

Consistency of canonical formulation of Hořava Gravity

Ref: arXiv:1007.1563 (gr-qc)

Chopin Soo

Department of Physics,
National Cheng Kung University,
Tainan, Taiwan



(wth J. Yang, H. L. Yu ; J. Fernando Barbero G.)

*Einstein's General Relativity in 4-dimensions:

Not renormalizable as a perturbative QFT (Goroff, Sagnotti; t' Hooft Veltman; van der Ven ...)

*GR with higher derivatives as perturbative QFTs :

Renormalizable; BUT not unitary (Stelle; Julve, Tonin; Fradkin, Tesylin; Avramidi, Barvinsky; ...)

$$\int d^4x \sqrt{g} \left[\frac{1}{16\pi G} (2\Lambda - R) + \frac{1}{2\lambda} C^2 - \frac{\omega}{3\lambda} R^2 + \frac{\theta}{\lambda} E \right]$$

$C^2 \equiv C_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} C^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$ is the square of the Weyl tensor.

$E \equiv R^2 - 4R_{\mu\nu} R^{\mu\nu} + R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$ is the Gauss-Bonnet term.

taming of divergences due to higher derivatives

(General covariance => no. of time and space derivatives are equal)

=> problem with unitarity

PHYSICAL REVIEW D 79, 084008 (2009)

Quantum gravity at a Lifshitz point

Petr Hořava

*Horava's proposal:

improve convergence with higher **spatial** derivatives, but keep **time** derivatives to **2nd order** only.

(=> **Give up (!)** spacetime covariance at the "fundamental" level)

Space and time are not on equal footing!

$$\frac{1}{\omega^2 - c^2 \mathbf{k}^2 - G(\mathbf{k}^2)^z} = \frac{1}{\omega^2 - \mathbf{k}^2} + \frac{1}{\omega^2 - \mathbf{k}^2} G(\mathbf{k}^2)^z \times \frac{1}{\omega - \mathbf{k}^2} + \dots$$

*Reduce 4-dimensional diffeomorphism symmetry
 -> 3-dimensional spatial diffeomorphism invariance
 (?+? time reparametrization invariance)

$$ds^2 = -N^2(cdt)^2 + q_{ij}(dx^i + N^i cdt)(dx^j + N^j cdt)$$

Assume ADM decomposition of spacetime metric

*Horava's proposed action in canonical form:

$$S = \int \pi^{ij} \dot{q}_{ij} d^3x dt - \int (NH + N^i H_i) d^3x dt.$$

Guiding principle: maintain
 3-dim. diffeomorphism invariance

»To eliminate many possible terms: Impose "detailed balance"

$$H = \frac{\kappa^2}{2} \frac{G_{ijkl}}{\sqrt{q}} \left[\pi^{ij} \pi^{kl} + \frac{\delta W_T}{\delta q_{ij}} \frac{\delta W_T}{\delta q_{kl}} \right]$$

$$H_i = 2q_{ij} \nabla_k \pi^{kj}$$

Supermetric: $G_{ijkl} = \frac{1}{2}(q_{ik}q_{jl} + q_{il}q_{jk}) - \frac{\lambda}{3\lambda-1} q_{ij}q_{kl}$

Deformation parameter
 λ

$$W_T = W_{CS} + W_{EHL} = \frac{1}{4w^2} \int \tilde{\epsilon}^{ikj} (\Gamma_{im}^l \partial_j \Gamma_{kl}^m + \frac{2}{3} \Gamma_{im}^l \Gamma_{jn}^m \Gamma_{kl}^n) d^3x + \frac{\mu}{2} \int \sqrt{q} (R - 2\Lambda_W) d^3x.$$

The Cotton tensor density can be expressed as $\tilde{C}^{ij} = w^2 \frac{\delta W_{CS}}{\delta q_{ij}} = w^2 \tilde{\epsilon}^{ikl} \nabla_k (R_l^j - \frac{1}{4} R \delta_l^j).$

$$S = \int dt d^3 \mathbf{x} \sqrt{g} N \left\{ \frac{2}{\kappa^2} K_{ij} G^{ijkl} K_{kl} - \frac{\kappa^2}{2} \left[\frac{1}{w^2} C^{ij} - \frac{\mu}{2} \left(R^{ij} - \frac{1}{2} R g^{ij} + \Lambda_W g^{ij} \right) \right] \times G_{ijkl} \left[\frac{1}{w^2} C^{kl} - \frac{\mu}{2} \left(R^{kl} - \frac{1}{2} R g^{kl} + \Lambda_W g^{kl} \right) \right] \right\}.$$

$$S = \int dt d^3 \mathbf{x} \sqrt{g} N \left\{ \frac{2}{\kappa^2} (K_{ij} K^{ij} - \lambda K^2) - \frac{\kappa^2}{2w^4} C_{ij} C^{ij} + \frac{\kappa^2 \mu}{2w^2} \varepsilon^{ijk} R_{i\ell} \nabla_j R_k^\ell - \frac{\kappa^2 \mu^2}{8} R_{ij} R^{ij} + \frac{\kappa^2 \mu^2}{8(1-3\lambda)} \left(\frac{1-4\lambda}{4} R^2 + \Lambda_W R - 3\Lambda_W^2 \right) \right\}.$$

$$G = \frac{\kappa^2 c^3}{32\pi}$$

$$\Lambda = \frac{3}{2} \Lambda_W$$

$$c = \frac{\kappa^2 \mu}{4} \sqrt{\frac{\Lambda_W}{1-3\lambda}}$$

Short distance behavior: interacting fundamentally non-rel. gravitons

» Power-counting renormalizable in 3+1 dimensions.

=> If successful as perturbative QFT,

then coupling parameters obey renormalization group flow.

c, G emerge from non-relativistic fundamental theory.

Long distance behaviour : flows to Einstein's theory (hopefully(!)) $\lambda = 1$

4-dim. spacetime covariance recovered at low energies/curvatures.

*Horava Gravity : *comes in 2 versions*

$$S = \int \pi^{ij} \dot{q}_{ij} d^3x dt - \int (NH + N^i H_i) d^3x dt.$$

$$H = \frac{\kappa^2}{2\sqrt{q}} \left(\tilde{\pi}_{ij} \tilde{\pi}^{ij} - \frac{\lambda}{3\lambda - 1} \tilde{\pi}^2 \right) + \sqrt{q} V(q)$$

1) ***“Projectable”** (lapse function: $N(t)$ only)

=> ***Global (integrated) Hamiltonian constraint** $[\int d^3x H(x)] = 0$

2) ***“Non-projectable”** (lapse function $N(t, x)$)

=> ***Local constraint** $H(x) = 0$

*3) maybe “Projectable” is gauge-fixed version of “Non-projectable”

*Case 1) *Projectable version : with **global (integrated) constraint**
1 fewer local constraint than Gen. Rel. \Rightarrow (more than) 2 (local) d.o.f.

\Rightarrow extra mode

Pathological behaviour of the scalar graviton in Hořava-Lifshitz gravity
 arXiv 09101998

Kazuya Koyama* and Frederico Arroja†

$$S = \frac{M_{\text{Pl}}^2}{2} \int dt d^3x N \sqrt{-\gamma} \left((K^{ij} K_{ij} - \lambda K^2) + {}^{(3)}R - 2\Lambda + \mathcal{L}_V \right)$$

$$ds^2 = -(N^2 - N_i N^i) dt^2 + 2N_i dx^i dt + \gamma_{ij} dx^i dx^j.$$

$$N = 1 + \alpha(t), \quad N_i = \partial_i \beta, \quad \gamma_{ij} = \delta_{ij} + 2(\delta_{ij} + k^{-2} \partial_i \partial_j) \zeta - 2k^{-2} \partial_i \partial_j \chi, \quad k^2 \equiv -\partial^2.$$

up to 2nd order in **perturbations**

$$\mathcal{L} = \pi_\zeta \dot{\zeta} + \pi_\chi \dot{\chi} - \mathcal{H} - \beta C_\beta - \alpha(t) C_\alpha,$$

$$C_\alpha = -2k^2 \zeta, \quad C_\beta = -k^2 \pi_\chi,$$

$$\mathcal{H} = -k^2 \zeta^2 + \frac{1}{4(3\lambda - 1)} [2(2\lambda - 1)\pi_\chi^2 - 4\lambda \pi_\chi \pi_\zeta + (\lambda - 1)\pi_\zeta^2].$$

In GR, **C_α** and C_β are both constraints and they imply $k^2 \zeta = 0$ and $\pi_\chi = 0$, then $\mathcal{H} = 0$ as $\lambda = 1$.

»Projectable version (integrated constraint)

=> NO restrictions.

$$0 = 2\alpha(t) \int d^3x \partial^2 \zeta.$$

$$\mathcal{L} = \pi_\zeta \dot{\zeta} - \mathcal{H}, \quad \mathcal{H} = -\frac{c_\zeta^2}{4} \pi_\zeta^2 - k^2 \zeta^2,$$

$$c_\zeta^2 = \frac{1 - \lambda}{3\lambda - 1},$$

$1/3 < \lambda < 1$, $c_\zeta^2 > 0$ but then the Hamiltonian is negative definite

$$c_\zeta^2 < 0.$$

EOM:

$$\ddot{\zeta} + c_\zeta^2 k^2 \zeta = 0.$$

unstable

$\lambda \rightarrow 1$ limit

π_ζ disappears in the quadratic Hamiltonian in

$c_\zeta \rightarrow 0$ limit and the quantum fluctuations of π_ζ are unsuppressed

$$S_2 = \int dt d^3x \left[\dot{\zeta} \pi_\zeta - \left(-\frac{c_\zeta^2}{4a^3} \pi_\zeta^2 - a(\partial\zeta)^2 \right) \right],$$

$$h_{ij} = a(t)^2 e^{2\zeta} \delta_{ij}$$

$$S_3 = \int dt d^3x \left[a\zeta \partial_i \zeta \partial^i \zeta - \frac{3c_\zeta^2}{4a^3} \zeta \pi_\zeta^2 + \frac{3}{2a} \zeta \left(\partial_i \partial_j \beta \partial^i \partial^j \beta - (\partial^2 \beta)^2 \right) - \frac{2}{a} \partial^2 \beta \partial_i \zeta \partial^i \beta \right].$$

$$\partial^2 \beta = \frac{\pi_\zeta}{2a}$$

$$N(t) = 1$$

Case 2) Non-Projectable $N(t,x)$ with local super-Hamiltonian constraint

Einstein's General Relativity :

$$G_{ijkl} = (1/2)g^{-1/2}(g_{ik}g_{jl} + g_{il}g_{kj} - g_{ij}g_{kl})$$

$$\lambda = 1$$

$$\mathcal{H} = 2\kappa G_{ijkl}\pi^{ij}\pi^{kl} - (2\kappa)^{-1}g^{1/2}(R - \Lambda\lambda)$$

$$\mathcal{H}_i = -2\pi_i^j{}_{|j}$$

Constraints obeys the Dirac algebra :

$$[\mathcal{H}_i(x), \mathcal{H}_j(x')] = \mathcal{H}_i(x')\delta_{,j}(x,x') + \mathcal{H}_j(x)\delta_{,i}(x,x')$$

$$[\mathcal{H}_i(x), \mathcal{H}(x')] = \mathcal{H}(x)\delta_{,i}(x,x')$$

$$[\mathcal{H}(x), \mathcal{H}(x')] = (g^{ij}(x)\mathcal{H}_i(x) + g^{ij}(x')\mathcal{H}_i(x'))\delta_{,j}(x,x')$$

*Hallmark of spacetime covariance, and of the embeddability of hypersurface deformations (Hojman-Kuchar-Teitelboim)

Departures from General Relativity e.g. Horava gravity:

Q: What takes the place of the Dirac algebra?

*Conversely, Dirac Algebra :

$$[\mathcal{H}_\perp(x), \mathcal{H}_\perp(x')] = -\varepsilon[g^{rs}(x)\mathcal{H}_s(x) + g^{rs}(x')\mathcal{H}_s(x')]\delta_{,r}(x, x')$$

$$[\mathcal{H}_r(x), \mathcal{H}_\perp(x')] = \mathcal{H}_\perp(x)\delta_{,r}(x, x')$$

$$[\mathcal{H}_r(x), \mathcal{H}_s(x')] = \mathcal{H}_r(x')\delta_{,s}(x, x') + \mathcal{H}_s(x)\delta_{,r}(x, x')$$

with

$$\mathcal{H}_\perp^{\text{grav}} = \frac{1}{2}M_{ijkl}\pi^{ij}\pi^{kl} + V[g_{ij}]$$

=>

$$M_{ijkl} = 2\kappa g^{-1/2} \left(g_{ik}g_{jl} + g_{il}g_{jk} - \frac{2}{n-1}g_{ij}g_{kl} \right) \quad (\Rightarrow \lambda = 1 \quad !)$$

AND

$$V = \varepsilon(2\kappa)^{-1}g^{1/2}(R - 2\Lambda)$$

$$G_{ijkl} = \frac{1}{2}(g_{ik}g_{jl} + g_{il}g_{jk} - g_{ij}g_{kl})$$

"Geometrodynamics Regained" program:

S. Hojman, K. Kuchar and C. Teitelboim, *Nature Phys. Sci.* **245**, 97 (1973); *Ann. Phys.* **96**, 88 (1976).

C. Teitelboim, *The Hamiltonian structure of spacetime*, in *General Relativity and Gravitation Vol. 1*, edited by A. Held (Plenum, New York, 1980).

$$\lambda \neq 1$$

*Case 2a) $H = \frac{2\kappa'}{\sqrt{q}} G_{ijkl} \pi^{ij} \pi^{kl}$ ultralocal theory (V=0)

$$\left\{ \int NH d^3x, \int MH d^3y \right\}_{\text{P.B.}} = 0$$

=> Modification of Dirac algebra;

but **arbitrary** hypersurface deformations (N, N) still allowed

*Case 2b) $H = \left(\frac{2\kappa'}{\sqrt{q}} G_{ijkl} \pi^{ij} \pi^{kl} - \frac{\sqrt{q}}{2\kappa'} R \right)$

$$G_{ijkl} = \frac{1}{2} (q_{ik}q_{jl} + q_{il}q_{jk}) - \frac{\lambda}{3\lambda-1} q_{ij}q_{kl}$$

$$\left\{ \int NH d^3x, \int MH d^3y \right\}_{\text{P.B.}}$$

$$= \int (N \nabla^i M - M \nabla^i N) H_i d^3x - \frac{2(1-\lambda)}{3\lambda-1} \int (N \nabla^i M - M \nabla^i N) \nabla_i \pi d^3x$$

$$Z_i := \nabla_i \pi = 0$$

Secondary constraint

$$\left\{ \int \xi^i Z_i d^3x, \int \chi^j Z_j d^3y \right\}_{\text{P.B.}} = \int \frac{3}{2} (\chi^i \nabla_j \xi^j - \xi^i \nabla_j \chi^j) Z_i d^3x$$

$$\left\{ \int N^i H_i d^3x, \int \xi^i Z_i d^3y \right\}_{\text{P.B.}} = \int (\mathcal{L}_{\vec{N}} \xi^i) Z_i d^3x$$

$$\left\{ \int \xi^i Z_i d^3x, \int NH d^3y \right\}_{\text{P.B.}} = \int \left[\frac{2\kappa'}{(3\lambda-1)\sqrt{q}} N \pi \xi^i Z_i + \left(\frac{1}{2} N \nabla_i \xi^i \right) H \right] d^3x + \frac{1}{\kappa'} \int d^3x \sqrt{q} (\nabla_j \xi^j) \nabla^i \partial_i N + \int d^3x \frac{2\kappa'}{(3\lambda-1)\sqrt{q}} \pi^2 \xi^i \partial_i N$$

$\Rightarrow \partial_i N = 0$ restricted set of hypersurface deformations

Stability of constraints under evolution with

$N(t)$, N constant on Cauchy surface

$$\text{constraints } \pi_{\vec{N}}^i = H_i = H = \nabla_i \pi = 0$$

$$S = \int \pi^{ij} \dot{q}_{ij} d^3x dt - \int (NH + N^i H_i) d^3x dt.$$

Case 2c) Horava Gravity:

$$H = \frac{\kappa^2}{2} \frac{G_{ijkl}}{\sqrt{q}} \left[\pi^{ij} \pi^{kl} + \frac{\delta W_{\text{T}}}{\delta q_{ij}} \frac{\delta W_{\text{T}}}{\delta q_{kl}} \right]$$

$$H_i = 2q_{ij} \nabla_k \pi^{kj}$$

$$G_{ijkl} = \frac{1}{2} (q_{ik} q_{jl} + q_{il} q_{jk}) - \frac{\lambda}{3\lambda - 1} q_{ij} q_{kl}$$

$W_{\text{T}} = W_{\text{CS}} + W_{\text{EHL}} = \frac{1}{4w^2} \int \tilde{\epsilon}^{ikj} (\Gamma_{im}^l \partial_j \Gamma_{kl}^m + \frac{2}{3} \Gamma_{im}^l \Gamma_{jn}^m \Gamma_{kl}^n) d^3x + \frac{\mu}{2} \int \sqrt{q} (R - 2\Lambda_W) d^3x$. The Cotton tensor density can be expressed as $\tilde{C}^{ij} = w^2 \frac{\delta W_{\text{CS}}}{\delta q_{ij}} = w^2 \tilde{\epsilon}^{ikl} \nabla_k (R_l^j - \frac{1}{4} R \delta_l^j)$.

Neither H nor is G_{ijkl} is of the form in "geometrodynamics regained"

*Non-Projectable Horava gravity with local super-hamiltonian constraint

Inconsistencies in the canonical formulation:

M. Li and Y. Pang, "A Trouble with Hořava-Lifshitz Gravity," JHEP 0908, 015 (2009) [arXiv:0905.2751 [hep-th]].

"Troubles" in the constraint algebra of Horava Gravity:

$$\left\{ \int d^3x \zeta_1^i \mathcal{H}_i, \int d^3y \zeta_2^j \mathcal{H}_j \right\}_{\text{Pb}} = \int d^3x (\zeta_1^i \partial_i \zeta_2^k - \zeta_2^i \partial_i \zeta_1^k) \mathcal{H}_k,$$

$$\left\{ \int d^3x \zeta^i \mathcal{H}_i, \int d^3y \eta \mathcal{H} \right\}_{\text{Pb}} = \int d^3x \zeta^i \partial_i \eta \mathcal{H},$$

$$\left\{ \mathcal{H}(x), \int d^3y \eta \mathcal{H} \right\}_{\text{Pb}} = -2\sqrt{g} \frac{1}{k_W^4} (\alpha^{ijk} \nabla_k \nabla_j \nabla_i \eta + \beta^{ij} \nabla_j \nabla_i \eta + \gamma^i \nabla_i \eta + \omega \eta)$$

$$=: \Delta \eta$$

Stability of local constraint under evolution

$$\Delta = -2\sqrt{g} \frac{1}{k_W^4} (\alpha^{ijk} \nabla_k \nabla_j \nabla_i + \beta^{ij} \nabla_j \nabla_i + \gamma^i \nabla_i + \omega)$$

$$\{\mathcal{H}(x), \int d^3y \eta \mathcal{H}\}_{\text{Pb}} = -2\sqrt{g} \frac{1}{k_W^4} \underbrace{(\alpha^{ijk} \nabla_k \nabla_j \nabla_i \eta + \beta^{ij} \nabla_j \nabla_i \eta + \gamma^i \nabla_i \eta + \omega \eta)}_{=: \Delta \eta}$$

$$\alpha^{ijk} = (\tilde{C}^{ilm} g^{jk} + \tilde{C}^{klm} g^{ij} - \tilde{C}^{ilk} g^{jm} - \tilde{C}^{kli} g^{jm}) K_{lm}$$

where \tilde{C}^{ijk} is defined as $\epsilon^{ijl} C_l^k$, in which $C_l^k = g_{lm} C^{mk}$

$$\beta^{ij} \nabla_j \nabla_i \eta = \nabla_{(j} \nabla_i \eta \nabla_{k)c} (K_{lm} \tilde{C}^{ilm} g^{jk} - K_{lm} \tilde{C}^{ilk} g^{jm})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma^i \nabla_i \eta &= t^{mlkji} \nabla_{(i} \eta \nabla_m \nabla_{k)c} K_{jl} + K_{jl} \nabla_{(i} \eta \nabla_k \nabla_{m)c} t^{mlkji} \\ &+ 2s^{lmijk} \nabla_i \eta \nabla_{[l} \nabla_{k]} K_{jm} + 2K_{jm} \nabla_i \eta \nabla_{[k} \nabla_{l]} s^{lmijk} \\ &+ 2(\tilde{C}^{klj} R_l^i + \tilde{C}^{lki} R_l^j + \tilde{C}^{lij} R_l^k) K_{jk} \nabla_i \eta \\ &+ (\frac{1}{2} R_{jkl}^i \tilde{C}^{klj} - R_{jkl}^i \tilde{C}^{jkl}) K \nabla_i \eta \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \omega &= \nabla_i (\tilde{C}^{jkl} R_k^i K_{jl} + \tilde{C}^{jik} R_j^l K_{kl} + \tilde{C}^{kji} R_k^l K_{jl}) \\ &+ \tilde{C}^{ijk} (\nabla_i \nabla_l \nabla_k K_j^l + \nabla_i \nabla_l \nabla_j K_k^l - \nabla_i \nabla^l \nabla_l K_{jk} - \nabla_i \nabla_k \nabla_j K) \\ &+ (K_j^l \nabla_k \nabla_l \nabla_i + K_k^l \nabla_j \nabla_l \nabla_i - K_{jk} \nabla^l \nabla_l \nabla_i - K \nabla_j \nabla_k \nabla_i) \tilde{C}^{ijk} \end{aligned}$$

M. Henneaux, A. Kleinschmidt and G. L. Gómez, “A dynamical inconsistency of Hořava gravity,” Phys. Rev. D 81, 064002 (2010) [arXiv:0912.0399 [hep-th]].

For Horava gravity with local Hamiltonian constraint :

Only consistent solution for stability of constraint under evolution is

$$N=0$$

*Dirac algorithm resulting in $N=0$ suggests H constraint generates **on-shell trivial** time-reparametrization invariance ?

\Rightarrow ? Only **spatial** diffeomorphisms are physically relevant gauge symmetries of the theory ?

*Conclusion:

Hamiltonian constraint of Horava Gravity:

1) Non-projectable version (with local constraint) $H(x)=0$:

Inconsistent constraint algebra (unless $N(x,t) = 0$) (Li-Pang, Henneaux,...)

2) Projectable version $N(t$ only) (with global integrated constraint):

$[\int d^3x H(x)] = 0 \Rightarrow$ Pathological **extra d.o.f.**

"Eating the cake and still having it":

Question:

Can the Hamiltonian constraint be local (\Rightarrow removes extra d.o.f.)

AND

still be expressed as an integrated constraint (\Leftrightarrow projectable) ? !

***Consistent Canonical Formulation:

*Horava's "intended" theory:

$$S = \int dt \int d^3x [\tilde{\pi}^{ij} \dot{q}_{ij} + 2N_j \nabla_i \tilde{\pi}^{ij}] - \int dt \int d^3x N H$$

*REPLACE by *Master Constraint Version*:

$$S = \int dt \int d^3x [\tilde{\pi}^{ij} \dot{q}_{ij} + 2N_j \nabla_i \tilde{\pi}^{ij}] - \int dt \frac{N(t)}{\epsilon_0} \int d^3x \frac{H^2(x)}{\sqrt{q}}$$

$\therefore M$

$$H = \frac{\kappa^2}{2} \frac{G_{ijkl}}{\sqrt{q}} \left[\pi^{ij} \pi^{kl} + \frac{\delta W_T}{\delta q_{ij}} \frac{\delta W_T}{\delta q_{kl}} \right]$$

$$G_{ijkl} = \frac{1}{2} (q_{ik} q_{jl} + q_{il} q_{jk}) - \frac{\lambda}{3\lambda - 1} q_{ij} q_{kl}$$

$W_T = W_{CS} + W_{EHL} = \frac{1}{4w^2} \int \tilde{\epsilon}^{ikj} (\Gamma_{im}^l \partial_j \Gamma_{kl}^m + \frac{2}{3} \Gamma_{im}^l \Gamma_{jn}^m \Gamma_{kl}^n) d^3x + \frac{\mu}{2} \int \sqrt{q} (R - 2\Lambda_W) d^3x$. The Cotton tensor density can be expressed as $\tilde{C}^{ij} = w^2 \frac{\delta W_{CS}}{\delta q_{ij}} = w^2 \tilde{\epsilon}^{ikl} \nabla_k (R_l^j - \frac{1}{4} R \delta_l^j)$.

Dirac Algebra

$$\begin{aligned}\{H_i[N^i], H_j[M^j]\}_{P.B.} &= H_i[(\mathcal{L}_{\vec{N}}M)^i] \\ \{H_i[N^i], H[M]\}_{P.B.} &= H[(\mathcal{L}_{\vec{N}}M)] \\ \{H[N], H[M]\}_{P.B.} &= H_i[(q^{ij}(N\partial_jM - M\partial_jN))]\end{aligned}$$

Structure FUNCTIONS (not infinite dim. Lie Algebra)

Spatial diffeo. forms subgroup but not ideal.

Cannot solve constraint in 3-dim. diffeo. invariant subspace (superspace)

(H cannot be defined directly therein).

Recently, the **master constraint programme for loop quantum gravity (LQG)** was proposed as a classically equivalent way to impose the infinite number of Wheeler–DeWitt constraint equations in terms of a single master equation.

T. Thiemann, The Phoenix Project: master constraint programme for loop quantum gravity, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **23** (2006) 2211.

M-Theory: Master Constraint Program

Master Constraint Algebra:

$$\{\vec{H}(\vec{N}), \vec{H}(\vec{N}')\} = \vec{H}(\mathcal{L}_{\vec{N}}N')$$

$$\{\vec{H}(\vec{N}), \mathbf{M}\} = 0$$

$$\{\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{M}\} = 0.$$

$$\mathbf{M} := \int_{\Sigma} d^3x \frac{[H(x)]^2}{\sqrt{q(x)}}.$$

$\mathbf{M} = 0$ is then equivalent to $H(x) = 0, \forall x \in \Sigma$.

1st Class Constraints with structure constants

Tested with: finite-dimensional Abelian & non-Abelian algebras
with structure constants & also structure functions,
with constraints polynomial and non-polynomial in momenta,
with electrodynamics and Gauss Law, non-abelian gauge theories,
Free field QFT and interacting theories, linearized gravity.

References

- [1] Thiemann T 2003 The Phoenix Project: master constraint programme for loop quantum gravity *Preprint* [gr-qc/0305080](#)
- [2] Dittrich B and Thiemann T 2006 Testing the master constraint programme for loop quantum gravity: I. General framework *Class. Quantum Grav.* **23** 1025–65 (*Preprint* [gr-qc/0411138](#))
- [3] Dittrich B and Thiemann T 2006 Testing the master constraint programme for loop quantum gravity: II. Finite dimensional systems *Class. Quantum Grav.* **23** 1067–88 (*Preprint* [gr-qc/0411139](#))
- [4] Dittrich B and Thiemann T 2006 Testing the master constraint programme for loop quantum gravity: III. $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ models *Class. Quantum Grav.* **23** 1089–120 (*Preprint* [gr-qc/0411140](#))
- [5] Dittrich B and Thiemann T 2006 Testing the master constraint programme for loop quantum gravity: V. Interacting field theories *Class. Quantum Grav.* **23** 1143–62 (*Preprint* [gr-qc/0411142](#))

*Horava Gravity : explicit realization (representation) of the Master constraint algebra.

*Horava Gravity can't seem to be consistently formulated as a canonical theory otherwise.

$$\{q_{ij}, N(t) \frac{M}{\epsilon_0} + \int N^k H_k d^3x\} |_{M=0 \Leftrightarrow H=0} \approx$$

$$\{q_{ij}, \int N^k H_k d^3x\}_{\text{P.B.}} = \mathcal{L}_{\vec{N}} q_{ij} \text{ (and similarly for } \pi^{ij}\text{)}$$

Observables O :

$$\{O, \frac{N(t)}{\epsilon_0} M + \int N^i H_i d^3x\} |_{M=0 \Leftrightarrow H=0} \approx$$

$$\{O, \int N^i H_i d^3x\}_{\text{P.B.}} = 0.$$

**Explicitly/concretely realizes

on-shell trivial time reparametrization generated by H ;

physically relevant symmetry is 3-d (spatial) diffeomorphism invariance

**c.f. Einstein's theory

On-shell (modulo constraints +EOM),

constraints do generate 4-d diffeomorphisms

Eventhough Dirac algebra is NOT algebra of 4d diffeomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_{\vec{N}} q_{ab} &= \{H_i[N^i], q_{ab}\}_{P.B.} = \mathcal{L}_{\vec{N}} q_{ab} \\ \delta_N q_{ab} &= \{H[N], q_{ab}\}_{P.B.} = 2NK_{ab} = \mathcal{L}_{N\vec{n}} q_{ab}\end{aligned}$$

$$\delta_{\vec{N}} \pi^{ab} = \{H_i[N^i], \pi^{ab}\}_{P.B.} = \mathcal{L}_{\vec{N}} \pi^{ab}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_N \pi^{ab} &= \{H[N], \pi^{ab}\}_{P.B.} \\ &= q^{ab} \frac{N}{2} H - N \sqrt{q} (q^{ca} q^{db} - q^{cd} q^{ab}) R_{cd}^{(4)} + \mathcal{L}_{N\vec{n}} \pi^{ab}\end{aligned}$$

Master constraint program for Horava GR: **requires $N(t)$** .

Existence of Black hole solution:

Painleve-Gulstrand form of metric

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + \left(dr + \sqrt{\frac{M}{r} + \frac{\Lambda_W}{2} r^2} dt \right)^2 + r^2 d\Omega^2.$$

Solution of Einstein's theory and of Horava GR ($\lambda = 1$ limit)

with detailed balance [18] because of the spatially flat slicing (such slicings compatible with +ve cosmological constant[19]).

Gives same proper times as Schwarzschild solution and will pass empirical tests measuring proper times in Schwarzschild metric e.g. recent atomic interferometry GR redshift data[20]

[18] T. Sotiriou, M. Visser and S. Weinfurtner, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 251601 (2009); K. Izumi and S. Mukohyama, Phys. Rev. D **81**. 044008 (2010).

[19] C. Y. Lin and C. Soo, Phys. Lett. B **671**, 493 (2009).

[20] H. Muller, A. Peters and S. Chu, Nature **463**, 926 (2010)

Nature **467** , E2 (02 September 2010) doi:10.1038/nature09341

Replying to: P. Wolf *et al.* Nature doi:10.1038/nature09340 (2010)