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Outline

- What is an atom interferometer?
  - Example: Mach-Zehnder interferometer and the gravity gradiometer at Stanford
- Bose-Einstein condensation of dilute gases
- Atom interferometers with BEC
- Degradation of contrast of interference signals
- Our work
  - Formulation and theoretical tools
  - Origin of contrast degradation
  - Optimization of the contrast of interference signals
Atom interferometers

- Wave nature of atoms
- Atom optics/atom optical elements
  - Use optical pulses as beam splitters and mirror
    - Very high precision coherent control
  - Adiabatic manipulation of the trapping potential
- Precision inertial and atomic measurements
  - The interference signal, sensitive to the phases of the wave functions, gives dynamical information.
Bragg diffraction

- Resonance condition

\[ \hbar \delta k = 2 \hbar k \]
\[ \hbar \delta \omega = \frac{2 \hbar^2 k^2}{m} \]

- A coherent beam splitter in atom optics
Bragg diffraction

- The effect of the laser pulse

\[
U = \begin{bmatrix}
\cos\left(\frac{\Omega \tau}{2}\right) & -i \sin\left(\frac{\Omega \tau}{2}\right) e^{i \phi_L} \\
-i \sin\left(\frac{\Omega \tau}{2}\right) e^{-i \phi_L} & \cos\left(\frac{\Omega \tau}{2}\right)
\end{bmatrix}
\]

- Initial $\pi/2$ pulse

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\Psi \\
\psi
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
\ket{0\hbar k} \\
\ket{2\hbar k}
\end{pmatrix}
= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 1 \\
-i e^{-i \phi_L} & -i e^{i \phi_L}
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
\phi(z) \\
0
\end{pmatrix}
\]

consider a 1D case

$\omega + \delta \omega$ $\omega$ $\ket{0\hbar k}$ $\ket{2\hbar k}$
Bragg diffraction

- $\pi$ pulse – population inversion

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\Psi_{0\hbar k} \\
\Psi_{2\hbar k}
\end{pmatrix} =
\begin{pmatrix}
0 & -ie^{i\phi_L} \\
-ie^{-i\phi_L} & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
\phi_{0\hbar k}(z) \\
\phi_{2\hbar k}(z)
\end{pmatrix}
\]
Mach-Zehnder interferometer

Beam splitter/mirror → Use optical Bragg pulses

Interference signals: the population as a function of the laser phase $\phi_L$
Bragg diffraction

- Recombining $\pi/2$ pulse

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
\psi_{0\hbar k} \\
\psi_{2\hbar k}
\end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix}
1 & -ie^{i\phi_L} \\
-ie^{-i\phi_L} & 1
\end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix}
f(z) \\
f(z - \Delta z)e^{i\phi(z)}
\end{pmatrix}
$$

More generally, $g(z)e^{i\phi(z)}$

If the two component are not overlapped in configuration space, the population would NOT depend on $\phi_L$ → zero contrast.
Interference signal and contrast

- Interference signal
- Contrast

$$P_{0\tilde{n_k}} = \frac{1}{2} [1 + C \cos(\phi_L + \Delta\phi)]$$

- What are the deciding factors for contrast degradation?
- The phase shift $\Delta\phi$ carries dynamical information useful for applications in inertia measurements.
Gravity graviometer

- Use a gravity gradiometer to measure the differential acceleration of two Cs gases, making a proof-of-principle measurement of the Newtonian constant of gravity.

- The gradiometer is composed of two gravimeters implementing Mach-Zehnder type atom interferometers.

Quantum interference of atomic Cs is used to directly probe the gravitational scalar potential.

Momentum recoil creates different trajectories for the wave packets that acquire a relative gravitationally induced atomic phase shift during the interferometer, resulting in a sensitivity to accelerations.

With accurate knowledge of the atomic trajectories and the Pb source geometry and composition, they calculated the gravitationally induced phase shift in our atom interferometer and extracted a value for G.
Gravimeter

\[ \Delta \phi_{\text{tot}} = \Delta \phi_{\text{Laser}} + \Delta \phi_{\text{path}} + \Delta \phi_{\text{Separation}} \Rightarrow \text{determine } G \]

- Path integral formulation to obtain quantum phases.
- Current status: error \( \delta G/G \sim 3 \text{ ppt} \), limited by the thermal distribution of the sample.
- Next experiment using new sensors aims \( \delta G/G \sim 10^{-5} \).
Objective: Ground-based precision tests of post-Newtonian gravity

- Evaporative cooling to < 1 μK to enforce tight control over kinematic degrees of freedom
- \( \delta g \sim 10^{-15} g \) with one month data collection
- New test of general relativity

From Kasevich’s presentation
Bose-Einstein Condensation

→ almost all of the atoms enter the same state, represented by a common wave function with a common phase.

Mean-field treatment
Comparing thermal-atom and condensate interferometers

- Atoms in thermal beam move faster
  - difficult to manipulate or to reach large deflection
- Spatial coherence property
- Thermal-atom interferometers, analogous to “whitelight” interferometers, is prone to dispersion.
- Interaction between atoms
  - Dynamics of the BEC phase coherence remains to be studied in detail.
  - Possibility of using non-classical states.
Proof-of-principle measurements using interferometers with BEC

- Using Bragg pulses as beam splitters/mirrors
  - Various schemes (Mach-Zehnder, Michelson, …)
  - MOT and Atom chip (JILA, NIST, Harvard, MIT, Virginia, UEC)

- Adiabatic splitting
  - By deforming the trap into a double well

- General goal: To achieve longer interrogation time, larger separation and larger enclosed area.
Mach-Zehnder interferometer with BEC

interrogation time = 2 ms and condensate not fully separated.

Munekazu Horikoshi and Ken’ichi Nakagawa, PRA 74, 031602(R) (2006).

Contrast of interference signal
\[ P_{2\hbar k} = \frac{1}{2} [1 + C \cos(\phi_L + \Delta \phi)] \]
≈ 0.6
Some important issues

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\Psi_{|0\hbar k\rangle} \\
\Psi_{|2\hbar k\rangle}
\end{pmatrix}
= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & -ie^{i\phi_L} \\
-ie^{-i\phi_L} & 1
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
f(z) \\
g(z)e^{i\phi(z)}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
P_{|0\hbar k\rangle} = \frac{1}{2} [1 + C \cos(\phi_L + \Delta\phi)]
\]

- Perfect contrast conditions
  - Perfect spatial overlap and uniform relative phase
    - wave function profiles of the two recombining components in momentum space are related by a translation of \(2\hbar k\)
    - perfect overlap in momentum space
Some important issues

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\psi_{|0\bar{\eta}k\rangle} \\
\psi_{|2\bar{\eta}k\rangle}
\end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & -ie^{i\phi_L} \\
-ie^{-i\phi_L} & 1
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
f(z) \\
g(z)e^{i\phi(z)}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
P_{|0\bar{\eta}k\rangle} = \frac{1}{2} [1 + C \cos(\phi_L + \Delta \phi)]
\]

- Origins of contrast degradation
  - Dephasing -- relative position-dependent phase shift
  - Wave function profiles of the two components, and spatial overlap between them
  - Decoherence (not discussed in this talk)
Contrast of interference signals

- Origins of position-dependent relative phase:
  - Trapping potential
  - Atomic interactions

- How do we get phase information?
  - Go to *momentum space* in theoretical study!!
  - Classical picture for momentum changes due to forces
  - Monitor the dynamics in phase space
Our approach and goals

- A mean-field description using a single wave function representing the condensate.
  - Time-dependent Gross-Pitaevskii equation

\[ i\hbar \frac{\partial \Psi(z,t)}{\partial t} = \left( -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} + V_{\text{tot}}(z,t) + g_{1d} N|\Psi|^2 \right) \Psi \]

\[ V_{\text{opt}}(t) = \hbar \Omega \cos(\delta kz - \delta \omega t) \]

Optical potential describes the action of the Bragg pulses.

\[ I_{|2\hbar k\rangle}(\phi_L) = \frac{P_{|2\hbar k\rangle}}{P_{|0\hbar k\rangle} + P_{|2\hbar k\rangle}} \]

Interference signal.

\[ C_{|2\hbar k\rangle} = \frac{\max(I_{|2\hbar k\rangle}) - \min(I_{|2\hbar k\rangle})}{\max(I_{|2\hbar k\rangle}) + \min(I_{|2\hbar k\rangle})} \]

Contrast
Husimi distribution function

- Wigner distribution with a finite resolution (~ℏ)
- Positive definite
- Projection on coherent states

\[ P_\alpha(z, p) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi\alpha}} \left| \int_\infty e^{-\frac{(q-z)^2}{2\alpha} - ipq} \psi(q) dq \right|^2 \]

- A useful tool to monitor the phase space dynamics
- Perfect contrast condition – perfect overlap in phase space!
Our approach and goals

- Use the momentum space wave function to provide detailed complementary dynamical information.
- Husimi distribution function provides a useful tool to visualize and to monitor dynamics in phase space.
- **Goals**: understand the dephasing factors and control them if possible!
  - Extend the applicability of BEC interferometer
Strategies for better contrast

- Better contrast can be achieved by maximizing the overlap in phase space at recombination.
  - Shift the recombination time — referred to as ΔT scheme
  - Change the frequency of the recombination pulse — referred to as Δk scheme
- Pros and cons

- There have been some experimental efforts.
Dephasing-free interferometer

- Cancellation of the dephasing due to the potential.
- Interrogation time equal to the time period of the trap.

interrogation time = 58 ms and oscillation amplitude = 110 μm.

Double-reflection interferometer

- More symmetric trajectories lead to cancellation of dephasing due to trapping potential.
- The effective interrogation time can be increased by shifting the recombination time.
- Cancellation of first order effects.

interrogation time = 44 ms and arm separation = 260 μm.

Mach-Zehnder interferometer

Position

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>T/2</th>
<th>T</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>\pi/2</td>
<td>\pi</td>
<td>\pi/2 \phi_L</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Time

\(|2\hbar k\rangle\)
\(|0\hbar k\rangle\)
Time evolution of the condensate
Mach-Zehnder interferometer in the presence of trapping

Initial time
Time evolution of the condensate
Mach-Zehnder interferometer in the presence of trapping

- $\pi/2$ pulse results in a 50/50 split
- Split and translation by $2\hbar k$ in momentum in phase space.

Just after the first $\pi/2$ pulse
Time evolution of the condensate

Mach-Zehnder interferometer in the presence of trapping

- Asymmetric and broadened momentum profile due to nonlinear interaction.
- Different shifts of the momentum peak positions
- Tilting of the Husimi representation results from forces
- Just before the $\pi$ pulse
- Positive tilting — trapping force dominates
Time evolution of the condensate

Mach-Zehnder interferometer in the presence of trapping

- Not a perfect reflection since the two components are shifted away from $|0\hbar k\rangle$ and $|2\hbar k\rangle$, respectively.

Just after the $\pi$ pulse
Time evolution of the condensate

Mach-Zehnder interferometer in the presence of trapping

- The same Bragg pulse would not bring these two components into overlap in phase space.
- Zero contrast in this case!!
- Can we improve the contrast?

Just before the second $\pi/2$ pulse
Simple-minded picture
Mach-Zehnder interferometer in the presence of trapping

- Consider forces due to trapping and atomic interaction
- The recombination Bragg pulse no longer couples the two components.

Complete lost of contrast
Mach-Zehnder interferometer in the presence of trapping

- Asymmetry of contrast
- Oscillations in contrast
- Repulsive nonlinear interaction is counteracting the trapping. (Red symbol → larger number of atoms)
Mach-Zehnder interferometer in the absence of trapping

- Asymmetry
- Oscillation in contrast with respect to time shift
- If $g=0$ or symmetric momentum profile
  - No oscillation
  - Maximum contrast at $\Delta T=0$

Almost zero contrast at $\Delta T=0$.

Maximum contrast occurs at a negative time shift is counterintuitive.
Simple-minded picture

Mach-Zehnder interferometer in the absence of trapping

- Atomic interaction is the only force
- Intuitively, perfect spatial overlap requires positive time shift, in contrary to the results from full calculations.

\[ \Delta p < 2\hbar k \]
Not-so-surprising reason

\[ \Delta T = 0 \] (A)

Key ideas: asymmetric momentum profile and overlap in phase space.

\[ \Delta T < 0 \] (B)

Solid line: Just before the recombination pulse
Dashed line: After the recombination pulse
Absorption images
time-of-flight measurements

Figures (a–e) show one interferometer output port for different $\delta x$ (different $T_2$) after an expansion time $T_0 = 4$ ms.

Mach-Zehnder interferometer in the absence of trapping

Again, $\Delta k$ scheme is intuitive.

Almost zero contrast at $\Delta k=0$. 
Effects of nonlinear atomic interaction

- Revival of contrast due to the interplay between the atom-atom interaction and trapping force
Time evolution of Husimi distribution functions

The time evolution corresponding to $T=0.42$ when a revived maximum contrast is observed.
Effects of nonlinear interaction

Note the bending patterns.

T=0.42 : optimum contrast

T=0.3 : vanishing contrast
Dephasing-free interferometer

- In the presence of trapping.
- Good contrast at zero shift in $\Delta k$ or $\Delta T$. 

![Graph](image)

- Diagram showing the interaction of states $|g\rangle$ and $|e\rangle$. 
- Parameters $\frac{\pi}{2}$, $\phi$, $T$, and $z(t)$.
- Evolution of state $|g\rangle$ under unitary operation $U(z)$. 
- Trajectory $z(t)$ with $z_0$. 


Double-reflection interferometer

- Slight modification from the original proposal.
- The scheme is of value for second order inertia measurement.
- The principle works in the absence of trapping.
Double-reflection interferometer in the absence of trapping

- The modified DR interferometer in the presence of a trap cannot be improved by either scheme.
- Gives better optimal contrasts, compared with MZ.
Conclusions

- Husimi representation is useful in illustrating and monitoring the quantum dynamics in phase space as well as the wave function overlap.
- The contrast of interference signal depends on the degree of overlap in phase space.
- We have demonstrated that both $\Delta k$ and $\Delta T$ schemes are effective in improving the contrast of interference signal.
  - Asymmetric oscillations of contrast with respect to $\Delta k$ or $\Delta T$ reflect the asymmetry in the wave functions.
Future work

- How do the optimization strategies improve precision in “real” measurement?
- Explore the dephasing limits on interrogation time.
  - Atomic interaction and trapping potentials are counteracting
- Explore different interferometer schemes.
- Non-classical states/beyond mean-field approximation.
- Simulate real experiments.
Other issues

- Decoherence
  - Phase fluctuation
  - Finite temperature phase diffusion
Inherent advantages of atom interferometry

1. Laser cooling and manipulation techniques extend the interferometer measurement time, defined as the drift time of an atom through the interferometer, by orders of magnitude over interferometers based on photons, electrons or neutrons.

2. Wavelength of matter waves is much shorter, leading to very compact interferometers.

3. The effects of beam splitters and mirrors based on optical pulses can be calculated to high precision since the interactions of light with matter are well understood.

4. The internal degrees of freedom of an atom offer the possibility of designing better interferometer components.
What is Bose-Einstein condensation (BEC)?

High Temperature $T$:
- Thermal velocity $v$
- Density $d^{-3}$
- "Billiard balls"

Low Temperature $T$:
- De Broglie wavelength
  \[ \lambda_{dB} = \frac{h}{mv} \propto T^{-1/2} \]
  "Wave packets"

$T = T_{\text{crit}}$:
- Bose-Einstein Condensation
  \[ \lambda_{dB} \approx d \]
  "Matter wave overlap"

$T = 0$:
- Pure Bose condensate
  "Giant matter wave"
Positive and negative tilting of the Husimi distribution function

**In the presence of trapping**

**In the absence of trapping**

_MZ interferometer, just before the $\pi$ pulse._
Atomic trajectories are determined using the exact potential of the source mass distribution and the Earth potential.

The quantum propagation phase accrued by each wave packet over its trajectory involves an integral over the classical action for the calculated atomic trajectories.

Separation phase arises from the spatial separation of the two interfering wave packets following the final p/2 pulse.

\[ \Delta \phi_{\text{tot}} = \Delta \phi_{\text{Laser}} + \Delta \phi_{\text{path}} + \Delta \phi_{\text{Separation}} \]

Results from the light-pulse interactions and is determined by the phases of the laser fields evaluated at the semi-classical (mean) positions of the wave packets during each of the laser-atom interactions.

\(~10\text{ cm ballistic trajectory}~\)
A. Analysis of the phase shifts resulted in a value for \( G = 6.696 \times 10^{-11} \pm 0.037 \times 10^{-11} \text{ m}^3/(\text{kg.s}^2) \).

B. A second measurement of \( G \) with a different initial vertical position of the source mass and a redistribution of the individual discs comprising the Pb stack. The same analysis as the first measurement gave a value of \( G = 6.691 \times 10^{-11} \pm 0.041 \times 10^{-11} \text{ m}^3/(\text{kg.s}^2) \).

C. Combined results of our measurements agree within statistical uncertainties of each other and of the CODATA value, resulting in \( G = 6.693 \times 10^{-11} \pm 0.027 \times 10^{-11} \text{ m}^3/(\text{kg.s}^2) \) (statistical error) and \( 6.693 \pm 0.021 \times 10^{-11} \text{ m}^3/(\text{kg.s}^2) \) (systematic error). Also shown (left to right) are values reported in other experiments. Error bars show means ± SD.

Previous theoretical work

- Analytical results based on Thomas-Fermi approximation and harmonic decomposition

\[ \psi_\pm(x, t) = \sqrt{n_\pm(x, t)} \exp(i\phi_\pm(x, t)) \]

- Parabolic approximation for density and the phase
- The time evolution of the density and the phase can be derived.
- Similar strategies are proposed.
Contrast optimization

Mach-Zehnder interferometer in the presence of trapping

- Contrast as the function of time lag $\Delta T$ and the change in the wave vector $\Delta k$ of recombination Bragg pulse with $N=3000$ in the presence of trapping.
Contrast optimization
Mach-Zehnder interferometer in the absence of trapping

- Contrast as the function of time lag $\Delta T$ and the change in the wave vector $\Delta k$ of recombination Bragg pulse with $N=3000$ in the presence of trapping.
Time evolution of Husimi representation
Mach-Zehnder interferometer in the presence of trapping

- Circular trajectory in phase space due to the harmonic trap.

\[ \text{just after the first } \pi/2 \text{ pulse} \]

\[ \text{just before the } \pi \text{ pulse} \]
Time evolution of Husimi representation
Mach-Zehnder interferometer in the presence of trapping

Condition of a perfect contrast of interference signal:
The Husimi representation of the two components just before the recombination are related by a translation of $2\hbar k$ in momentum.
Time evolution of Husimi representation

Mach-Zehnder interferometer in the presence of trapping

- Complete loss of contrast!
- Can we fix this?

just before the second π/2 pulse

after the second π/2 pulse
An experimentalists’ comment

- An exact calculation would involve integration of Schrödinger’s equation for a wavepacket subject to the laser-pulse sequence and the gravitational potential of the source mass and Earth. Such a calculation is computationally intractable.
  