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#### Abstract

Two methods of atomic many-body theory are introduced. Our discussion is based on the relativistic formulation. First, we have the many-body perturbation theory (MBPT). Then, we discuss the configuration interaction (CI) method. We conclude our lecture with a sample MBPT calculation of excitation energy for the divalent ion, Phosphorus IV.


## Many-body Perturbation Theory (MBPT)*

We begin with the Dirac equation

$$
H \Psi=E \Psi
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{0} & =\sum_{i}^{N}\left(c \boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \mathbf{p}+\beta c^{2}-\frac{Z}{r}+u(r)\right)_{i} \\
V & =-\sum_{i}^{N} u\left(r_{i}\right)+\sum_{i<j}^{N} \frac{1}{r_{i j}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here, $u(r)$ is the effective central potential. The Breit interaction can also be included in $V$ to take account of magnetic interaction and retardation

$$
b_{i j}=-\frac{1}{2 r_{i j}}\left[\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\boldsymbol{i}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\boldsymbol{j}}+\frac{\left(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\boldsymbol{i}} \cdot \boldsymbol{r}_{i j}\right)\left(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\boldsymbol{j}} \cdot \boldsymbol{r}_{i j}\right)}{r_{i j}^{2}}\right]
$$

if high-precision calculations are needed.
The 0th-order eigenvalue equation is

$$
H_{0} \Phi^{\beta}=E_{0}^{\beta} \Phi^{\beta}
$$

[^0]and the eigenfunctions and eigenvalues are
\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi^{\beta} & =\mathbf{A}\left\{\phi_{i}(1) \phi_{j}(2) \cdots \phi_{v}(N)\right\} \\
E_{0}^{\beta} & =\sum_{i}^{N} \varepsilon_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

where $\mathbf{A}$ is the anti-symmetrization operator.

## Dirac-Hartree-Fock (DHF) Solution

The DHF approximation is commonly employed to obtain the Oth-order wavefunctions and energies. We set $u(r)=$ $u_{\text {DHF }}(r)$, where the DHF potential (non-local) operator is defined through its matrix elements between a complete set of orbitals

$$
\langle i| u_{\mathrm{DHF}}|j\rangle=\sum_{b}^{\text {occupied }}\langle i b| r_{12}^{-1}\left(1-P_{12}\right)|j b\rangle .
$$

It is convenient to define a DHF (one-electron) operator

$$
h_{\mathrm{DHF}}=c \boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \mathbf{p}+\beta c^{2}-\frac{Z}{r}+u_{\mathrm{DHF}}(r),
$$

then the 0th-order Hamiltonian can be compactly expressed as

$$
H_{0}=\sum_{i}^{N} h_{\mathrm{DHF}}\left(r_{i}\right) .
$$

# Partitioning of Functional Space 

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{P} & =\sum_{\alpha}|\alpha\rangle\langle\alpha| \\
\mathbf{Q} & =\sum_{\beta \neq \alpha}|\beta\rangle\langle\beta|=\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{P}
\end{aligned}
$$

Intermediate normalization is defined via

$$
\left\langle\Psi_{0} \mid \Psi\right\rangle=1
$$

## Brillouin-Wigner (BW) Perturbation Expansion

First, we write the Dirac equation as

$$
\left(E-H_{0}\right) \mathbf{Q} \Psi=\mathbf{Q} V \Psi
$$

Then, we define an $E$-dependent resolvent $T_{E}$, which commutes with $\mathbf{Q}$,

$$
T_{E}\left(E-H_{0}\right)=\mathbf{Q}
$$

Component of the wavefunction in $Q$ space is

$$
\mathrm{Q} \Psi=T_{E} V \Psi .
$$

The exact wavefunction written in terms of $T_{E}$ is

$$
\Psi=\Psi_{0}+T_{E} V \Psi
$$

BW expansion - For wavefunction:

$$
\Psi=\left(1+T_{E} V+T_{E} V T_{E} V+\cdots\right) \Psi_{0} .
$$

For wave operator:

$$
\Omega_{E}=1+\frac{\mathbf{Q}}{E-H_{0}} V+\frac{\mathbf{Q}}{E-H_{0}} V \frac{\mathbf{Q}}{E-H_{0}} V+\cdots .
$$

For energy:

$$
E=E^{(0)}+E^{(1)}+E^{(2)}+\cdots,
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
E^{(0)} & =E_{0}=\left\langle\Psi_{0}\right| H_{0}\left|\Psi_{0}\right\rangle \\
E^{(n)} & =\left\langle\Psi_{0}\right| V\left|\Psi^{(n-1)}\right\rangle, \quad n \geq 1
\end{aligned}
$$

The resolvent in spectral-resolution form is

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{E} & =T_{E} \sum_{\beta}|\beta\rangle\langle\beta| \\
& =\sum_{\beta \neq \alpha} \frac{|\beta\rangle\langle\beta|}{E-E_{0}^{\beta}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Low-order corrections for wavefunction and energy are

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Psi^{(1)} & =\sum_{\beta \neq \alpha} \frac{|\beta\rangle\langle\beta| V|\alpha\rangle}{E-E_{0}^{\beta}} \\
\Psi^{(2)} & =\sum_{\beta \gamma \neq \alpha} \frac{|\beta\rangle\langle\beta| V|\gamma\rangle\langle\gamma| V|\alpha\rangle}{\left(E-E_{0}^{\beta}\right)\left(E-E_{0}^{\gamma}\right)},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
E^{(1)} & =\langle\alpha| V|\alpha\rangle \\
E^{(2)} & =\sum_{\beta \neq \alpha} \frac{\langle\alpha| V|\beta\rangle\langle\beta| V|\alpha\rangle}{E-E_{0}^{\beta}} \\
E^{(3)} & =\sum_{\beta \gamma \neq \alpha} \frac{\langle\alpha| V|\beta\rangle\langle\beta| V|\gamma\rangle\langle\gamma| V|\alpha\rangle}{\left(E-E_{0}^{\beta}\right)\left(E-E_{0}^{\gamma}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Green's Function Operator ${ }^{\dagger}$

We consider an inhomogeneous equation

$$
(E-H) \Psi(x)=\phi(x), \quad x=\text { all coordinates },
$$

and define a general resolvent

$$
T(z)=(z-H)^{-1} .
$$

The true Green's function operator is

$$
G^{+}(\varepsilon)=(\varepsilon-H+\mathrm{i} \eta)^{-1}, \quad \eta>0 .
$$

The wavefunction is written formally as

$$
\Psi(x)=\lim _{\eta \rightarrow 0} G^{+}(E) \phi(x) .
$$

Analogous with $T_{E}$ is the "Oth-order" Green's function operator

$$
G_{0}^{+}(\varepsilon)=\left(\varepsilon-H_{0}+\mathrm{i} \eta\right)^{-1} .
$$

Using the identity

$$
\left(\varepsilon-H_{0}+\mathrm{i} \eta\right)=(\varepsilon-H+\mathrm{i} \eta)+V,
$$

[^1]we obtain the Dyson Equation
$$
G^{+}(\varepsilon)=G_{0}^{+}(\varepsilon)+G_{0}^{+}(\varepsilon) V G^{+}(\varepsilon)
$$

The true Green's function operator is then expanded as

$$
\begin{aligned}
G^{+}(\varepsilon)= & G_{0}^{+}(\varepsilon)+G_{0}^{+}(\varepsilon) V G_{0}^{+}(\varepsilon) \\
& +G_{0}^{+}(\varepsilon) V G_{0}^{+}(\varepsilon) V G_{0}^{+}(\varepsilon)+\cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

Relation between Green's function operator and (BW) resolvent is

$$
T_{E}=\mathbf{Q} G_{0}^{+}(E)
$$

# Rayleigh-Schrödinger (RS) Perturbation Expansion 

We consider a group of states satisfying the Dirac equation

$$
H \Psi^{a}=E^{a} \Psi^{a}, \quad a=1,2, \ldots, d
$$

Using the definition of the wave operator

$$
\mathbf{P} H \Omega \Psi_{0}^{a}=E^{a} \Psi_{0}^{a},
$$

we can define an effective Hamiltonian

$$
H_{\mathrm{eff}}=\mathbf{P} H \Omega \mathbf{P} .
$$

## Generalized Bloch Equation

We then have an eigenvalue equation for $H_{\text {eff }}$ :

$$
H_{\mathrm{eff}} \Psi_{0}^{a}=E^{a} \Psi_{0}^{a} .
$$

The Bloch equation for complete degeneracy is

$$
\left(E_{0}-H_{0}\right) \Omega \mathbf{P}=V \Omega \mathbf{P}-\Omega \mathbf{P} V \Omega \mathbf{P}
$$

and the Generalized Bloch equation is

$$
\left[\Omega, H_{0}\right] \mathbf{P}=(V \Omega-\Omega \mathbf{P} V \Omega) \mathbf{P}
$$

For a completely-degenerate case, we are able to define an $E$-independent resolvent

$$
T=\frac{\mathbf{Q}}{E_{0}-H_{0}}
$$

## Wave Operator $\Omega$

Low-orders of the wave operator are

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Omega^{(0)} \mathbf{P}= & \mathbf{P} \\
\Omega^{(1)} \mathbf{P}= & \frac{\mathbf{Q}}{E_{0}-H_{0}} V \mathbf{P} \\
\Omega^{(2)} \mathbf{P}= & \frac{\mathbf{Q}}{E_{0}-H_{0}} V \frac{\mathbf{Q}}{E_{0}-H_{0}} V \mathbf{P} \\
& -\left(\frac{\mathbf{Q}}{E_{0}-H_{0}}\right)^{2} V \mathbf{P} V \mathbf{P}, \quad \text { etc. }
\end{aligned}
$$

For general, i.e. noncompletely-degenerate, systems, low-orders of the wave operator are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Omega^{(0)} \mathbf{P}=\mathbf{P} \\
& {\left[\Omega^{(1)}, H_{0}\right] \mathbf{P}=} \mathbf{Q} V \mathbf{P} \\
& {\left[\Omega^{(2)}, H_{0}\right] \mathbf{P}=} \mathbf{Q} V \Omega^{(1)} \mathbf{P}-\Omega^{(1)} \mathbf{P} V \mathbf{P} \\
& {\left[\Omega^{(3)}, H_{0}\right] \mathbf{P}=} \mathbf{Q} V \Omega^{(2)} \mathbf{P}-\Omega^{(2)} \mathbf{P} V \mathbf{P} \\
&-\Omega^{(1)} \mathbf{P} V \Omega^{(1)} \mathbf{P}, \quad \text { etc. }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Eigenenergy

The exact energy using intermediate normalization is

$$
E^{a}=\left\langle\Psi_{0}^{a}\right| H\left|\Psi^{a}\right\rangle=E_{0}^{a}+\left\langle\Psi_{0}^{a}\right| V \Omega\left|\Psi_{0}^{a}\right\rangle .
$$

Low-order corrections for the energy are

$$
\begin{aligned}
E^{a,(1)} & =\left\langle\Psi_{0}^{a}\right| V\left|\Psi_{0}^{a}\right\rangle \\
E^{a,(2)} & =\left\langle\Psi_{0}^{a}\right| V \Omega^{(1)}\left|\Psi_{0}^{a}\right\rangle \\
E^{a,(3)} & =\left\langle\Psi_{0}^{a}\right| V \Omega^{(2)}\left|\Psi_{0}^{a}\right\rangle, \quad \text { etc. }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Variational Method

We review the variational method briefly, before introducing the configuration interaction (CI) method in the next section. Let $E[\phi]$ be the functional

$$
\begin{aligned}
E[\phi] & =\frac{\langle\phi| H|\phi\rangle}{\langle\phi \mid \phi\rangle} \\
& =\frac{\int \phi^{\dagger} H \phi d \tau}{\int \phi^{\dagger} \phi d \tau}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\phi$ is an arbitrary normalizable (square-integrable) function. In the relativistic formulation, the trial function $\phi$ is a two-component column vector. We expand $\phi$ as

$$
\phi=\sum_{n} a_{n} \Psi_{n}
$$

where $\Psi_{n}$ 's are the orthonormal eigenfunctions of $H$.
We find

$$
E[\phi]=\frac{\sum_{n}\left|a_{n}\right|^{2} E_{n}}{\sum_{n}\left|a_{n}\right|^{2}} .
$$

Subtracting the lowest eigenenergy $E_{0}$ from both sides gives a minimum principle for the ground-state energy

$$
E_{0} \leq E[\phi]
$$

If the trial function $\phi$ is made orthogonal to energy eigenfunctions corresponding to eigenvalues $E_{0}, E_{1}, E_{2}, \ldots, E_{i}$ (in ascending order). We have the orthogonality conditions

$$
\left\langle\Psi_{n} \mid \phi\right\rangle=0, \quad n=0,1, \ldots, i
$$

The functional then becomes

$$
E[\phi]=\frac{\sum_{n=i+1}\left|a_{n}\right|^{2} E_{n}}{\sum_{n=i+1}\left|a_{n}\right|^{2}}
$$

Subtracting $E_{i+1}$ from both sides gives

$$
E_{i+1} \leq E[\phi]
$$

We usually only have approximations $\left\{\phi_{n}, n=\right.$ $0,1, \ldots, i\}$ for the eigenfunctions $\left\{\Psi_{n}, n=0,1, \ldots, i\right\}$, then the orthogonality conditions are not fulfilled exactly. Thus, the minimum principle for energy of the excited state does not hold. To take an example, suppose we have obtained a normalized wavefunction $\phi_{0}\left(\approx \Psi_{0}\right)$. For a trial function $\phi_{1}$ orthogonal to $\phi_{0}$, i.e. $\left\langle\phi_{0} \mid \phi_{1}\right\rangle=0$, we can derive the relation (an exercise for students)

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{1}-\epsilon_{0}\left(E_{1}-E_{0}\right) & \leq E\left[\phi_{1}\right], \quad \text { where } \\
\epsilon_{0} & =1-\left|\left\langle\Psi_{0} \mid \phi_{0}\right\rangle\right|^{2}>0
\end{aligned}
$$

In general, if $\phi_{0}$ is a good approximation to $\Psi_{0}$, the violation of $E_{1} \leq E\left[\phi_{1}\right]$ will be mild, since $\epsilon_{0}$ will be small.

The minimum principle is the basis of the Rayleigh-Ritz variational method. First, we select a trial function $\phi$ which depends on a number of variational parameters, then this function is used to evaluate $E[\phi]$. After that, we minimize $E[\phi]$ with respect to the variational parameters. The resulting functional (energy) is the best approximation to the eigenenergy allowed by the form chosen for $\phi$.

## Configuration Interaction

Since the true state of an atomic system (atom or ion) contains contributions from more than one configuration, we construct a trial function ( Cl wavefunction) as a linear combination of configuration functions (Slater determinants)

$$
\phi=\sum_{n=1}^{N} c_{n} \Phi_{n}
$$

where $\Phi_{1}, \Phi_{2}, \ldots, \Phi_{N}$ are linearly-independent determinants, corresponding to different occupation scheme, and $c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots, c_{N}$ (called the mixing coefficients) the linear variational parameters. Only configuration functions having the same angular-momentum values as the state considered ( $\phi$ ) can contribute to the expansion.

We find for the energy functional

$$
\begin{aligned}
E[\phi] & =\frac{\sum_{\substack{n^{\prime}=1 \\
n}}^{N} c_{n^{\prime}}^{*} c_{n} H_{n^{\prime} n}}{\sum_{\substack{n^{\prime}=1 \\
n=1}}^{N} c_{n^{\prime}}^{*} c_{n} \Delta_{n^{\prime} n}} \\
H_{n^{\prime} n} & =\left\langle\Phi_{n^{\prime}}\right| H\left|\Phi_{n}\right\rangle \\
\Delta_{n^{\prime} n} & =\left\langle\Phi_{n^{\prime}} \mid \Phi_{n}\right\rangle \\
& =\delta_{n^{\prime} n} \text { if } \Phi_{n}{ }^{\prime} \text { s orthonormal. }
\end{aligned}
$$

$\Delta$ is referred to as the overlap matrix. To minimize $E[\phi]$, we rearrange its formula as

$$
E[\phi] \sum_{\substack{n^{\prime}=1 \\ n=1}}^{N} c_{n^{\prime}}^{*} c_{n} \Delta_{n^{\prime} n}=\sum_{\substack{n^{\prime}=1 \\ n=1}}^{N} c_{n^{\prime}}^{*} c_{n} H_{n^{\prime} n}
$$

Setting

$$
\frac{\partial E}{\partial c_{n}}=0 \quad\left(\text { or } \frac{\partial E}{\partial c_{n^{\prime}}^{*}}=0\right)
$$

for all $n$ (or $n^{\prime}$ ), gives a set of $N$ homogeneous linear equations

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{N}\left(H_{n^{\prime} n}-\Delta_{n^{\prime} n} E\right) c_{n}=0
$$

for $n^{\prime}=1,2, \ldots, N$. To obtain a non-trivial solution, we require

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(H_{n^{\prime} n}-\Delta_{n_{n}^{\prime}} E\right)=0 \quad \text { (secular equation) } .
$$

Its solution set consists of $N$ energy values (written in ascending order) and $N$ mixing-coefficient vectors
$E=E_{i-1}$ and $\mathbf{c}=\left(c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots, c_{N}\right)_{i-1}, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, N$,
where $E_{0}$ is an upper bound to the ground-state energy, and the other $E$ 's are upper bounds to excited-state energies. The c's (when combined with the configuration functions) give the corresponding approximate eigenfunctions of the system.

## MBPT Application-Divalent Ion

|  | $(3 \mathrm{~s} 3 \mathrm{p})^{3} \mathrm{P}_{0}$ | $(3 \mathrm{~s} 3 \mathrm{p})^{3} \mathrm{P}_{1}$ | $(3 \mathrm{~s} 3 \mathrm{p})^{3} \mathrm{P}_{2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $E^{(0+1)}$ | 67021.3 | 67242.9 | 67696.5 |
| $E^{(2)}$ | 110.3 | 116.0 | 130.1 |
| $B^{(2)}$ | -0.9 | 0.3 | 1.3 |
| $E^{(3)}$ | 807.4 | 807.6 | 807.6 |
| $E_{\mathrm{Lamb}}$ | -21.1 | -20.9 | -20.5 |
| $E_{\mathrm{tot}}$ | 67917.1 | 68146.0 | 68615.0 |
| $E_{\text {expt }}$ | 67918.0 | 68146.5 | 68615.2 |
| $\Delta E$ | -0.9 | -0.5 | -0.2 |
|  | $(3 \mathrm{~s} 3 \mathrm{p})^{1} \mathrm{P}_{1}$ | $\left(3 \mathrm{p}^{2}\right)^{1} \mathrm{D}_{2}$ | $\left(3 \mathrm{p}^{2}\right)^{3} \mathrm{P}_{0}$ |
| $E^{(0+1)}$ | 120479.5 | 180554.7 | 165971.6 |
| $E^{(2)}$ | -20906.0 | -61699.8 | -2027.7 |
| $B^{(2)}$ | -15.8 | -8.4 | -5.2 |
| $E^{(3)}$ | 6470.7 | 48769.6 | 1089.6 |
| $E_{\mathrm{Lamb}}$ | -20.7 | -43.6 | -44.0 |
| $E_{\mathrm{tot}}$ | 106007.7 | 167572.4 | 164984.3 |
| $E_{\text {expt }}$ | 105190.4 | 166144.0 | 164941.4 |
| $\Delta E$ | 817 | 1428 | 43 |
|  | $\left(3 \mathrm{p}^{2}\right){ }^{2} \mathrm{P}_{1}$ | $\left(3 \mathrm{p}^{2}\right) 3 \mathrm{P}_{2}$ | $\left(3 \mathrm{p}^{2}\right){ }^{1} \mathrm{~S}_{0}$ |
| $E^{(0+1)}$ | 166200.8 | 166633.3 | 212201.4 |
| $E^{(2)}$ | -2013.9 | -2008.1 | -23060.7 |
| $B^{(2)}$ | -4.8 | -2.7 | -24.6 |
| $E^{(3)}$ | 1087.9 | 1077.3 | 5810.7 |
| $E_{\mathrm{Lamb}}$ | -43.8 | -43.4 | -41.3 |
| $E_{\mathrm{tot}}$ | 165226.1 | 165656.5 | 194885.6 |
| $E_{\text {expt }}$ | 165185.4 | 165654.0 | 194591.8 |
| $\Delta E$ | 41 | 3 | 294 |
|  |  |  |  |

Table 1: Comparison of excitation energies in $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ for the $\mathrm{P}^{3+}$ ion.

Results in Table 1 are obtained using the RayleighSchrödinger perturbation expansion. Phosphorus IV $\left(\mathrm{P}^{3+}\right)$ is an Magnesium-like ion. Second-order Breit correction $B^{(2)}$ and lowest-order Lamb shift $E_{\text {Lamb }}$ are also evaluated. The maximum relative error for excitation energy through third order of MBPT, $E_{\text {tot }}$, is less then $0.9 \%$. Experimental energies are taken from the NIST's online database: physics.nist.gov/PhysRefData/ASD/index.html.


[^0]:    ${ }^{*}$ Atomic unit a.u. is adopted, except for Table 1, where energy is expressed in $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$.

[^1]:    $\dagger$ Green's function operator is also called propagator.

