Verification of Genuine High-order Photon Entanglement

Local Measurement Settings in "Experimental Demonstration of a Heralded Entanglement Source", C. Wagenknecht er c Nature Photonics Vol. 4, 549 (2

Che-Ming Li Dep. of Physics, NCKU

Kai Chen Dep. of Modern Phys., USTC

Andreas Reinguber Phys. Institute, Heidelberg

> Yueh-Nan Chen Dep. of Physics, NCKU

Jian-Wei Pan Phys. Institute, Heidelberg

2010年10月11日星期一

General Trend towards Entanglement-based Quantum Information Processing



















Summary

Quantum Entanglement & Quantum Information Processing

Quantum Teleportation



(top, left) Richard Jozsa, William K. Wootters, Charles H. Bennett. (bottom, left) Gilles Brassard, Claude Crépeau, Asher Peres. Photo: André Berthiaume.

C. H. Bennett, G. Brassard, C. Crépeau, R. Jozsa, A. Peres, W. K. Wootters, *Teleporting an Unknown Quantum State via Dual Classical and Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen Channels*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 1895-1899 (1993)



Q. Zhang et al., *Experimental quantum teleportation of a two-qubit composite system*, Nature Phys. **2**, 678-682 (2006)

Summary

Quantum Entanglement & Quantum Information Processing

Quantum Cryptography



A. K. Ekert, *Quantum cryptography based on Bell's theorem*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 67, 661– 663 (1991).



R. Ursin et al., *Entanglement-based quantum communication over 144 km*, Nature Phys. **3**, 481-486 (2007)









High-order Entanglement

 Orbital Angular Momentum (OAM)-entangled photon pairs

Encoding Qutrit (3-level Quantum System) in OAM of light:

• The OAM is associated with the *transverse phase front* of light beam







 $OAM = -1 \ hbar$ $OAM = 0 \ hbar$ $OAM = +1 \ hbar$ The phase fronts of light beams in OAM eigenstates rotate

http://www.physics.gla.ac.uk/Optics/

Such light beams are conveniently described in terms of *Laguerre-Gaussian modes*

High-order Entanglement

Orbital Angular Momentum (OAM)-entangled photon pairs

The quantum correlation between Laguerre-Gaussian modes can be created in a down-conversion experiment:



a, Experimental configuration used to detect the quantum correlations in OAM of paired photons generated in an SPDC

b, Experimental data demonstrating that the OAM of the pump beam (m_p) is transferred to the sum of OAM of the generated photons $(m_1 \text{ and } m_2)$. In this particular case, the state of the down-converted photons is a coherent quantum superposition of all the different possibilities for the OAM state of the photons fulfilling the condition $m_p = m_1 + m_2$. Ex: $m_p = 0$

 $|{+}\hbar,{-}\hbar\rangle+|{-}\hbar,{+}\hbar\rangle+|0,0\rangle$

2010年10月11日星期一





Detection of Genuine High-order Entanglement



Correlation Criterion



Local Measurement Settings (for qubits)

Ex: Settings for Polarization-Entangled Photon Pairs



Correlation Criterion (for qubits)

Ex: Bell-type Inequality

 $S = E(\theta_1, \theta_2) + E(\theta'_1, \theta_2) + E(\theta_1, \theta'_2) - E(\theta'_1, \theta'_2), \text{ Bell kernel}$

and $E(\theta_1, \theta_2)$ is given by

 $\frac{C(\theta_1,\theta_2)+C(\theta_1^{\perp},\theta_2^{\perp})-C(\theta_1,\theta_2^{\perp})-C(\theta_1^{\perp},\theta_2)}{C(\theta_1,\theta_2)+C(\theta_1^{\perp},\theta_2^{\perp})+C(\theta_1^{\perp},\theta_2^{\perp})+C(\theta_1^{\perp},\theta_2)}.$

S>2: Quantum Correlation

P. G. Kwiat, K. Mattle, H. Weinfurter, A. Zeilinger, A. V. Sergienko, and Y. H. Shih, "New high-intensity source of polarization-entangled photon pairs", Phys. Rev. Lett. 75, 4337 (1995).



Correlation Criterion (for qubits)

Ex: Bell-type Inequality

 $S = E(\theta_1, \theta_2) + E(\theta_1', \theta_2) + E(\theta_1, \theta_2') - E(\theta_1', \theta_2'), \text{ Bell kernel}$

and $E(\theta_1, \theta_2)$ is given by

4 Local Measurement Settings

 $\frac{C(\theta_1,\theta_2)+C(\theta_1^{\perp},\theta_2^{\perp})-C(\theta_1,\theta_2^{\perp})-C(\theta_1^{\perp},\theta_2)}{C(\theta_1,\theta_2)+C(\theta_1^{\perp},\theta_2^{\perp})+C(\theta_1,\theta_2^{\perp})+C(\theta_1^{\perp},\theta_2)}.$

S>2: Quantum Correlation

P. G. Kwiat, K. Mattle, H. Weinfurter, A. Zeilinger, A. V. Sergienko, and Y. H. Shih, "New high-intensity source of polarization-entangled photon pairs", Phys. Rev. Lett. 75, 4337 (1995).

Detecting Genuine High-order Entanglement

Correlation Criterion (for qubits)

Ex: Entanglement Witness

Target state: $|\Phi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|HH\rangle + |VV\rangle)$

Experimental State (output): ρ_{Φ}

Entanglement Witness: $\hat{W}_{\Phi} = \frac{1}{2}I - |\Phi\rangle\langle\Phi|$

Correlation Criterion:

$$Tr[\hat{W}_{\Phi}\rho_{\Phi}] < 0 \longrightarrow \begin{array}{c} \rho_{\Phi} \text{ is a Entangled state close to} \\ |\Phi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|HH\rangle + |VV\rangle) \end{array}$$

Detecting Genuine High-order Entanglement

Correlation Criterion (for qubits)

Local Operator Decomposition (LOD) i.e. Measuring State Fidelity

$$\hat{W}_{\Phi} = \frac{1}{2}I - \underbrace{|\Phi\rangle\langle\Phi|}_{\varphi} = \frac{1}{4}(I + \sigma_z \otimes \sigma_z + \sigma_x \otimes \sigma_x - \sigma_y \otimes \sigma_y)$$
$$\sigma_z = |H\rangle\langle H| - |V\rangle\langle V| \quad \begin{array}{l}\sigma_x = |+\rangle\langle+| - |-\rangle\langle-|\\\sigma_y = |R\rangle\langle R| - |L\rangle\langle L|\end{array}$$

Detecting Genuine High-order Entanglement



Detecting Genuine High-order Entanglement



Summary



Detection of Genuine High-order Entanglement



Correlation Criterion



Local Measurement Settings (for qutrits)

Ex: Settings for OAM-Entangled Photon Pairs



2010年10月11日星期一

Detecting Genuine High-order Entanglement

Correlation Criterion (for qutrits)

Ex: Bell-type Inequality (4 Local Measurement Settings)

$$S_3 = + P(A_1 = B_1) + P(B_1 = A_2 + 1) + P(A_2 = B_2)$$
 Bell kerne
+ $P(B_2 = A_1) - P(A_1 = B_1 - 1) - P(B_1 = A_2)$
- $P(A_2 = B_2 - 1) - P(B_2 = A_1 - 1),$

where

$$P(A_a = B_b + k) = \sum_{i=0}^{2} P(A_a = j, B_b = j + k \text{mod}3)$$

S>2: Quantum Correlation

A. Vaziri, G. Weihs, & A. Zeilinger, *Experimental two-photon, three dimensional entanglement for quantum communications*. Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 240401(2002).

Correlation Criterion (for qutrits)

Ex: Bell-type Inequality (4 Local Measurement Settings)

$$S_3 = + P(A_1 = B_1) + P(B_1 = A_2 + 1) + P(A_2 = B_2)$$
 Bell kerne
+ $P(B_2 = A_1) - P(A_1 = B_1 - 1) - P(B_1 = A_2)$
- $P(A_2 = B_2 - 1) - P(B_2 = A_1 - 1),$

where

$$P(A_a = B_b + k) = \sum_{i=0}^{2} P(A_a = j, B_b = j + k \text{mod}3)$$

S>2: Quantum Correlation Genuine 3-level entanglement??

A. Vaziri, G. Weihs, & A. Zeilinger, *Experimental two-photon, three dimensional entanglement for quantum communications*. Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 240401(2002).

Settings:

Detecting Genuine High-order Entanglement

Correlation Criterion (for qutrits)

Ex: Bell-type Inequality (4 Local Measurement Settings)

$$S_{3} = + P(A_{1} = B_{1}) + P(B_{1} = A_{2} + 1) + P(A_{2} = B_{2})$$
 Bell kernel
+ $P(B_{2} = A_{1}) - P(A_{1} = B_{1} - 1) - P(B_{1} = A_{2})$ 4 Local
- $P(A_{2} = B_{2} - 1) - P(B_{2} = A_{1} - 1),$ Measurement

where

$$P(A_{a} = B_{b} + k) = \sum_{i=0}^{2} P(A_{a} = j, B_{b} = j + k \mod 3)$$
(A₁, B₁) (A₁, B₂)
(A₂, B₁) (A₂, B₂)
S>2: Quantum Correlation

A. Vaziri, G. Weihs, & A. Zeilinger, *Experimental two-photon, three dimensional entanglement for quantum communications*. Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 240401(2002).

Correlation Criterion (for qutrits)

Ex: Entanglement Witness

Target state: $|\text{MES}\rangle = (e^{i\alpha\pi}|L\rangle|r\rangle + |G\rangle|g\rangle + e^{i\beta\pi}|R\rangle|l\rangle)/\sqrt{3}$

Inoue, R., Yonehara, T., Miyamoto, Y., Koashi, M. & Kozuma, M. *Measuring Qutrit-Qutrit Entanglement of Orbital Angular Momentum States of an Atomic Ensemble and a Photon.* Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 110503 (2009).

Sanpera, A., Bruss, D. & Lewenstein, M. Schmidt-number witnesses and bound entanglement. Phys. Rev. A 63, 050301(R) (2001).

Thew, R. T., Nemoto, K., Weihs, A. G. & Munro, W. J. Qudit quantum-state tomography. Phys. Rev. A 66, 012303 (2002).

2010年10月11日星期一

R

Detecting Genuine High-order Entanglement



0.4



Sanpera, A., Bruss, D. & Lewenstein, M. Schmidt-number witnesses and bound entanglement. Phys. Rev. A **63**, 050301(R) (2001).

Thew, R. T., Nemoto, K., Weihs, A. G. & Munro, W. J. Qudit quantum-state tomography. Phys. Rev. A 66, 012303 (2002).

Correlation Criterion (for qutrits) Ex: Entanglement Witness

Target state: $|\text{MES}\rangle = (e^{i\alpha\pi}|L\rangle|r\rangle + |G\rangle|g\rangle + e^{i\beta\pi}|R\rangle|l\rangle)/\sqrt{3}$



$$F_{\rm exp} \equiv \langle {\rm MES} | \hat{\rho}_{\rm exp} | {\rm MES} \rangle = 0.74 \pm 0.02$$

Inoue, R., Yonehara, T., Miyamoto, Y., Koashi, M. & Kozuma, M. *Measuring Qutrit-Qutrit Entanglement of Orbital Angular Momentum States of an Atomic Ensemble and a Photon.* Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 110503 (2009).

Sanpera, A., Bruss, D. & Lewenstein, M. Schmidt-number witnesses and bound entanglement. Phys. Rev. A 63, 050301(R) (2001).

Thew, R. T., Nemoto, K., Weihs, A. G. & Munro, W. J. Qudit quantum-state tomography. Phys. Rev. A **66**, 012303 (2002).

Correlation Criterion (for qutrits) Ex: Entanglement Witness

Target state: $|\text{MES}\rangle = (e^{i\alpha\pi}|L\rangle|r\rangle + |G\rangle|g\rangle + e^{i\beta\pi}|R\rangle|l\rangle)/\sqrt{3}$



(2) Experimental State Fidelity:

 $F_{\rm exp} \equiv \langle {\rm MES} | \hat{\rho}_{\rm exp} | {\rm MES} \rangle = 0.74 \pm 0.02$

(3) The experimental state is identified as **Genuine three-level entangled** through the correlation criterion:



Inoue, R., Yonehara, T., Miyamoto, Y., Koashi, M. & Kozuma, M. *Measuring Qutrit-Qutrit Entanglement of Orbital Angular Momentum States of an Atomic Ensemble and a Photon.* Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 110503 (2009).

Sanpera, A., Bruss, D. & Lewenstein, M. Schmidt-number witnesses and bound entanglement. Phys. Rev. A 63, 050301(R) (2001).

Thew, R. T., Nemoto, K., Weihs, A. G. & Munro, W. J. Qudit quantum-state tomography. Phys. Rev. A **66**, 012303 (2002).

Correlation Criterion (for qutrits) Ex: Entanglement Witness

Target state: $|\text{MES}\rangle = (e^{i\alpha\pi}|L\rangle|r\rangle + |G\rangle|g\rangle + e^{i\beta\pi}|R\rangle|l\rangle)/\sqrt{3}$



(2) Experimental State Fidelity:

 $F_{\rm exp} \equiv \langle {\rm MES} | \hat{\rho}_{\rm exp} | {\rm MES} \rangle = 0.74 \pm 0.02$

(3) The experimental state is identified as **Genuine three-level entangled** through the correlation criterion:

80 Local Measurement Settings

 $F_{\rm exp} > 2/3$



Inoue, R., Yonehara, T., Miyamoto, Y., Koashi, M. & Kozuma, M. *Measuring Qutrit-Qutrit Entanglement of Orbital Angular Momentum States of an Atomic Ensemble and a Photon.* Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 110503 (2009).

Sanpera, A., Bruss, D. & Lewenstein, M. Schmidt-number witnesses and bound entanglement. Phys. Rev. A 63, 050301(R) (2001).

Thew, R. T., Nemoto, K., Weihs, A. G. & Munro, W. J. Qudit quantum-state tomography. Phys. Rev. A **66**, 012303 (2002).

 $\hat{\rho}_{e}$

• Generic multilevel Bell-type Inequalities (BI)

Son, W., Lee, J. & Kim, M. S. Generic Bell Inequalities for Multipartite Arbitrary Dimensional Systems Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 060406 (2006).

Quantum state tomography+correlation criterion

(QST+CC) Thew, R. T., Nemoto, K., Weihs, A. G. & Munro, W. J. Qudit quantum-state tomography. Phys. Rev. A 66, 012303 (2002).

Sanpera, A., Bruss, D. & Lewenstein, M. Schmidt-number witnesses and bound entanglement. Phys. Rev. A 63, 050301(R) (2001).

Local operator decomposition (LOD+CC)

Thew, R. T., Nemoto, K., Weihs, A. G. & Munro, W. J. Qudit quantum-state tomography. Phys. Rev. A **66**, 012303 (2002). Sanpera, A., Bruss, D. & Lewenstein, M. Schmidt-number witnesses and bound entanglement. Phys. Rev. A **63**, 050301(R) (2001).

Detecting Genuir	ne High-order
Entangle	ment

• Generic multilevel Bell-type Inequalities (BI)

Son, W., Lee, J. & Kim, M. S. Generic Bell Inequalities for Multipartite Arbitrary Dimensional Systems Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 060406 (2006).

Quantum state tomography+correlation criterion

(QST+CC) Thew, R. T., Nemoto, K., Weihs, A. G. & Munro, W. J. Qudit quantum-state tomography. Phys. Rev. A 66, 012303 (2002).

Sanpera, A., Bruss, D. & Lewenstein, M. Schmidt-number witnesses and bound entanglement. Phys. Rev. A 63, 050301(R) (2001).

Local operator decomposition (LOD+CC)

Thew, R. T., Nemoto, K., Weihs, A. G. & Munro, W. J. Qudit quantum-state tomography. Phys. Rev. A **66**, 012303 (2002). Sanpera, A., Bruss, D. & Lewenstein, M. Schmidt-number witnesses and bound entanglement. Phys. Rev. A **63**, 050301(R) (2001).

d: level #; N: qudit #	BI	QST+CC	LOD+CC
Multilevel & Multipartite Genuineness	X	C	C
# of Local Measurement Setting	2 ^N	d ^{2N} - 1	2(d ^N - 1)

Q1: **2**-local measurement settings are enough for detecting, e.g., states close to

$$|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(|+\hbar, -\hbar\rangle + |0, 0\rangle + |-\hbar, +\hbar\rangle) ?$$

Q2: **2**-local measurement settings are enough for estimating experimental state fidelity without full **Quantum State Tomography**??

Q3: 2-local measurement settings are enough for detecting Genuine General High-order Entanglement and for estimating experimental state fidelity without taking full Quantum State Tomography???

Summary



Detecting states close to
$$|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(|00\rangle_{zz} + |11\rangle_{zz} + |22\rangle_{zz})$$

Observation:

$$|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} (|00\rangle_{zx} + |12\rangle_{zx} + |21\rangle_{zx})$$

$$|ab\rangle_{zx}: a+b \doteq 0$$

$$|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} (|00\rangle_{xz} + |12\rangle_{xz} + |21\rangle_{xz})$$

$$|ab\rangle_{xz}: a+b\doteq 0$$

z: normal basis x: fourier basis



where
$$\hat{k}_{o} = |k\rangle_{oo} \langle k|, \ k \in \{0, 1, 2\}, \ o \in z, x$$



where
$$\hat{k}_{o} = |k\rangle_{oo} \langle k|, \ k \in \{0, 1, 2\}, \ o \in z, x$$

Summary



 $+(\hat{1}_z-\hat{0}_z)\otimes\hat{2}_x$

 $+(\hat{2}_z-\hat{1}_z)\otimes\hat{1}_x.$

where $\hat{k}_{o} = |k\rangle_{oo} \langle k|, \ k \in \{0, 1, 2\}, \ o \in z, x$



$$\begin{array}{l} +(\hat{1}_{z}-\hat{2}_{z})\otimes\hat{2}_{x} & +(\hat{1}_{x}-\hat{2}_{x})\otimes\hat{2}_{z} \\ +(\hat{2}_{z}-\hat{0}_{z})\otimes\hat{1}_{x} & +(\hat{1}_{x}-\hat{0}_{x})\otimes\hat{1}_{z} \\ +(\hat{0}_{z}-\hat{2}_{z})\otimes\hat{0}_{x} & +(\hat{1}_{z}-\hat{0}_{z})\otimes\hat{2}_{x} \\ +(\hat{1}_{z}-\hat{0}_{z})\otimes\hat{2}_{x} & \\ +(\hat{2}_{z}-\hat{1}_{z})\otimes\hat{1}_{x}. & \\ & \text{where} \quad \hat{k}_{o}=|k\rangle_{oo}\,\langle k|\,, \ k\in\{0,1,2\}, \ o\in z,x \end{array}$$



$$\begin{split} \hat{c}_{1} &= (\hat{0}_{z} - \hat{1}_{z}) \otimes \hat{0}_{x} & \hat{c}_{2} &= (0_{x} - 1_{x}) \otimes 0_{z} \\ &+ (\hat{1}_{z} - \hat{2}_{z}) \otimes \hat{2}_{x} & + (\hat{1}_{x} - \hat{2}_{x}) \otimes \hat{2}_{z} \\ &+ (\hat{2}_{z} - \hat{0}_{z}) \otimes \hat{1}_{x} & + (\hat{2}_{x} - \hat{0}_{x}) \otimes \hat{1}_{z} \\ &+ (\hat{0}_{z} - \hat{2}_{z}) \otimes \hat{0}_{x} & + (\hat{0}_{x} - \hat{2}_{x}) \otimes \hat{0}_{z} \\ &+ (\hat{1}_{z} - \hat{0}_{z}) \otimes \hat{2}_{x} & + (\hat{1}_{x} - \hat{0}_{x}) \otimes \hat{2}_{z} \\ &+ (\hat{2}_{z} - \hat{1}_{z}) \otimes \hat{1}_{x}. & + (\hat{2}_{x} - \hat{1}_{x}) \otimes \hat{1}_{z}. \\ &\text{where} \quad \hat{k}_{o} &= |k\rangle_{oo} \langle k| \, , \, \, k \in \{0, 1, 2\}, \, \, o \in z, x \end{split}$$

Summary

Efficient Detection of Genuine High-order Entanglement

Correlation Criterion

Target state: $|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(|00\rangle_{zz} + |11\rangle_{zz} + |22\rangle_{zz})$

Experimental State: ρ_{ψ}

Entanglement Witness:

$$\hat{W}_{\psi} = 3I - (\hat{c}_1 + \hat{c}_2)$$

Correlation Criterion:

$$Tr[\hat{W}_{\psi}\rho_{\psi}] < 0$$

 $\rho_{\psi} \text{ is a Genuine 3-level}$ Entangled State close to $|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(|00\rangle_{zz} + |11\rangle_{zz} + |22\rangle_{zz})$







Summary

Efficient Detection of Genuine High-order Entanglement

$$\sum_{j=1}^{q} \left\langle \prod_{k \in Y_j} \frac{\hat{c}_k + \hat{\mathbf{I}}}{t_d + 1} \right\rangle > \frac{1}{d} (l-1)(q-\eta_q) + \eta_q$$

Here \hat{c}_k , called *correlator*, is an new type of correlation operators such that

$$\hat{c}_k |G\rangle = t_d |G\rangle,$$

where
$$t_2 = 1, t_3 = 2, t_4 = 9, \dots$$

• Robustness of the Criterion (Witness)

The capability of the witness to identify an originally pure state, $|\Psi\rangle$, in the presence of white noise as a Genuine High-order Entanglement.

Here the contaminated state is of the form

$$\rho_{\rm w} = \frac{p}{d^N} I + (1-p) |\Psi\rangle \langle \Psi|,$$

where *p* is the probability of uncolored noise.

Summary

Efficient Detection of Genuine High-order Entanglement





Summary

Efficient Detection of Genuine High-order Entanglement





Summary

More about \hat{c}_k

• Q: What are correlators?

They detect correlations between two groups of qudits:



More about \hat{c}_k

• Q: How do we derive correlators from the state vector? $|C\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{9}} \sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma=0}^{2} |\alpha\rangle_{z} |\beta\rangle_{x} |\gamma\rangle_{z}$ E.g. *d*=3: \mathbf{Z} Χ \mathbf{Z} $\hat{C}_{2} = (\hat{0}_{z} - \hat{1}_{z}) \otimes (\hat{0}_{x}\hat{0}_{z} + \hat{1}_{x}\hat{2}_{z} + \hat{2}_{x}\hat{1}_{z})$ $+(\hat{1}_{z}-\hat{2}_{z})\otimes(\hat{0}_{x}\hat{2}_{z}+\hat{2}_{x}\hat{0}_{z}+\hat{1}_{x}\hat{1}_{z})$ $+(\hat{2}_{z}-\hat{0}_{z})\otimes(\hat{0}_{y}\hat{1}_{z}+\hat{1}_{y}\hat{0}_{z}+\hat{2}_{y}\hat{2}_{z})$ + $(\hat{0}_{z} - \hat{2}_{z}) \otimes (\hat{0}_{x}\hat{0}_{z} + \hat{1}_{x}\hat{2}_{z} + \hat{2}_{x}\hat{1}_{z})$ $+(\hat{1}_{z}-\hat{0}_{z})\otimes(\hat{0}_{x}\hat{2}_{z}+\hat{2}_{x}\hat{0}_{z}+\hat{1}_{x}\hat{1}_{z})$ $+(\hat{2}_{z}-\hat{1}_{z})\otimes(\hat{0}_{y}\hat{1}_{z}+\hat{1}_{y}\hat{0}_{z}+\hat{2}_{y}\hat{2}_{z})$ where $\hat{k}_{o} = |k\rangle_{oo} \langle k|, k \in \{0,1,2\}, o \in \{z,x\}.$

More about CORRELATORS \hat{c}_k

• Q: How do we derive correlators from the state vector? $\frac{1}{2}$

E.g.
$$d=3$$
: $|C\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{9}} \sum_{\substack{\alpha,\beta,\gamma=0\\\alpha+\beta+\gamma=0}}^{z} |\alpha\rangle_{z} |\beta\rangle_{x} |\gamma\rangle_{z}$

$$\hat{c}_{2} = (\hat{0}_{z} - \hat{1}_{z}) \otimes (\hat{0}_{x}\hat{0}_{z} + \hat{1}_{x}\hat{2}_{z} + \hat{2}_{x}\hat{1}_{z}) = \hat{p}_{0}$$

$$+ (\hat{1}_{z} - \hat{2}_{z}) \otimes (\hat{0}_{x}\hat{2}_{z} + \hat{2}_{x}\hat{0}_{z} + \hat{1}_{x}\hat{1}_{z}) = \hat{p}_{1}$$

$$+ (\hat{2}_{z} - \hat{0}_{z}) \otimes (\hat{0}_{x}\hat{1}_{z} + \hat{1}_{x}\hat{0}_{z} + \hat{2}_{x}\hat{2}_{z}) = \hat{p}_{2}$$

Z X Z

Sufficient condition for dependent systems $\langle \hat{p}_0' \rangle, \langle \hat{p}_1' \rangle, \langle \hat{p}_2' \rangle > 0$

+
$$(\hat{0}_{z} - \hat{2}_{z}) \otimes (\hat{0}_{x}\hat{0}_{z} + \hat{1}_{x}\hat{2}_{z} + \hat{2}_{x}\hat{1}_{z}) = \hat{p}_{0}'$$

+ $(\hat{1}_{z} - \hat{0}_{z}) \otimes (\hat{0}_{x}\hat{2}_{z} + \hat{2}_{x}\hat{0}_{z} + \hat{1}_{x}\hat{1}_{z}) = \hat{p}_{1}'$
+ $(\hat{2}_{z} - \hat{1}_{z}) \otimes (\hat{0}_{x}\hat{1}_{z} + \hat{1}_{x}\hat{0}_{z} + \hat{2}_{x}\hat{2}_{z}) = \hat{p}_{2}'$

where $\hat{k}_{o} = |k\rangle_{oo} \langle k|, k \in \{0,1,2\}, o \in \{z,x\}.$

 $\langle \hat{p}_0 \rangle, \langle \hat{p}_1 \rangle, \langle \hat{p}_2 \rangle > 0$

Sufficient condition for

dependent systems

Summary

More about **CORRELATORS** \hat{C}_k • Q: Why $\langle \hat{p}_1 \rangle, \langle \hat{p}_2 \rangle, \langle \hat{p}_3 \rangle > 0$ $\hat{p}_0 = (\hat{0}_z - \hat{1}_z) \otimes (\hat{0}_y \hat{0}_z + \hat{1}_y \hat{2}_z + \hat{2}_y \hat{1}_z)$ is a sufficient condition $\hat{p}_1 = (\hat{1}_z - \hat{2}_z) \otimes (\hat{0}_y \hat{2}_z + \hat{2}_y \hat{0}_z + \hat{1}_y \hat{1}_z)$ $\hat{p}_2 = (\hat{2}_z - \hat{0}_z) \otimes (\hat{0}_y \hat{1}_z + \hat{1}_y \hat{0}_z + \hat{2}_y \hat{2}_z)$ for dependent systems? Ans: For any biseparable states, e.g., we have $\langle \hat{p}_0 \rangle = (\langle \hat{0}_z \rangle - \langle \hat{1}_z \rangle) \langle \hat{0}_x \hat{0}_z + \hat{1}_x \hat{2}_z + \hat{2}_x \hat{1}_z \rangle,$ $\langle \hat{p}_1 \rangle = \left(\langle \hat{1}_z \rangle - \langle \hat{2}_z \rangle \right) \langle \hat{0}_x \hat{2}_z + \hat{2}_x \hat{0}_z + \hat{1}_x \hat{1}_z \rangle,$ $\langle \hat{p}_2 \rangle = \left(\langle \hat{2}_z \rangle - \langle \hat{0}_z \rangle \right) \langle \hat{0}_x \hat{1}_z + \hat{1}_x \hat{0}_z + \hat{2}_x \hat{2}_z \rangle.$ It is impossible to have $\langle \hat{p}_1 \rangle, \langle \hat{p}_2 \rangle, \langle \hat{p}_3 \rangle > 0$. Whereas a contradiction reveals the dependent systems.

ONLY THE MINIMAL TWO MEASUREMENT SETTINGS ARE NEEDED

 ${\color{black}\circ}$ Witness for N-qudit cluster state

$$W_{C} = \left[\frac{(d-1)\eta + 2}{d}\right]I + \left[\prod_{odd \ k} \frac{\hat{c}_{k} + I}{t_{d} + 1} + \prod_{even \ k} \frac{\hat{c}_{k} + I}{t_{d} + 1}\right]$$

2 local measurement settings:



• Witness for *N*-qudit GHZ state $|GHZ_N^d\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} |k\rangle^{\otimes N}$

$$W_{GHZ} = \left[\frac{(d-1)\eta + 2}{d}\right]I + \left[\frac{\hat{g}_0 + I}{t_d + 1} + \prod_{k=1}^{N-1}\frac{\hat{g}_k + I}{t_d + 1}\right]$$

2 local measurement settings:





2010年10月11日星期一



Remarks

• Detecting (2x2 x 3x3 x 2x2)-dimensional Hyperentangled photons $\int_{k} \hat{a}^{(k)} + \hat{a}^{(k)} + t = \hat{f}$





of Hyperentangled Photon Pairs, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 260501 (2005).

Remarks

Estimating Quantum State Fidelity without Quantum State Tomography

Qudit Graph State:

$$\langle G | \rho | G \rangle \ge \frac{1}{q - \eta_q} \left(\sum_{j=1}^q \left\langle \prod_{k \in Y_j} \frac{\hat{a}_k + \hat{\mathbf{I}}}{t_d + 1} \right\rangle - \eta_q \right)$$

Hyperentangled State:

$$\left\langle H \right| \rho \left| H \right\rangle \ge 3d(1 - \frac{1}{D}) \left\langle \bigotimes_{k=1}^{N} \frac{\hat{a}_{1}^{(k)} + \hat{a}_{2}^{(k)} + t_{d_{k}}\hat{\mathbf{I}}}{3t_{d_{k}}} \right\rangle + \frac{3d}{D} - 2d$$

Remarks

Speed up the present Experimental Verification of Highorder Entanglement

•J. T Barreiro, N. K. Langford, N. A. Peters, & P. G. Kwiat, *Generation of Hyperentangled Photon Pairs*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 260501 (2005).

• Molina-Terriza, G., Vaziri, A., Ursin. R. & Zeilinger, A. *Experimental Quantum Coin Tossing*. Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 040501 (2005).

•S. Groblacher, S., Jennewein, T., Vaziri, A., Weihs, G. & Zeilinger, A. *Experimental quantum cryptography with qutrits*. New J. Phys. **8**, 75 (2006).

•Barreiro, J. T., Wei, T.-C. & Kwiat, P. G. *Beating the channel capacity limit for linear photonic superdense coding*. Nature Phys. **4**, 282 (2008).

•Mair, A., Vaziri, A., Weihs, A. G. & Zeilinger, A. *Entanglement of the orbital angular momentum states of photons*. Nature **412**, 313 (2001).

•Vaziri, A., Pan, J.-W., Jennewein, T., Weihs, G. & Zeilinger, A. *Concentration of Higher Dimensional Entanglement: Qutrits of Photon Orbital Angular Momentum.* Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 227902 (2003).

•Molina-Terriza, G., Torres, J. P. & Torner, L. Twisted photons. Nature Phys. 3, 305 (2007).

•Inoue, R., Yonehara, T., Miyamoto, Y., Koashi, M. & Kozuma, M. *Measuring Qutrit-Qutrit Entanglement of Orbital Angular Momentum States of an Atomic Ensemble and a Photon.* Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 110503 (2009).

•Taguchi, G., Dougakiuchi, T., linuma, M., Hofmann, H. F. & Kadoya, Y. *Reconstruction of spatial qutrit states based on realistic measurement operators*. Phys. Rev. A **80**, 062102 (2009).

• • •

Remarks

 Speed up the future Experimental Verification of Highorder Entanglement



Detecting Genuine High-order Entanglement

Q1: **2**-local measurement settings are enough for detecting, e.g., states close to

$$|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(|+\hbar, -\hbar\rangle + |0, 0\rangle + |-\hbar, +\hbar\rangle)$$

Q2: 2-local measurement settings are enough for estimating experimental state fidelity without full **Quantum State Tomography**??

Yes

Yes

Q3: 2-local measurement settings are enough for detecting Genuine General High-order Entanglement and for estimating experimental state fidelity without taking full Quantum State Tomography??? Yes



- Genuine High-order Entanglement can be efficiently detected without complicated local measurements.
- The present detection schemes can be applied for the present and future experiments.
- Estimating Quantum State Fidelity without full Quantum State Tomography is possible.

Thanks for your attention!!