

Formation of Stars and Planets

Frank H. Shu National Tsing Hua University Physics Department NTHU 2 March 2005

Outline of Talk

- Origin of solar system review of classical ideas
- Modern theory of star formation
 - Four phases of star formation
 - Contraction of molecular cloud cores
 - Gravitational collapse and disk formation
 - The initial mass function
 - X-wind outflow and YSO jets
 - The inner disk edge
 - Migration of planets

Planets Revolve in Mostly Circular Orbits in Same Direction as Sun Spins



Planetary Orbits Nearly Lie in a Single Plane with Exception of Pluto & Mercury



Copyright @ Addison Wesley

Laplace's Nebular Hypothesis



Photo Credit: NASA/JPL

Snowline in the Solar Nebula

Rocks and metals condense, hydrogen compounds stay vaporized.

Hydrogen compounds, rocks, and metals condense.

Rocks and metals stay condensed, hydrogen compunds vaporize.

frost line

Hydrogen compounds, rocks,

and metals stay condensed.

Copyright @ Addison Wesley

Relative Abundance of Condensates

Materials in the Sol	ar Nebula			7	
	Metals	Rocks	Hydrogen Compounds	Light Gases	
		0			
Examples	iron, nickel, aluminum	silicates	water (H ₂ O) methane (CH ₄) ammonia (NH ₃)) hydrogen, helium	
Typical Condensation Temperature	1,000– 1,600 K	500- 1,300 K	<150 K	(do not condense in nebula)	
Relative Abundance (by mass)					
	(0.2%)	(0.4%)	(1.4%)	(98%)	

Agglomeration of Planets



Copyright @ Addison Wesley

The Formed Solar System



Four Phases of Star Formation

 Formation of recognizable cores in Giant Molecular Cloud (GMC) by ambipolar diffusion (AD) and decay of turbulence:

t = 1 - 3 Myr

 Rotating, magnetized gravitational collapse:

t = ?

- Strong jets & bipolar outflows; reversal of gravitational infall: t = 0.1 – 0.4 Myr
- Star and protoplanetary disk with lifetime:

t = 1 – 5 Myr



Figure 7 The four stages of star formation. (a) Cores form within molecular clouds as magnetic and turbulent support is lost through ambipolar diffusion. (b) A protostar with a surrounding nebular disk forms at the center of a cloud core collapsing from inside-out. (c) A stellar wind breaks out along the rotational axis of the system, creating a bipolar flow. (d) The infall terminates, revealing a newly formed star with a circumstellar disk.

Shu, Adams, & Lizano (1987)

Equations of Non-Ideal MHD for an Isothermal Gas

$$\begin{split} &\frac{\partial\rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \left(\rho \vec{u}\right) = 0, \\ &\frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial t} + \nabla \left(\frac{1}{2}u^2\right) + \left(\nabla \times \vec{u}\right) \times \vec{u} = -\nabla U - \frac{a^2}{\rho}\nabla\rho + \frac{1}{4\pi\rho} \left(\nabla \times \vec{B}\right) \times \vec{B}, \\ &\nabla^2 U = 4\pi G\rho, \\ &\frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial t} + \nabla \times (\vec{B} \times \vec{u}) = \nabla \times \left(-\eta \nabla \times \vec{B} + \frac{\tau \vec{B}}{4\pi\rho} \times \left[\vec{B} \times (\nabla \times \vec{B})\right]\right), \end{split}$$

with $a^2 = kT / m = \text{const}$ and η (electrical resistivity) and τ (neutral-ion collision time) specified by microphysics. Neutral-ion collision time is greatly increased when region is shielded from UV ionization (perpendicular $A_V > 4$ mag). Ideal MHD corresponds to $\eta = 0$ and $\tau = 0$.

Cloud-Core Evolution by Ambipolar Diffusion





0.4 0.6

r (pc)

2

Displayed time scale for laminar evolution is in conflict with statistics of starless cores versus cores with stars by factor of 3 - 10 (Lee & Myers1999; Jajina, Adams, & Myers 1999).

15.23195 Myr **Reset to 0 (pivotal state).**

Turbulent decay (Myers & Lazarian 1999) and turbulent diffusion (Zweibel 2002, Fatuzzo & Adams 2002) may reduce actual time to 1 - 3 Myr.

Desch & Mouschovias (2001).

See also Nakano (1979); Lizano & Shu (1989).

0.6

0.2

0.0

() d 0.4

Pivotal *t* = 0 States: Magnetized Singular Isothermal Toroids

AD leads to gravomagneto catastrophe, whereby center formally tries to reach infinite density in finite time – seems to be nonlinear attractor state with $\rho \sim 1/r^2$, $B \sim 1/r$, $\Omega \sim 1/r$. If we approximate the pivotal state as static, it satisfies $\rho(r,\theta) = \frac{a^2}{2\pi G r^2} R(\theta), \ \Phi(r,\theta) = \frac{4\pi a^2 r}{G^{1/2}} \phi(\theta) \text{ with } \int_0^{\pi/2} R(\theta) \sin\theta \, d\theta = 1 + H_0.$ $\frac{1}{\sin\theta} \frac{d}{d\theta} \sin\theta \left(2H_0 \frac{\phi'}{\phi} - \frac{R'}{R} \right) = 2(R - 1 - H_0),$ $\phi \frac{d}{d\theta} \left(\frac{\phi'}{\sin \theta} \right) = -H_0 R \sin \theta.$ N.B. solution for $H_0 = 0$: R = 1, $\Phi = 0$ (Shu 1977). Magnetic contours field lines $H_{o} = 0.125$ $H_{0} = 0.25$ $H_{a} = 0.5$ $H_0 = 1.0$ Li & Shu (1996)

Collapse of $H_0 = 0.0, 0.125, 0.25, 0.5$ Toroids



Allen, Shu, & Li (2003)

Case $H_0 = 0$ agrees with known analytical solution for SIS (Shu 1977) or numerical simulations without B (Boss & Black1982). Formation of pseudodisk when $H_0 > 0$ as anticipated in perturbational analysis by Galli & Shu (1993). Note trapping of field at origin produces split monopole with long lever arm for magnetic braking. Mass infall rate into center:

 $\dot{M} = 0.975 (1 + H_0) a^3 / G$ gives 0.17 Myr to form 0.5 M_{sun} star. Mass infall rate doubled if there is initial inward velocity at 0.5 *a*.

Catastrophic Magnetic Braking if Fields Are Perfectly Frozen



Allen, Li, & Shu (2003) – Initial rotation in range specified by Goodman et al. (1993). Some braking is needed, but frozen-in value is far too much (**no Keplerian disk forms**).

Breakdown of Ideal MHD

- Low-mass stars need 10 megagauss fields to stop infall from pseudodisk by static levitation (if envelope subcritical).
- Combined with rapid rotation in a surrounding Keplerian disk, such stars need only 2 kilogauss fields to halt infall by X-winds (dynamical levitation).



- Appearance of Keplerian disks requires breakdown of ideal MHD (Allen, Li, & Shu 2003).
- Annihilation of split monopole is replaced by multipoles of stellar field sustained by dynamo action.
- Latter fields are measured in T Tauri stars through Zeeman broadening by Basri, Marcy, & Valenti (1992) and Johns-Krull, Valenti, & Koresko (1999).

Computed Steady X-Wind Filling All Space

Apart from details of mass loading onto field lines, only free parameters are





Multipole Solutions Change Funnel Flow but not X-wind



Mohanty & Shu (2005)

What's important is trapped flux at X-point (Johns-Krull & Gafford 2002).

Prototypical X-Wind Model

 $(1 R_x \approx 0.06 \text{ AU typically})$



Shu, Najita, Ostriker, & Shang (1995)

Gas: YSO Jets Are Often Pulsed Magnetic Cycles?





Shang, Glassgold, Shu, & Lizano (2002)

Synthetic Long-Slit Spectra



Position-Velocity Spectrogram



Woitas, Ray, Bacciotti, Davis, & Eisloffel (2002)

Henney, O'Dell, Meaburn, & Garrington (2002)

Relationships Among Core Mass, Stellar Mass, & Turbulence

 Conjecture: Outflows break out when infall weakens and widens after center has accumulated some fraction (50%?) of core mass (1/3 of which is ejected in X-wind). Physical content of Class 0? (Andre, Ward-Thompson &

Barsony 1993) Stellar mass is therefore

 Stellar mass is therefore defined by X-wind as 1/3 of core mass

 $M_0 = m_0 (a^2 + v^2)^2 / G^{3/2} B_0$. Distribution of $m_0 \le \pi^2$.

 Ambipolar diffusion and turbulence driven by outflows lead to distribution of

 $(a^2 + v^2)^2 / B_0$

that yields core mass function.



Shu, Li, & Allen (2004)

1 (r_0) above is 200,000 times bigger than 1 (R_x) below

Shang, Ostriker, & Shu (1995)

Attack by matched asymptotic expansions

Core Mass with Magnetic Fields and Turbulence

 Pivotal state produced by AD (Mestel & Spitzer 1956, Nakano 1979, Lizano & Shu 1989, Basu & Mouschovias 1994, Desch & Mouschovias 2004):

$$\overline{\lambda} \equiv \frac{2\pi G^{1/2} M_0}{\pi r_0^2 B_0} = 2.$$

Core mass:

part which is supercritical

• Virial equilibrium:

$$\mathbf{2} \cdot \frac{3}{2} M_0(a^2 + v^2) = \frac{G M_0^2}{r_0}.$$

Differs from barely bound in factor 2.

Solve for

$$M_{0} = \frac{9(a^{2} + v^{2})^{2}}{G^{3/2}B_{0}}, \ r_{0} = \frac{3(a^{2} + v^{2})}{G^{1/2}B_{0}}.$$

• Compare with SIS threaded by uniform field:

 $M_{0} = \frac{\pi^{2} a^{4}}{G^{3/2} B_{0}}, r_{0} = \frac{\pi a^{2}}{G^{1/2} B_{0}}. \qquad M_{0} = 1.5 \text{ solar mass for} \qquad \text{Direction of } B_{0} = 30 \,\mu\,\text{G}$

Divide mass by 4 if barely bound



which is Salpeter IMF at intermediate masses (peak at $\pi^2 a^4/3G^{3/2}B_0 \approx 0.5 M_{sun}$; steeper at high stellar masses because of radiation pressure on dust grains).

NB: SFE = 1/3 when F = 1 (cf. Lada & Lada 2003).

Schematic IMF



The Orion Embedded Cluster



Figure 3. The ORI OB1 association and its Giant Molecular Cloud Complex. The subgroups of sequentially differing age are enclosed by dashed lines. The oldest (Ia; significantly more expanded than the youngest (Trapezium Cluster). Figure taken fr Blaaw (1991).



Trapezium Cluster Initial Mass Function



Log N + Constant

Almost 100% of Young Stars in Orion Cluster Are Born with Disks



Discovery of Extrasolar Planets

Marcy webpage

Driven Spiral Density and Bending Waves in Saturn's Rings

Shu, Cuzzi, & Lissauer (1983)

Implications for planet migration due to planet-disk interaction

Shepherd Satellites Predicted by Goldreich & Tremaine

Photo credit: Cassini-Huygens/NASA

Model Fit to CO Fundamental $(v = 1 \rightarrow 0, \Delta J = \pm 1)$

Inferred gas temperature < 1200 K; kinematics gives location of inner disk edge.

Najita et al. (2003)

Size of Inner Hole in Rough Agreement with Disk Locking

TABLE 8

SINGLE-STAR PARAMETERS AND CO EMISSION RADII

Star	P* ^a (days)	M_{st} (M_{\odot})	i	V _{max} (km s ⁻¹)	R _{in} (AU)	R_c^{b} (AU)	R _{out} (min) ^c (AU)
LkCa 15	5.85	0.97 ^d	52 ^d	80 ± 20	0.093	0.083	0.78
GG Tau A	10.3	0.8 ^e	37 ^d	105 ± 10	0.023	0.086	0.23
BP Tau	7.6	1.24 ^d	30 ^d	80 ± 5	0.043	0.081	0.44
CY Tau	7.5	0.55 ^d	30 ^d	60 ± 10	0.034	0.062	0.17
GK Tau	4.65	$0.75^{\rm f}$	52 ^g	96 ± 5	0.045	0.050	0.62
IQ Tau	6.25	0.52^{f}	49 ^g	80 ± 10	0.041	0.054	0.21

^a Periods from the compilation in Bouvier et al. 1995.

^b Corotation radius.

^c Minimum radius for the outer extent of the CO emission.

^d Simon et al. 2000.

^e Simon et al. 2000; assumed 0.8 M_{\odot} for the mass of Aa.

^f Mass derived from stellar evolutionary tracks (see text).

^g Inclination obtained from P_* , $v \sin i$, T_* , and L_* .

Najita et al (2003)

Parking Hot Jupiters

inner solar system	 Meccury 	«Venus	Earth	- Mars			
HD 83443	0.35M jop						
HD 46375	0.25M Jup						
HD 187123	0.54M Jup						
HD 179949	0.86M jap						
BD-103166	0.48M jup						
Гац Воо	0 4.14M jup						
HD 75289	O a 0.46M jap						
HD 209458	😑 💣 0.63M Jup						
51 Peg	😑 👦 10.46M jup						
UpsAnd	😑 🔹 0.68M jup	2.05X	M Jup			 4.29M jup 	
HD 168746	😑 🍵 0.24M Jup		-0232				
HD 217107	0 1.29M jup.						
HD 162020	😑 👲 13.73М _{Јар}						
HD 130322	😑 💿 1.15M յ _{ար}						
HD 108147	0.35M jap						
GJ 86	4.23M Jup						
55 Cinc	😑 🍵 0.93M Jup						
HD 38529	😑 💊 0.77M jap						
GJ 876	○ 0.56М јар						
HD 195019	😑 🐞 3.55M Jup						
HD 6434	0.48M Jap						
HD 192263	0.81M jup						
HD 83443c	😑 🍵 0.16М _{Јар}						
RhoCrB	😑 🔹 0.99M jup						0.40436
HD 168443	😑 🥚 7,73М _{Јир}						9 17.1M Jup
HD 121504	😑 👘 🐻 👘						
	1		action	2	an ann an		3

Thank you, everyone!

