Ultrabright backward-wave biphoton source
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We calculate the properties of a biphoton source based on resonant backward-wave spontaneous parametric down conversion. We show that the biphotons are generated in a single longitudinal mode having a subnatural linewidth and a Glauber correlation time exceeding 65 ns.
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The parametric interaction of electromagnetic waves where the signal and idler propagate in opposite directions was first suggested by Harris [1] and has now been extensively studied [2–5]. Its special feature is, that because of the internal feedback provided by the backward wave, the interaction becomes temporally unstable at a finite crystal length. For many decades the experimental challenge has been the lack of an appropriate nonlinear material for phase matching. The breakthrough came recently where, by extending the techniques of quasiphase matching [6] to submicron periodicity [7,8], Canalias and Pasiskevicius demonstrated a mirrorless optical parametric oscillator [9].

In this paper we suggest and calculate the properties of a parametric down converter where, because the parametric interaction is of the backward-wave type, the linewidth for parametric gain and spontaneous emission is about 40 times narrower than for a forward-wave interaction. By placing the nonlinear crystal within a resonant cavity, the counterpropagating signal and idler photons are generated in a single longitudinal mode with a linewidth that is less than that of typical radiative transitions and have a Glauber correlation time greater than 65 ns.

Biphoton sources play a central role in applications of quantum information processing such as linear optical quantum computation (LOQC) [10] and long distance quantum communication [11]. Biphotons of subnatural linewidth and long correlation times are particularly desirable for these applications. In part, this is because the subnatural linewidth allows photon entanglement to be stored in atomic ensemble memories [12]. This is essential for efficient generation of multiphoton entanglement in LOQC [13,14] as well for applications involving quantum repeaters [15]. The long correlation time also allows interference of independent photon sources, a key element for producing multiphoton entanglement without the need for synchronization [16].

The most widely used source of biphotons is forward-wave spontaneous parametric down conversion (SPDC) in nonlinear crystals, wherein a pump photon splits into two copropagating photons of lower frequencies. However, the loose constraint of phase matching results in linewidths that are typically on the order of THz and are too broad to efficiently interact with atoms. Passive filtering with narrowband filters can be employed to reduce the linewidth but, at the same time, decrease the biphoton generation rate. Forward-wave SPDC with active filtering has been demonstrated by resonating the signal and idler fields with an optical cavity [17]. However, because of the broad gain linewidth, multiple cavity modes are resonated simultaneously. Additional spectral filtering, such as an etalon locked to the resonant cavity is thus necessary for obtaining a single-mode output. Narrowband biphotons may also be generated in cold atoms by using the techniques of cavity quantum electrodynamics [18] or of electromagnetically induced transparency [19].

We develop the theory in the Heisenberg picture. We assume the pump is a monochromatic classical field at frequency ωp and take the signal and idler frequencies as ωi = ω and ωj = ωp − ω. In the absence of a cavity [Fig. 1(a)], the output of the backward-wave SPDC may be described by the frequency domain operators $a_i(ω,z) = b_i(ω,z) \exp [i k_i(ω) z]$ and $a_j(ω_i,ω_j) = b_j(ω_i,ω_j) \exp [i k_j(ω_i,ω_j) z]$, where the operators $b_i(ω,z) and b_j(ω_i,ω_j)$ vary slowly with distance $z$. The coupled equations for $b_i(ω,z)$ and $b_j(ω_i,ω_j)$ are

\[
\frac{db_i(ω,z)}{dz} = i \kappa b_i(ω,z) \exp [i \Delta k(ω) z],
\]

\[
\frac{db_j(ω_i,ω_j)}{dz} = i \kappa b_j(ω_i,ω_j) \exp [−i \Delta k(ω) z],
\]

where $\kappa$ is the coupling constant. With $L$ denoting the crystal length, the quantities $a_i(ω,L)$ and $a_j^\dagger(ω_i,0)$ may then be expressed in terms of the vacuum fields at the input of the crystal, $a_i(ω,0)$ and $a_j^\dagger(ω_i,0)$,

\[
a_i(ω,L) = A(ω)a_i(ω,0) + B(ω)a_j^\dagger(ω_i,L),
\]

\[
a_j^\dagger(ω_i,0) = C(ω)a_i(ω,0) + D(ω)a_j^\dagger(ω_i,0).
\]

The spectral power density [20] at the signal frequency is $S(ω) = ∫_{−∞}^{∞} ⟨a_j^\dagger(ω)a_i(ω')⟩ \exp [i(ω−ω')t] dω'$. Noting the commutators $[a_j(ω_i,0),a_i^\dagger(ω_2,0)] = [a_j(ω_i,L),a_i^\dagger(ω_2,L)] = \frac{1}{2\pi} δ_{jk} δ(ω_1 − ω_2),$

\[
S(ω) = \frac{1}{2\pi} |B(ω)|^2.
\]
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If the gain is small, the coefficients in Eq. (2) are given by $A(\omega) = \exp[ik_{s}(\omega)L]$, $D(\omega) = \exp[ik_{i}(\omega)L]$, $C(\omega) = B'(\omega)\exp[i(k_{s}(\omega) + k_{i}(\omega))]L$, and

$$B(\omega) = i\kappa L \text{ sinc} \left[ \frac{\Delta k(\omega)L}{2} \right] \times \exp \left[ i \left( \frac{\Delta k(\omega)}{2} + k_{s}(\omega) + k_{i}(\omega) \right) L \right].$$ \hspace{1cm} (4)

The spectral power density at the signal frequency is then $S(\omega) = \frac{1}{\kappa^2}k^2L^2\text{sinc}^{-1}\Delta k(\omega)L/2$, where the $k$-vector mismatch $\Delta k(\omega) \approx (v_{i}^{-1} - v_{i}^{-1})\Delta \omega$, with $v_{i} = \partial \omega/\partial k_{s}(\omega)$ and $v_{1} = \partial \omega/\partial k_{i}(\omega)$ denoting the group velocities at the signal and idler frequencies and $\Delta \omega$ equal to the detuning of the signal frequency from line center. The gain linewidth for a backward-wave interaction is thus $\Delta \omega G \approx 1.77\pi/|v_{i}^{-1} - v_{i}^{-1}|L$.

If we assume a 3-cm-long periodically poled titanium phosphate (KTP) crystal pumped by a 532 nm laser and quasi-phase matched at the degenerate frequency, then $\Delta \omega G \equiv 2\pi \times 0.08 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ or $2\pi \times 2.4 \text{ GHz}$. As compared to a forward-wave interaction in which $\Delta k(\omega) \approx (v_{i}^{-1} - v_{i}^{-1})\Delta \omega$, the gain linewidth for a backward-wave interaction in a crystal of equal length is reduced by a factor of $(v_{i}^{-1} + v_{i}^{-1})/(v_{i}^{-1} - v_{i}^{-1}) \approx 38$ (Fig. 2).

We next consider the case where a nonlinear generating crystal of length $L$ is placed inside a resonant cavity of the same length [Fig. 1(b)]. We assume that only a single pair of signal and idler fields coincide with the $q$th and $r$th cavity modes. (This single-mode assumption will be justified below.) For this cavity case it is convenient to describe the quantum fields by time domain operators $a_{s}(t,z) = b_{s}(t) \exp(-i\Omega_{s} t)\sin(q\pi z/L)$ and $a_{i}(t,z) = b_{i}(t) \exp(-i\Omega_{i} t)\sin(r\pi z/L)$, where $b_{s}(t)$ and $b_{i}(t)$ are the fields incident on the resonant cavity and vary slowly with time, $\Omega_{s}$ and $\Omega_{i}$ are the cold cavity frequencies. The coupled equations for the slowly varying operators are

$$\frac{\partial b_{s}(t)}{\partial t} + \frac{\Gamma_{s}}{2}b_{s}(t) = -i\kappa_{1}b_{i}(t) + \sqrt{\gamma_{s}}b_{s}^{\text{in}}(t),$$

FIG. 1. (Color online) (a) Schematic of backward-wave spontaneous parametric down conversion (SPDC). The operators $a_{s}$ and $a_{i}$ denote the signal and idler fields. (b) Schematic of backward-wave SPDC within a resonant cavity. The operators $a_{s}^{\text{in}}$ and $a_{i}^{\text{in}}$ denote the incident fields and output fields, respectively.

where the envelope quantities $b_{s}^{\text{in}}(t)$ and $b_{i}^{\text{in}}(t)$ are the fields incident on the resonant cavity, and $\Gamma_{s}$ and $\Gamma_{i}$ are the total cavity decay rates. With $E_{p}$ as the electric field strength of the pump, and with a $k$-vector mismatch $\Delta k' = k_{p} - q\pi/L - r\pi/L$, the coupling constant $\kappa_{1} = 1/2de_{53}^{2}c^{2}n_{e}(n_{s}n_{i})^{1/2}E_{p}\exp(i\Delta k' L/2)\text{sinc}(\Delta k'L/2)$. With $\gamma_{s}$ and $\gamma_{i}$ denoting the output coupling rates, the slowly varying output fields $b_{s}^{\text{out}}(t)$ and $b_{i}^{\text{out}}(t)$ are

$$b_{s}^{\text{out}}(t) = \sqrt{\gamma_{s}}b_{s}(t) - b_{s}^{\text{in}}(t),$$

$\frac{\partial b_{i}(t)}{\partial t} + \frac{\Gamma_{i}}{2}b_{i}(t) = i\kappa_{1}b_{s}(t) + \sqrt{\gamma_{i}}b_{i}^{\text{in}}(t),$ \hspace{1cm} (5)

and

$$b_{i}^{\text{out}}(t) = \sqrt{\gamma_{i}}b_{i}(t) - b_{i}^{\text{in}}(t).$$ \hspace{1cm} (6)

We solve for the output fields by transforming the coupled equations into the frequency domain with the Fourier pair $b(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} b(\omega')\exp(-i\omega't)d\omega'$ and $b(\omega') = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} b(t)\exp(i\omega't)dt$. The slowly varying quantities are then converted to fast-varying analytic signals (nonzero for positive frequencies) by $a(\omega_{s,i}) = b(\omega_{s,i} - \Omega_{s,r})$ and $a^{\dagger}(\omega_{s,i}) = b^{\dagger}(\omega_{s,i} + \Omega_{s,r})$. The output fields $a_{s}^{\text{in}}(\omega)$ and $a_{i}^{\text{in}}(-\omega_{s})$ may be written in terms of the incident fields $a_{s}^{\text{in}}(\omega)$ and $a_{i}^{\text{in}}(-\omega_{s})$.

$$a_{s}^{\text{out}}(\omega) = A_{1}(\omega)a_{s}^{\text{in}}(\omega) + B_{1}(\omega)a_{i}^{\text{in}}(-\omega_{s}),$$

$\frac{\partial b_{i}(t)}{\partial t} + \frac{\Gamma_{i}}{2}b_{i}(t) = -i\kappa_{1}b_{s}(t) + \sqrt{\gamma_{i}}b_{i}^{\text{in}}(t),$ \hspace{1cm} (7)

where, for small gain, the coefficients are

$$A_{1}(\omega) = \frac{\gamma_{s} - \Gamma_{s}/2 + i(\omega - \Omega_{s})}{\Gamma_{s}/2 - i(\omega - \Omega_{s})},$$

$B_{1}(\omega) = \frac{-i\kappa_{1}\sqrt{\gamma_{s}}}{[\Gamma_{s}/2 + i(\omega - \Omega_{s})][\Gamma_{s}/2 + i(\omega - \Omega_{s})]}.$

FIG. 2. (Color online) The solid (dashed) curve represents the spectral power density of the backward-(forward-) wave spontaneous parametric down conversion at the signal frequency. The vertical (red) lines denote the signal frequencies of adjacent mode pairs of a resonant cavity that are separated by the cluster spacing $\Delta \Omega_{C}$. The central vertical line is taken at the degenerate frequency.
and, for a lossless cavity, are related by unitary conditions.

We use Eqs. (7) and (8) to derive the spectral and temporal properties of the biphotons. Noting the commutator, 
\[ \{a^{\dagger n}(\omega_1), a^{\dagger m}(\omega_2)\} = [a^{\dagger n}(\omega_1), a^{\dagger m}(\omega_2)] = \frac{2\pi}{\omega} \delta(\omega_1 - \omega_2), \]
the spectral power density at the signal frequency is [Eq. (3)]
\[ S_1(\omega) = \frac{8\gamma_s \gamma_i k_1^2}{\pi [4(\omega - \Omega_q)^2 + \Gamma_i^2] [4(\omega - \Omega_i)^2 + \Gamma_i^2]} \] (9)

For exact phase matching (\(\Delta k = 0\)), the biphoton linewidth is \(\Delta \omega = [(\Gamma_s^2 + 6\Gamma_s^2 \Gamma_i^2 + \Gamma_i^2)/2]^{1/2}\), and the total paired count rate is
\[ R_1 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |B_1(\omega)'^2| d\omega' = \frac{4\gamma_s \gamma_i k_1^2}{\pi \Gamma_i (\Gamma_s + \Gamma_i)}. \] (10)

The Glauber two-photon correlation function is 
\[ G^{(2)}(t_t, t_i) = \langle \{a_{\text{out}}(t_t) a_{\text{out}}(t_i) a_{\text{out}}^{\dagger}(t_t) a_{\text{out}}^{\dagger}(t_i)\} \rangle, \]
where \(t_t\) and \(t_i\) are the arrival times of the signal and idler photons, respectively. Defining the time delay \(\tau = t_t - t_i\), the time domain Glauber correlation function may be written as [20]
\[ G^{(2)}(\tau) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} A_1(\omega') C_1(\omega') e^{i\omega' \tau} d\omega' + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |B_1(\omega')|^2 d\omega'. \] (11)

The second term in Eq. (11) is independent of \(\tau\) and results from accidental two-photon events. To the extent that the generation rate of biphotons is small compared to the inverse of the temporal length of the biphoton, this term may be neglected. The first term in Eq. (11) then evaluates to
\[ G^{(2)}(\tau) = \frac{4\gamma_s \gamma_i k_1^2}{\pi \Gamma_i (\Gamma_s + \Gamma_i)} \times \begin{cases} e^{-\gamma_s \tau}, & \tau < 0 \\ e^{-\gamma_i \tau}, & \tau > 0 \end{cases}. \] (12)

The asymmetry in \(\tau\) in Eq. (12) is due to the order of detection of the signal and idler photons. The photon arriving at the detectors earlier in time triggers the correlation measurement. The shape of \(G^{(2)}(\tau)\) is thus determined by the photon arrival time.

For example, when the idler photon arrives first so that \(t_i > t_t\) and \(\tau < 0\), \(G^{(2)}(\tau)\) is determined by the cavity decay rate of the signal photons. The Glauber correlation time (full width at half maximum) is then
\[ T_c = \ln(2) (1/\Gamma_s + 1/\Gamma_i) \]
and the coherence time [21] is \(1/\Gamma_s + 1/\Gamma_i\). With \(\Delta_{s,i}\) and \(r_{s,i}\) denoting the spacing of the cavity modes and the mirror reflectivity, respectively, the output coupling rate is \(\gamma_{s,i} = \Delta_{s,i}(1 - r_{s,i})\). With \(\xi_{s,i}\) defined as the single-pass power loss in the crystal, the total cavity decay rates are \(\Gamma_{s,i} = 2\xi_{s,i} \Delta_{s,i} + \gamma_{s,i}\).

To justify the validity of the single-mode assumption, we compare the cluster spacing \(\Delta \Omega_{s,i}\), that is, the frequency separation between two pairs of signal and idler cavity modes satisfying energy conservation, to the gain linewidth \(\Delta \omega_G\) of the backward-wave SPDC. The cluster spacing can be obtained by solving
\[ M(\omega) \Delta \Omega_{s,i}^2 + N(\omega) \Delta \Omega_{s,i} = \pm 1 \]
with \(M(\omega) = [L/(2\pi \tau)] [2(\gamma_s(\omega) + \gamma_i(\omega)) + \omega_s \gamma_i(\omega) + \omega_i \gamma_s(\omega)]\) and \(N(\omega) = [L/(\pi \tau)] [\gamma_{s,i}(\omega) - \omega_s \gamma_i(\omega) - \omega_i \gamma_s(\omega)]\), where \(\gamma_{s,i}\) and \(\gamma_{s,i}\) are the refractive indices of the signal and idler frequencies, and \(\gamma_{s,i}\) and \(\gamma_{s,i}\) are the first and second frequency derivatives, respectively [22].

As an example we consider a resonated 3-cm-long periodically poled KTP crystal. We take the resonant cavity to be the same length as the nonlinear crystal and to have a finesse of 1000. Then \(\Delta \Omega_{s,i} \equiv 2\pi \times 1.75 \text{ cm}^{-1}\) and \(\Delta \omega_G \equiv 2\pi \times 0.08 \text{ cm}^{-1}\). When \(\Delta \Omega_{s,i} > \Delta \omega_G\), the cavity is appropriately tuned, there will be only one single mode-pair within the backward-wave gain linewidth (Fig. 2).

We estimate the linewidth, Glauber correlation time, and spectral brightness of the biphotons based on the above parameters. To ensure high purity of biphoton generation, we assume a pump power of 770 \(\mu\text{W}\), which is far below the threshold power, so that the generation rate is small compared to the inverse of the coherence time. For the optimum case of exact phase matching (\(\Delta k = 0\)), the total paired count rate is \(R_1 \equiv 1.31 \times 10^5 \text{ s}^{-1}\) and the biphoton linewidth \(\Delta \omega \equiv 2\pi \times 2.1 \text{ MHz}\) is smaller than that of typical atomic transitions. The spectral brightness is then

\[ \xi_{s,i} / \Delta \Omega_{s,i} \]

FIG. 3. (a) Time domain biphoton wave packet of the backward-wave spontaneous down conversion within a resonant cavity. Although difficult to see, this curve is slightly asymmetric [see Eq. (12)]. (b) Spectral power density at the signal frequency.
Due to its Lorentzian-shaped spectrum [Eq. (9) and Fig. 3(b)] and the Glauber correlation time [13], the Glauber correlation time packet is given by Eq. (12) and has a sharp falloff [Fig. 3(a)]. The Glauber correlation time $T_c \approx 68$ ns is approximately the sum of the ring-down times at the signal and idler frequencies.

To construct a source as described above we expect to use a KTP crystal which is periodically poled with a periodicity of $\Lambda = 872$ nm. A 532 nm laser may be used as the pump source to generate signal and idler photons at the degenerate frequency of $1.064 \, \mu$m. The pump and signal will be polarized along the crystal $y$ axis, the idler is polarized along the crystal $z$ axis, and quasiphase matching is accomplished in third order so that $k_p = K_G + k_s - k_i$, where the lattice $k$-vector $K_G = 2\pi m/\Lambda$. The ratio of the spectral brightness of this resonant backward-wave source, as compared to a nonresonant forward-wave source of the same material, pumping power, and length is about 80 000.

In conclusion we have described a narrowband biphoton source that utilizes resonant backward-wave parametric down conversion. The narrow backward-wave gain linewidth allows a single-mode output with both greatly increased spectral brightness and, also, the generation of biphotons that are sufficiently long that they may be amplitude or phase modulated by high-speed light modulators [23,24]. If successfully constructed, applications may include quantum communication, quantum memories, and enhanced resistance against narrowband interference for quantum key distribution [25].
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