## Seeding Supermassive Black Holes

NCTS Dark Physics Workshop 01/09/2020

#### Wei-Xiang Feng University of California, Riverside



W.-X. Feng, H.-B. Yu & Y.-M. Zhong (in prep.)

### Puzzle: SMBHs in Re-ionization Era

- Most galaxies are found with supermassive black holes (SMBHs) with mass  $\gtrsim 10^8 M_\odot$  at their centers.
- The early formation of SMBHs in re-ionization era (6 < z < 20) has puzzled astrophysicists for decades.
- More recently, around a hundred of distant SMBHs are found in ~800 Myr after the creation of our universe (redshift z ~ 7).
   Banados et al., Nature, 553, 473 (2018); Wang et al., ApJ, 869, L9 (2018);

Matsuoka et al., ApJ, **883**, 183 (2019); **872**, L2 (2019)

#### First Stars and Reionization Era



### Self-interacting Dark Matter (SIDM)

- Understanding DM is crucial to unravel the connection of the galaxies to their central holes.
- Rather than cold dark matter (CDM), we consider selfinteracting dark matter (SIDM) to model the dark halos.
- Core-cusp, diversity problem...

Kaplinghat et al., PRL, **113**, 021302 (2014); Kamada et al., PRL, **119**, 111102 (2017)

Can SIDM halo revolve the puzzle via Direct Collapse scenario ??

Constraint on DM self-interaction:  $10^{-1}$ cm<sup>2</sup>/g  $\leq \sigma/m \leq 10$ cm<sup>2</sup>/g

• Long mean free path (LMFP) regime ( $\lambda \gg H$ ).—

 $H = \left(\frac{\nu^2}{4\pi G\rho}\right)^{1/2}$  (gravitational scale height) is the proper length scale of heat transfer.

The DM particles make several orbits between collisions; the heat conduction is more efficient.

• Short mean free path (SMFP) regime ( $\lambda \ll H$ ).—

 $\lambda = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}n\sigma}$  (mean free path) is the proper length scale of heat transfer.

The DM motion is significantly restrained by multiple collisions; the heat conduction is less efficient.

Balberg & Shapiro, PRL, **88**, 101301 (2002); Balberg, Shapiro & Inagaki, ApJ, **568**, 475 (2002)

$$\frac{\partial M}{\partial r} = 4\pi r^2 (\rho_b + \rho_\chi), \quad \frac{\partial (\rho_\chi \nu_\chi^2)}{\partial r} = -\frac{GM\rho_\chi}{r^2}, \quad \frac{\partial (\rho_b \nu_b^2)}{\partial r} = -\frac{GM\rho_b}{r^2}, \quad \frac{L_\chi}{4\pi r^2} = -\frac{3}{2}\alpha\beta\nu_\chi\sigma_\chi \left(\alpha\sigma_\chi^2 + \frac{\beta}{\gamma}\frac{4\pi G}{\rho_\chi\nu_\chi^2}\right)^{-1}\frac{\partial\nu_\chi^2}{\partial r}, \quad \frac{L_b}{\partial r} = -\frac{3}{2}\frac{\beta\nu_b}{\sigma_b}\frac{\partial\nu_b^2}{\partial r}, \quad \frac{\partial L_\chi}{\partial r} = -4\pi\rho_\chi r^2\nu_\chi^2 \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)_M \ln\frac{\nu_\chi^3}{\rho_\chi}, \quad \frac{\partial L_b}{\partial r} = -4\pi\rho_b r^2\nu_b^2 \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)_M \ln\frac{\nu_b^3}{\rho_b},$$

- NFW profile for SIDM as initial condition.
- Hernquist profile for baryons:

$$\Phi_b(r) = -\frac{GM_H}{r+r_H}, \quad M_b(r) = \frac{M_H r^2}{(r+r_H)^2}, \qquad \rho_b(r) = \frac{1}{4\pi G} \nabla^2 \Phi_b(r) = \frac{M_H r_H}{2\pi r (r+r_H)^3},$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} M_0 = 4\pi \rho_s r_s^3 & (\sigma/m)_0 = (r_s \rho_s)^{-1} \\ \nu_0 = (4\pi G \rho_s)^{1/2} r_s \ L_0 = (4\pi G)^{5/2} G^{3/2} \rho_s^{5/2} r_s^5 \\ t_0 = (4\pi G \rho_s)^{-1/2} \quad C_0 = (4\pi G)^{3/2} \rho_s^{5/2} r_s^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

TABLE I. Fiducial quantities,  $x_0$ , and dimensionless variables,  $\hat{x}$  for gravothermal evolution





FIG. 1. Evolution of the inner density profiles. Upper left: low-redshift halo,  $\sigma/m = 0.82 \text{ cm}^2/\text{g}$  ( $\hat{\sigma} = 0.01$ ). Upper right: low-redshift halo,  $\sigma/m = 8.2 \text{ cm}^2/\text{g}$ . The collapse time is reduced by a factor of 5.2. Bottom: high-redshift halo,  $\sigma/m = 0.36 \text{ cm}^2/\text{g}$  ( $\hat{\sigma} = 0.19$ ). The collapse time is reduced by a factor of 20.2. Note that the difference in the initial stage of the evolution is due to the fact we start the simulations with  $\hat{r}_{\text{inner most}} = 10^{-4} (10^{-2})$  for SIDM with baryons (pure SIDM).

• Summary of high redshift halo formed at z = 10 (473Myr):

 $\rho_c(z=10) \approx 5.71 \times 10^4 M_{\odot}/{\rm kpc}^3$  and  $c_{200}=3.9$ 

$$\rightarrow \rho_s = 2.84 \times 10^8 M_\odot / {\rm kpc^3}, \, r_s = 8.9 \ {\rm kpc}$$

 $\rho_s = \frac{200}{3} \frac{c_{200}^3}{K_{c_{200}}} \rho_c, \quad r_s = \left(\frac{3M_{200}}{800\pi c_{200}^3 \rho_c}\right)^{1/3}$ 

Navarro, Frenk & White, ApJ, 462, 563 (1996)

and we pick  $M_H = 8 \times 10^{10} M_{\odot}$ ,  $r_H = 0.34$  kpc

we find for  $\hat{\sigma} = 0.19$  ( $\sigma/m = 0.36$  cm<sup>2</sup>/g), the collapse time:

- 8.3 Gyr for pure SIDM halo; 413 Myr for SIDM with baryons
- A factor of **20** reduction !!

Around 0.05 of the collapse time for pure SIDM case !!

Inner/Secondary core emergence

 $\frac{\mathcal{M}}{M_{200}} = \frac{\mathcal{M}}{M_{2nd}} \frac{M_{2nd}}{M_0} \frac{M_0}{M_{200}} = \frac{\mathcal{M}}{M_{2nd}} \zeta \frac{1}{K_{c_{200}}}$ 

The mass of the inner core follows



Direct Collapse of the inner core ??





FIG. 3.  $M_{\rm 2nd}/M_0$  as a function of  $(\sigma/m)r_s\rho_s$ 

Pollack, Spergel & Steinhardt , ApJ, 804, 131 (2015)

## **Dynamical Instabilities**

- The dynamical instability in Newtonian gravity requires the (pressure-averaged) adiabatic index of the core  $\langle \Gamma \rangle \leq \Gamma_{\text{Cr.}} = 4/3$ , whereas  $4/3 \leq \Gamma \leq 5/3$  for ideal gas.
- In GR, the pressure (thermal energy) plays a role in gravitational energy such that

#### $\Gamma_{\text{Cr.}} = 4/3 + (\text{pressure contribution})$

Chandrasekhar, PRL, 12, 114; ApJ, 140, 417 (1964)

## **Dynamical Instabilities**

Truncated Maxwell-Boltzmann model

(Merafina & Ruffini, A&A, 221, 4 (1989))

- The critical compactness  $\sim 10^{-2}$
- The critical central velocity dispersion  $v_d(0) \simeq 0.55 \sim 0.57c$



FIG. 4. Adiabatic indices as functions of  $v_d(0)$  (truncated MB model) at b = 0.1

TABLE III. Marginal stable points corresponding to different temperature parameters  $b = k_B T_R/mc^2$ . We find it hard to achieve the GR dynamical instability for  $b \leq 10^{-2}$ . For  $b \geq 1.0$  pair production effects of SIDM need to be taken into account and the results should be modified.

b	$W_0$	$\bar{\mathcal{M}}$	Ŕ	$\mathcal{C} = G\mathcal{M}/c^2R$	$\epsilon_c(0)/mc^2$	$\bar{ ho}(0)$	$\bar{p}(0)$	$v_d(0)/c$	$\langle \Gamma \rangle = \Gamma_{\rm cr.}$
5.0	$6.46760 \times 10^{-2}$	$2.06291 \times 10^{-1}$	$2.59300 \times 10^{0}$	$7.95569 \times 10^{-2}$	$4.77940 \times 10^{-1}$	$1.59029 \times 10^{-1}$	$1.69197 \times 10^{-2}$	$5.64962 \times 10^{-1}$	1.62360
3.0	$1.07885 \times 10^{-1}$	$1.58848 \times 10^{-1}$	$2.00200 \times 10^{0}$	$7.93447 \times 10^{-2}$	$4.78539 \times 10^{-1}$	$2.68968 \times 10^{-1}$	$2.85863 \times 10^{-2}$	$5.64662 \times 10^{-1}$	1.62359
1.0	$3.25432 \times 10^{-1}$	$8.89055 \times 10^{-2}$	$1.13900 \times 10^{0}$	$7.80557 \times 10^{-2}$	$4.82454 \times 10^{-1}$	$8.73831 \times 10^{-1}$	$9.24768 \times 10^{-2}$	$5.63460 \times 10^{-1}$	1.62352
0.5	$6.57400 \times 10^{-1}$	$5.98030 \times 10^{-2}$	$7.88001 \times 10^{-1}$	$7.58920 \times 10^{-2}$	$4.89672 \times 10^{-1}$	$1.99392 \times 10^{0}$	$2.09844 \times 10^{-1}$	$5.61895 \times 10^{-1}$	1.62234
0.3	$1.11294 \times 10^{0}$	$4.30745 \times 10^{-2}$	$5.94001 \times 10^{-1}$	$7.25159 \times 10^{-2}$	$5.01296 \times 10^{-1}$	$4.04500 \times 10^{0}$	$4.22622 \times 10^{-1}$	$5.59857 \times 10^{-1}$	1.62321
0.1	$3.82510 \times 10^{0}$	$1.47252 \times 10^{-2}$	$3.36001 \times 10^{-1}$	$4.38247 \times 10^{-2}$	$6.19961 \times 10^{-1}$	$5.81339 \times 10^{1}$	$5.87858 \times 10^{0}$	$5.50785 \times 10^{-1}$	1.62163

### Angular Momentum of the Dark Halos

- Universal angular momentum profile of dark halo?
   Bullock et al., ApJ, 555, 240 (2001)
- To reach the direct collapse into a BH without fragmentation, the angular momentum of the inner core must satisfy  $\mathcal{J} < (G/c)\mathcal{M}^2$
- For halo mass  $M_{200} = 10^{12} M_{\odot}$  with concentration  $c_{200} = 4$ ; the core radius starts to form around  $0.1r_s$ , by using NFW profile and the fitting function from N-body Liao, Chen & Chu, ApJ, 844, 86 (2017)
- $\mathcal{M} = 5.44 \times 10^9 M_{\odot}$  $\mathcal{J} = 7.29 \times 10^7 M_{\odot} \cdot \text{Mpc} \cdot \text{km/s} \simeq 10^2 (G/c) \mathcal{M}^2 \gg$  $(G/c) \mathcal{M}^2 = 4.24 \times 10^5 M_{\odot} \cdot \text{Mpc} \cdot \text{km/s}.$

### Angular Momentum of the Dark Halos

• The dissipation of angular momentum due to viscosity (LMFP)  $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{J}_i \exp\left(-\frac{20}{3\sqrt{3\pi}} \int_{t_i}^t \frac{\rho_R(t')(\sigma/m)b^{3/2}(t')}{G\mathcal{M}/c^2R(t')}cdt'\right)$ 

To estimate for pure SIDM case, we take  $\rho_R \simeq \rho_s \simeq 10^8 M_{\odot}/\text{kpc}^3$ ,  $R \simeq 0.1 r_s \simeq 1 \text{kpc}$ ,  $\mathcal{M} \simeq 10^{-2} M_0 \simeq 10^{10} M_{\odot}$ ,  $\hat{\sigma} = 10^{-2}$ ,  $cb = \nu = 0.3\nu_0$ . The time  $\Delta t$  for  $\mathcal{J} \simeq 10^{-4} \mathcal{J}_i$  is 964.8 $t_0$  (for  $\mathcal{J} = e^{-1} \mathcal{J}_i$  takes 120.6 $t_0$ ), which is much less than the collapse time  $t_c = 150/(0.6 \times 0.01) = 2.5 \times 10^4 t_0$ , as the viscosity and conductivity share the same microscopic nature of "collisional" SIDM.

Around 0.04 of the collapse time for pure SIDM case !!

$$t_c \approx \frac{150}{\gamma} \frac{t_0}{\hat{\sigma}} \quad \text{for} \quad \hat{\sigma} = r_s \rho_s \sigma / m \ll 1$$

Essig, Mcdermott, Yu & Zhong, PRL, 123, 121102 (2019)



• For pure SIDM, the inner core mass follows

$$\zeta \equiv \frac{M_{2\rm nd}}{M_0} = 0.075((\sigma/m)r_s\rho_s)^{2/3}$$

- Qualitatively, for SIDM halo with baryons: larger  $M_H$ ; smaller  $r_H$  will lead to a faster collapse.
- The sufficient condition for the inner core to collapse into a BH:  $v_d(0) \simeq 0.55 \sim 0.57c$ .
- The angular momentum of SIDM halo can be transported out within the collapse time, and a Direct Collapse of a BH ~  $10^9 10^{10} M_{\odot}$  before redshift z = 7 is possible !!

#### Thanks for your attention !