vec{\nabla} S \right) - \sqrt{\rho} e^{-\frac{iS}{\hbar}} \left(\frac{\vec{\nabla} \rho}{2\sqrt{\rho}} e^{-\frac{iS}{\hbar}} + \sqrt{\rho} e^{-\frac{iS}{\hbar}} \frac{-i}{\hbar} \vec{\nabla} S \right) \right) \\ &= \frac{\rho}{m} \vec{\nabla} S \end{aligned}$$

direction of $\vec{j}$ is normal to the surface of a const phase.
& the stronger the phase variation the more intense flux,

Headquarters

Hogil Kim Memorial Building #501, POSTECH, San 31 Hyoja-dong, Namgu
Pohang, Gyeongbuk 790-784, Korea
Tel: +82-54-279-8661~4 Fax: +82-54-279-8679

Branch Office

The Korean Federation of Science and Technology Societies Building 11th floor
635-4 Yoksam-dong, Kangnam-gu, Seoul 135-703, Korea
Tel: +82-2-561-7641~2 Fax: +82-2-561-7140



Classical limit

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 (\sqrt{\rho} e^{\frac{iS}{\hbar}}) + V \sqrt{\rho} e^{\frac{iS}{\hbar}} = i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\sqrt{\rho} e^{\frac{iS}{\hbar}}) \\
 & -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{\vec{\nabla} \rho}{2\sqrt{\rho}} e^{\frac{iS}{\hbar}} + \frac{i}{\hbar} \sqrt{\rho} e^{\frac{iS}{\hbar}} \vec{\nabla} S \right) + i\hbar \left(\frac{\partial \rho}{2\sqrt{\rho}} e^{\frac{iS}{\hbar}} + \frac{i}{\hbar} \sqrt{\rho} e^{\frac{iS}{\hbar}} \frac{\partial S}{\partial t} \right) \\
 & = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \left(\frac{\nabla^2 \rho}{2\sqrt{\rho}} e^{\frac{iS}{\hbar}} - \frac{(\vec{\nabla} \rho)^2}{4\rho^{\frac{3}{2}}} e^{\frac{iS}{\hbar}} + \frac{i}{\hbar} \frac{\vec{\nabla} \rho \cdot \vec{\nabla} S}{2\sqrt{\rho}} \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + \frac{i}{\hbar} \frac{\vec{\nabla} \rho \cdot \vec{\nabla} S}{2\sqrt{\rho}} e^{\frac{iS}{\hbar}} - \frac{1}{\hbar^2} \sqrt{\rho} e^{\frac{iS}{\hbar}} (\vec{\nabla} S)^2 + \frac{i}{\hbar} \sqrt{\rho} e^{\frac{iS}{\hbar}} \nabla^2 S \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

In the $\hbar \rightarrow 0$ limit
We drop everything associated with $\hbar$;

$$\Rightarrow + \frac{\sqrt{\rho}}{2m} e^{\frac{iS}{\hbar}} (\vec{\nabla} S)^2 + V \sqrt{\rho} e^{\frac{iS}{\hbar}} = -\sqrt{\rho} e^{\frac{iS}{\hbar}} \frac{\partial S}{\partial t}$$

$$\text{or } \boxed{\frac{(\vec{\nabla} S)^2}{2m} + V + \frac{\partial S}{\partial t} = 0}$$

This is exactly the Hamilton-Jacobi equation in CM!!

$$\left(\text{recall that, in CM, } p_i = \frac{\partial S}{\partial \vec{q}_i}, \quad E = -\frac{\partial S}{\partial t} \right)$$

More about this will be discussed in the WKB section.

Headquarters

Hogil Kim Memorial Building #501, POSTECH, San 31 Hyoja-dong, Namgu
Pohang, Gyeongbuk 790-784, Korea
Tel: +82-54-279-8661~4 Fax: +82-54-279-8679

Branch Office

The Korean Federation of Science and Technology Societies Building 11th floor
635-4 Yoksam-dong, Kangnam-gu, Seoul 135-703, Korea
Tel: +82-2-561-7641~2 Fax: +82-2-561-7140