Magnetism to Spintronics Dr. Shang-Fan Lee Institute of Physics, Academia Sinica Introduction to Solid State Physics Kittel 8th ed Chap. 11-13,

Solid State Physics Ashcroft and Mermin Chap. 31-33,

Condensed Matter Physics Marder 2nd ed Chap. 24-26, Why do most broken permanent magnets repel each other?





Cooperative phenomena

- Elementary excitations in solids describe the response of a solid to a perturbation
 - Quasiparticles
 - usually fermions, resemble the particles that make the system, e.g. quasi-electrons
 - Collective excitations
 - usually bosons, describe collective motions
 - use second quantization with Fermi-Dirac or Bose-Einstein statistics

Magnetism

• the Bohr–van Leeuwen theorem

when statistical mechanics and <u>classical mechanics</u> are applied consistently, the thermal average of the magnetization is always zero.

- Magnetism in solids is solely a <u>quantum mechanical</u> effect
- Origin of the magnetic moment:
 - Electron spin \vec{S}
 - Electron orbital momentum \vec{L}
- From (macroscopic) response to external magnetic field \vec{H}
 - Diamagnetism $\chi < 0, \chi \sim 1 \times 10^{-6}$, insensitive to temperature
 - Paramagnetism $\chi > 0$, $\chi = \frac{C}{T}$ Curie law $\chi = \frac{C}{T+\Delta}$ Curie-Weiss law
 - Ferromagnetism exchange interaction (quantum)

Magnetism



逆磁性 diamagnetism







Family Tree of Magnetism



Why do most broken permanent magnets repel each other?



- Classical and quantum theory for diamagnetism Calculate $\langle r^2 \rangle$
- Classical and quantum theory for paramagnetism
 - Superparamagnetism, Langevin function
 - Hund's rules
 - Magnetic state ${}^{2S+1}L_I$
 - Crystal field
 - Quenching of orbital angular momentum L_z
 - Angular momentum operator
 - Spherical harmonics
 - Jahn-Teller effect
 - Paramagnetic susceptibility of conduction electrons

- Ferromagnetism
 - Microscopic ferro, antiferro, ferri magnetism
 - Exchange interaction
 - Exchange splitting source of magnetization
 two-electron system spin-independent
 Schrodinger equation
 - Type of exchange: direct exchange, super exchange, indirect exchange, itinerant exchange
 - Spin Hamiltonian and Heisenberg model
 - Molecular-field (mean-field) approximation

Critical phenomena

Universality. Divergences near the critical point are identical in a variety of apparently different physical systems and also in a collection of simple models. **Scaling.** The key to understanding the critical point lies in understanding the relationship between systems of different sizes. Formal development of this idea led to the *renormalization group* of Wilson (1975).

Landau Free Energy



$$f(M, T) = A_0(T) + A_2(T)M^2 + A_4(T)M^4 + HM$$

 $t \equiv \frac{T - T_C}{T_C}$
 $F = a_2 tM^2 + a_4 M^4 + HM.$

Molar heat capacities of four ferromagnetic copper salts versus scaled temperature T/T_c . [Source Jongh and Miedema (1974).]

Correspondence between Liquids and Magnets

- Specific Heat— α
- Magnetization and Density— β
- **Compressibility and** Susceptibility γ
- Critical Isotherm— δ
- Correlation Length -v
- Power-Law Decay at Critical Point— η

Summary of critical exponents, showing correspondence between fluid-gas systems, magnetic systems, and the three-dimensional Ising model.

| Exponent | Fluid | Magnet | Mean Field Theory | Experiment | 3d Ising |
|----------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------|------------|----------|
| α | $C_{\mathcal{V}} \sim t ^{-lpha}$ | $C_{\mathcal{V}} \sim t ^{-lpha}$ | discontinuity | 0.11-0.12 | 0.110 |
| eta | $\Delta n \sim t ^{\beta}$ | $M \sim t ^{\beta}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0.35-0.37 | 0.325 |
| γ | $K_T \sim t ^{-\gamma}$ | $\chi \sim t ^{-\gamma}$ | ĩ | 1.21-1.35 | 1.241 |
| δ | $P \sim \Delta n ^{\delta}$ | $ H \sim M ^{\delta}$ | 3 | 4.0-4.6 | 4.82 |
| ν | $\xi \sim t ^{- u}$ | $\xi \sim t ^{-\nu}$ | | 0.61–0.64 | 0.63 |
| η | $g(r) \sim r^{-1-\eta}$ | $g(r) \sim r^{-1-\eta}$ | | 0.02-0.06 | 0.032 |

Source: Vicentini-Missoni (1972) p. 67, Cummins (1971), p. 417, and Goldenfeld (1992) p. 384.

Relations Among Exponents

 $\alpha + 2\beta + \gamma = 2 \qquad (2 - \eta)\nu = \gamma$ $\delta = 1 + \frac{\gamma}{\beta} \qquad 2 - \alpha = 3\nu$

Stoner band ferromagnetism

Teodorescu, C. M.; Lungu, G. A. (November 2008). <u>"Band ferromagnetism in systems</u> of variable dimensionality". *Journal of Optoelectronics and Advanced Materials* **10** (11): 3058–3068.

$$\mathcal{E} = \int_0^{\mathcal{E}_F - \Delta} d\mathcal{E}' D(\mathcal{E}') \mathcal{E}' + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathcal{E}_F - \Delta}^{\mathcal{E}_F + \Delta} d\mathcal{E}' D(\mathcal{E}') \mathcal{E}' - \frac{1}{2} n J \langle S \rangle^2$$
$$\langle S \rangle = \frac{1}{2n} \int_{\mathcal{E}_F - \Delta}^{\mathcal{E}_F + \Delta} d\mathcal{E}' \frac{1}{2} D(\mathcal{E}') = \frac{1}{2n} D(\mathcal{E}_F) \Delta$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{E}}{\partial \Delta}\Big|_{\mathcal{E}_F} = \Delta D(\mathcal{E}_F) - \frac{J}{4n} D(\mathcal{E}_F)^2 \Delta$$
$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{E}}{\partial \Delta}\Big|_{\mathcal{E}_F} = 0 \Rightarrow \frac{J}{n} D(\mathcal{E}_F) = 4$$

Ferromagnetic elements: 鐵 Fe, 鈷 Co, 鎳 Ni, 釓 Gd, 鏑 Dy, 錳 Mn, 鈀 Pd ??

Elements with ferromagnetic properties

合金, alloys 錳氧化物 MnOx,



| 5 | 8 59 | 60 | 61 | 62 | 63 | 64 | 65 | 66 | 67 | 68 | 69 | 70 | 71 |
|----|------|----|---------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|---------------|----|----|-----|
| Ce | Pr | Nd | \mathbf{Pm} | Sm | Eu | Gd | Tb | Dy | Ho | Er | Tm | Yb | Lu |
| | 0 91 | | | | | | | | | | | | 103 |
| Th | Pa | U | Np | Pu | Am | Cm | Bk | Cf | Es | \mathbf{Fm} | Md | No | Lr |

Platonic solid

From Wikipedia

In geometry, a Platonic solid is a <u>convex polyhedron</u> that is <u>regular</u>, in the sense of a <u>regular polygon</u>. Specifically, the faces of a Platonic solid are <u>congruent</u> regular polygons, with the same number of faces meeting at each <u>vertex</u>; thus, all its edges are congruent, as are its vertices and angles. There are precisely five Platonic solids (shown below):

The name of each figure is derived from its number of faces: respectively 4, 6, 8, 12, and 20.

<u>The aesthetic beauty and symmetry of the Platonic solids have made them a</u> <u>favorite subject of geometers</u> for thousands of years. They are named for the <u>ancient Greek philosopher Plato who theorized that the classical elements were</u> <u>constructed from the regular solids.</u>





Electronic orbit





s, p electron orbits



Orbital viewer

Resonance

Onedimensional

dimensional

Two-



© 2004 Thomson/Brooks Cole

Threedimensional

Hydrogen atom

3d transition metals: Mn atom has 5 d ↑ electrons Bulk Mn is NOT magnetic

s, p electron orbital **3d electron distribution in real space** Co atom has 5 d \uparrow electrons and 2 d \downarrow electrons **Bulk Co is magnetic.**

Orbital viewer

d orbitals





Stern-Gerlach Experiment



© 2006 Brooks/Cole - Thomson



There are two kinds of electrons: spin-up and spin-down.

Stern and Gerlach: How a Bad Cigar Helped Reorient Atomic Physics

Physics Today 56, 53 (2003) 10.1063/1.1650229

Stoner criterion for ferromagnetism:

I N(E_F) > 1, I is the **Stoner exchange parameter** and N(E_F) is the density of states at the Fermi energy.





For the non-magnetic state there are identical density of states for the two spins. For a ferromagnetic state, $N \uparrow > N \downarrow$. The polarization is indicated by the thick blue arrow.

Schematic plot for the energy band structure of 3d transition metals.

Teodorescu and Lungu, <u>"Band ferromagnetism in systems of variable dimensionality"</u>. J Optoelectronics and Adv. Mat. **10**, 3058–3068 (2008).

Stoner band ferromagnetism

Teodorescu, C. M.; Lungu, G. A. (November 2008). <u>"Band ferromagnetism in systems</u> of variable dimensionality". *Journal of Optoelectronics and Advanced Materials* **10** (11): 3058–3068.

$$\mathcal{E} = \int_0^{\mathcal{E}_F - \Delta} d\mathcal{E}' D(\mathcal{E}') \mathcal{E}' + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathcal{E}_F - \Delta}^{\mathcal{E}_F + \Delta} d\mathcal{E}' D(\mathcal{E}') \mathcal{E}' - \frac{1}{2} n J \langle S \rangle^2$$
$$\langle S \rangle = \frac{1}{2n} \int_{\mathcal{E}_F - \Delta}^{\mathcal{E}_F + \Delta} d\mathcal{E}' \frac{1}{2} D(\mathcal{E}') = \frac{1}{2n} D(\mathcal{E}_F) \Delta$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{E}}{\partial \Delta}\Big|_{\mathcal{E}_F} = \Delta D(\mathcal{E}_F) - \frac{J}{4n} D(\mathcal{E}_F)^2 \Delta$$
$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{E}}{\partial \Delta}\Big|_{\mathcal{E}_F} = 0 \Rightarrow \frac{J}{n} D(\mathcal{E}_F) = 4$$

Exchange interaction



Although in the hydrogen molecule the exchange integral, Eq. (6), is negative, Heisenberg first suggested that it changes sign at some critical ratio of internuclear distance to

🔍 100% 🛛 👻

Berry Phase

Aharonov-Bohm Effect



Electrons traveling around a flux tube suffer a phase change and can interfere with themselves even if they only travel through regions where B = 0. (B) An open flux tube is not experimentally realizable, but a small toroidal magnet with no flux leakage can be constructed instead.

$$\Phi = \int d^2 r B_z = \oint d\vec{r} \cdot \vec{A}$$
$$A_{\phi} = \frac{\Phi}{2\pi r}$$





Electron hologram showing interference fringes of electrons passing through small toroidal magnet. The magnetic flux passing through the torus is quantized so as to produce an integer multiple of π phase change in the electron wave functions. The electron is completely screened from the magnetic induction in the magnet. In (A) the phase change is 0, while in (B) the phase change is π . [Source: Tonomura (1993), p. 67.]



Parallel transport of a vector along a closed path on the sphere S₂ leads to a geometric phase between initial and final state.

Real-space Berry phases: Skyrmion soccer (invited) Karin Everschor-Sitte and Matthias Sitte Journal of Applied Physics **115**, 172602 (2014); doi: 10.1063/1.4870695

Berry phase formalism for BerrypiasHall effects

From Prof. Guo Guang-Yu

[Berry, Proc. Roy. Soc. London A 392, 451 (1984)]

Parameter dependent system:

$$\{ \varepsilon_n(\lambda), \psi_n(\lambda) \}$$

Adiabatic theorem:

$$\Psi(t) = \Psi_n(\lambda(t)) e^{-i\int_0^t dt \,\varepsilon_n/\hbar} e^{-i\gamma_n(t)/\hbar}$$

-t

Geometric phase:

$$\gamma_n = \int_{\lambda_0}^{\lambda_t} d\lambda \left\langle \Psi_n \right| i \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \left| \Psi_n \right\rangle$$



Well defined for a closed path

From Prof. Guo Guang-Yu

$$\gamma_n = \oint_C d\lambda \left\langle \Psi_n \left| i \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \right| \Psi_n \right\rangle$$

Stokes theorem

$$\gamma_n = \iint d\lambda_1 d\lambda_2 \ \Omega$$



Berry Curvature

$$\Omega = i \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_1} \langle \psi | \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_2} | \psi \rangle - i \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_2} \langle \psi | \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_1} | \psi \rangle$$

Analogies

From Prof. Guo Guang-Yu

Berry curvature

 $\Omega(\vec{\lambda})$

Berry connection

$$\langle \psi | i \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} | \psi \rangle$$

Geometric phase

$$\oint d\lambda \left\langle \psi \right| i \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \left| \psi \right\rangle = \iint d^2 \lambda \ \Omega(\vec{\lambda})$$

Chern number

$$\oint d^2 \lambda \ \Omega(\vec{\lambda}) = \text{integer}$$

Magnetic field

 $B(\vec{r})$

Vector pot $A(\vec{r})$

Aharonov-Bohm phase

$$\oint dr \ A(\vec{r}) = \iint d^2 r \ B(\vec{r})$$

Dirac monopole

$$\oint d^2 r \ B(\vec{r}) = \text{integer } h / e$$

Semiclassical dynamics of Bloch electrons

Old

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}}_{c} = \frac{1}{\hbar} \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{n}(\mathbf{k})}{\partial \mathbf{k}},$$

$$\dot{\mathbf{k}} = -\frac{e}{\hbar} \mathbf{E} - \frac{e}{\hbar} \dot{\mathbf{x}}_{c} \times \mathbf{B} = \frac{e}{\hbar} \frac{\partial \varphi(\mathbf{r})}{\partial \mathbf{r}} - \frac{e}{\hbar} \dot{\mathbf{x}}_{c} \times \mathbf{B}$$

From Prof. Guo Guang-Yu

New version [Marder, 2000]

Berry phase correction [Chang & Niu, PRL (1995), PRB

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}}_{c} = \frac{1}{\hbar} \frac{\partial \mathcal{E}_{n}(\mathbf{k})}{\partial \mathbf{k}} - \dot{\mathbf{k}} \times \mathbf{\Omega}_{n}(\mathbf{k}),$$
$$\dot{\mathbf{k}} = \frac{e}{\hbar} \frac{\partial \varphi(\mathbf{r})}{\partial \mathbf{r}} - \frac{e}{\hbar} \dot{\mathbf{x}}_{c} \times \mathbf{B},$$
$$\mathbf{\Omega}_{n}(\mathbf{k}) = -\operatorname{Im} \left\langle \frac{\partial u_{n\mathbf{k}}}{\partial \mathbf{k}} | \times | \frac{\partial u_{n\mathbf{k}}}{\partial \mathbf{k}} \right\rangle$$

(Berry curvature)

Demagnetization factor D

can be solved analytically in some cases, numerically in others

Oblate Spheroid (pancake shape) c/a = r < 1; a = b

 $D_c = \frac{4\pi}{1 - r^2} \left[1 - \frac{r}{\sqrt{1 - r^2}} \cos^{-1} r \right] \qquad D_a = D_b = \frac{4\pi - D_c}{2}$

For an ellipsoid $D_x + D_y + D_z = 1$ (SI units) $D_x + D_y + D_z = 4\pi$ (cgs units) Solution for Spheroid $a = b \neq c$

1. Prolate spheroid (football shape) c/a = r > 1; a = b, In cgs units

$$D_{c} = \frac{4\pi}{r^{2}-1} \left[\frac{r}{\sqrt{r^{2}-1}} \ln\left(r + \sqrt{r^{2}-1}\right) - 1 \right]$$

$$D_{a} = D_{b} = \frac{4\pi - D_{c}}{2}$$

Limiting case r >> 1 (long rod)

$$D_c = \frac{4\pi}{r^2} \left[\ln(2r) - 1 \right] \ll 1$$
$$D_a = D_b = 2\pi$$

Note: you measure
$$2\pi M$$

without knowing the sample

—□— In-plane H —△— Perpendicular H



Limiting case r >> 1 (flat disk)

2.

$$D_c = 4\pi$$
$$D_a = D_b = \pi^2 r \ll 1$$

Note: you measure $4\pi M$ without knowing the sample

Surface anisotropy

 $E = E_{exchange} + E_{Zeeman} + E_{mag} + E_{anisotropy} + \cdots$

- $E_{ex}: \sum 2J\overrightarrow{S_i} \cdot \overrightarrow{S_j}$
- $E_{Zeeman}: \vec{M} \cdot \vec{H}$
- $E_{mag}: \frac{1}{8\pi} \int B^2 dV$
- Eanisotropy



For hcp Co= $K'_1 \sin^2 \theta + K_2' \sin^4 \theta$ For bcc Fe = $K_1(\alpha_1^2 \alpha_2^2 + \alpha_2^2 \alpha_3^2 + \alpha_3^2 \alpha_1^2) + K_2(\alpha_1^2 \alpha_2^2 \alpha_3^2)$ α_i : directional cosines

Surface anisotropy
$$K_{\text{eff}} = \frac{2K_S}{t} + K_V \rightarrow K_{\text{eff}} \cdot t = 2K_S + K_V \cdot t$$

Stoner–Wohlfarth model

A widely used model for the magnetization of singledomain ferromagnets. It is a simple example of <u>magnetic</u> <u>hysteresis</u> and is useful for modeling small magnetic particles





 $E = K_u V \sin^2 (\phi - \theta) - \mu_0 M_s V H \cos \phi,$

where K_u is the uniaxial anisotropy parameter, V is the volume of the magnet, M_s is the saturation magnetization.

Ferromagnetic domains

- competition between exchange, anisotropy, and magnetic energies.
- Bloch wall: rotation out of the plane of the two spins
- Neel wall: rotation within the plane of the two spins

For a 180° Bloch wall rotated in N+1 atomic planes $N\Delta E_{ex} = N(JS^2 \left(\frac{\pi}{N}\right)^2)$ Wall energy density $\sigma_w = \sigma_{ex} + \sigma_{anis} \approx JS^2 \pi^2 / (Na^2) + KNa$ a: lattice constant $\partial \sigma_w / \partial N \equiv 0$, $N = \sqrt{[JS^2\pi^2/(Ka^3)]} \approx 300$ in Fe $\sigma_w = 2\pi \sqrt{KJS^2/a} \approx 1 \text{ erg/cm}^2$ in Fe Wall width $Na = \pi \sqrt{JS^2/Ka} \equiv \pi \sqrt{\frac{A}{K}}$, $A = JS^2/a$ Exchange stiffness constant

Domain wall energy γ versus thickness D of Ni₈₀Fe₂₀ thin films



 $\gamma_{\rm N} < \gamma_{\rm B} \sim 50 {\rm nm}$

Thick films have Bloch walls Thin films have Neel walls

Cross-tie walls show up in between.

A=10⁻⁶ erg/cm

K=1500 erg/cm³

Further reference: Antonio DeSimone, Hans Knupfer, and Felix Otto, Calculus of Variations 27, 233–253 (2006) 2-d stability of the Neel wall

Magnetic Resonance

- Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR)
 - Line width
 - Hyperfine Splitting, Knight Shift
 - Nuclear Quadrupole Resonance (NQR)
- Ferromagnetic Resonance (FMR)
 - Shape Effect
 - Spin Wave resonance (SWR)
- Antiferromagnetic Resonance (AFMR)
- Electron Paramagnetic Resonance (EPR or ESR)
 - Exchange narrowing
 - Zero-field Splitting
- Maser

What we can learn:

- From absorption fine structure → electronic structure of single defects
- From changes in linewidth → relative motion of the spin to the surroundings
- From resonance frequency → internal magnetic field
- Collective spin excitations

FMR

Equation of motion of a magnetic moment μ in an external field B_0

$$\frac{\hbar dI}{dt} = \mu \times B \qquad \mu = \gamma \hbar I \qquad \frac{d\mu}{dt} = \gamma \mu \times B \qquad \frac{dM}{dt} = \gamma M \times B$$
Shape effect:
internal magnetic field
$$B_x^i = B_x^0 - N_x M_x \qquad B_y^i = B_y^0 - N_y M_y \qquad B_z^i = B_z^0 - N_z M_z$$

$$\frac{dM_x}{dt} = \gamma (M_y B_z^i - M_z B_y^i) = \gamma [B_0 + (N_y - N_z)M] M_y$$

$$\frac{dM_y}{dt} = \gamma [M(-N_x M_x) - M_x (B_0 - N_z M)] = -\gamma [B_0 + (N_x - N_z)M] M_x$$
To first order
$$\frac{dM_z}{dt} = 0 \qquad M_z = M$$

$$\begin{vmatrix} i\omega & \gamma [B_0 + (N_y - N_z)M] \\ -\gamma [B_0 + (N_x - N_z)M] & i\omega \end{vmatrix} = 0$$

$$\omega_0^2 = \gamma^2 [B_0 + (N_y - N_z)M] [B_0 + (N_x - N_z)M] \qquad \text{Uniform mode}$$

Uniform mode



$$N_x = N_y = N_z \qquad N_x = N_y = 0 \qquad N_z = 4\pi \qquad N_x = N_z = 0 \qquad N_y = 4\pi$$
$$\omega_0 = \gamma B_0 \qquad \omega_0 = \gamma (B_0 - 4\pi M) \qquad \omega_0 = \gamma [B_0 (B_0 + 4\pi M)]^{1/2}$$

Spin wave resonance; Magnons

Consider a one-dimensional spin chain with only nearest-neighbor interactions.

$$U = -2J \sum \vec{S_i} \cdot \vec{S_j}$$
 We can derive $\hbar \omega = 4JS(1 - \cos ka)$

When $ka \ll 1$ $\hbar \omega \cong (2JSa^2)k^2$

flat plate with perpendicular field $\omega_0 = \gamma (B_0 - 4\pi M) + Dk^2$

Quantization of (uniform mode) spin waves, then consider the thermal excitation of Mannons, leads to Bloch T^{3/2} law. $\Delta M/M(0) \propto T^{3/2}$
AFMR

Spin wave resonance; Antiferromagnetic Magnons

Consider a one-dimensional antiferromangetic spin chain with only nearest-neighbor interactions. Treat sublattice A with up spin S and sublattice B with down spin –S, J<0.

$$U = -2J \sum_{i} \vec{S_i} \cdot \vec{S_j} \qquad \text{We can derive} \qquad \hbar\omega = -4JS |\sin ka|$$

When $ka << 1 \qquad \hbar\omega \cong (-4JS) |ka|$

AFMR

exchange plus anisotropy fields on the two sublattices

$$B_{1} = -\lambda M_{2} + B_{A} \hat{z} \quad \text{on } \mathbf{M}_{1} \qquad B_{2} = -\lambda M_{1} - B_{A} \hat{z} \quad \text{on } \mathbf{M}_{2}$$

$$M_{1}^{Z} \equiv M \qquad M_{2}^{Z} \equiv -M \qquad M_{1}^{+} \equiv M_{1}^{x} + iM_{1}^{y} \qquad M_{2}^{+} \equiv M_{2}^{x} + iM_{2}^{y} \qquad B_{E} \equiv \lambda M$$

$$\frac{dM_{1}^{+}}{dt} = -i\gamma [M_{1}^{+}(B_{A} + B_{E}) + M_{2}^{+}B_{E}]$$

$$\frac{dM_{2}^{+}}{dt} = -i\gamma [M_{2}^{+}(B_{A} + B_{E}) + M_{1}^{+}B_{E}]$$

$$\left| \begin{array}{c} \gamma(B_{A} + B_{E}) - \omega \qquad \gamma B_{E} \\ B_{E} \qquad \gamma(B_{A} + B_{E}) + \omega \end{array} \right| = 0$$

$$\omega_{0}^{2} = \gamma^{2} B_{A} (B_{A} + 2B_{E}) \qquad \text{Uniform mode}$$

Spintronics

Electronics with electron spin as an extra degree of freedom Generate, inject, process, and detect spin currents

- Generation: ferromagnetic materials, spin Hall effect, spin pumping effect etc.
- Injection: interfaces, heterogeneous structures, tunnel junctions
- Process: spin transfer torque
- Detection: Giant Magnetoresistance, Tunneling MR
- Historically, from magnetic coupling to transport phenomena

important materials: CoFe, CoFeB, Cu, Ru, IrMn, PtMn, MgO, Al2O3, Pt, Ta

- Magnetic coupling
- Magnetic transport properties

RKKY (*Ruderman-Kittel-Kasuya-Yosida*) **interaction**



Magnetic coupling in superlattices

• Long-range incommensurate magnetic order in a Dy-Y multilayer

M. B. Salamon, Shantanu Sinha, J. J. Rhyne, J. E. Cunningham, Ross W. Erwin, Julie Borchers, and C. P. Flynn, Phys. Rev. Lett. **56**, 259 - 262 (1986)

• Observation of a Magnetic Antiphase Domain Structure with Long- Range Order in a Synthetic Gd-Y Superlattice

C. F. Majkrzak, J. W. Cable, J. Kwo, M. Hong, D. B. McWhan, Y. Yafet, and J. V. Waszczak, C. Vettier, Phys. Rev. Lett. **56**, 2700 - 2703 (1986)

• Layered Magnetic Structures: Evidence for Antiferromagnetic Coupling of Fe Layers across Cr Interlayers

P. Grünberg, R. Schreiber, Y. Pang, M. B. Brodsky, and H. Sowers, Phys. Rev. Lett. 57, 2442 - 2445 (1986)

Magnetic coupling in multilayers

•Long-range incommensurate magnetic order in a Dy-Y multilayer

M. B. Salamon, Shantanu Sinha, J. J. Rhyne, J. E. Cunningham, Ross W. Erwin, Julie Borchers, and C. P. Flynn, Phys. Rev. Lett. 56, 259 - 262 (1986)

•Observation of a Magnetic Antiphase Domain Structure with Long-Range Order in a Synthetic Gd-Y Superlattice

C. F. Majkrzak, J. W. Cable, J. Kwo, M. Hong, D. B. McWhan, Y. Yafet, and J. V. Waszczak, C. Vettier, Phys. Rev. Lett. 56, 2700 - 2703 (1986)

•Layered Magnetic Structures: Evidence for Antiferromagnetic Coupling of Fe Layers across Cr Interlayers

P. Grünberg, R. Schreiber, Y. Pang, M. B. Brodsky, and H. Sowers, Phys. Rev. Lett. 57, 2442 - 2445 (1986)



Coupling in **Wedge-shaped** Fe/Cr/Fe Fe/Au/Fe Fe/Ag/Fe J. Unguris, R. J. Celotta, and D. T. Pierce



Fig. 2.41. A schematic expanded view of the sample structure showing the Fe(001) single-crystal whisker substrate, the evaporated Cr wedge, and the Fe overlayer. The arrows in the Fe show the magnetization direction in each domain. The z-scale is expanded approximately 5000 times. (From [2.206])



Fig. 2.43. SEMPA image of the magnetization M_y (axes as in Fig. 2.41) showing domains in (a) the clean Fe whisker, (b) the Fe layer covering the Cr spacer layer evaporated at 30 °C, and (c) the Fe layer covering a Cr spacer evaporated on the Fe whisker held at 350 °C. The scale at the bottom shows the increase in the thickness of the Cr wedge in (b) and (c). The arrows at the top of (c) indicate the Cr thicknesses where there are phase slips. The region of the whisker imaged is about 0.5 mm long



Fig. 2.44. The effect of roughness on the inertlayer exchange coupling is shown by a comparison of (a) the oscillations of the RHEED intensity along the bare Cr wedge with (b) the SEMPA magnetization image over the same part of the wedge



Fig. 2.11. Fermi surface of Cu in the (100) plane in the extended zone scheme. Arrows indicate values of $2(k_F - G)$ for reciprocal lattice vectors G which can give rise to oscillations with periods greater than π/k_F

Oscillatory magnetic coupling in multilayers

Ru interlayer has the largest coupling strength



Fig. 2.58. Dependence of saturation field on Ru spacer layer thickness for several series of Ni₈₁Fe₁₉/Ru multilayers with structure, 100 Å Ru/[30 Å Ni₈₁Fe₁₉/Ru(t_{Ru})]₂₀, where the topmost Ru layer thickness is adjusted to be $\simeq 25$ Å for all samples

S. S. P. Parkin

- Magnetic coupling
- Magnetic transport properties

Spin-dependent conduction in Ferromagnetic metals (Two-current model) First suggested by Mott (1936) Experimentally confirmed by I. A. Campbell and A. Fert (~1970)

At low temperature

$$\rho = \frac{\rho_{\uparrow} \rho_{\downarrow}}{\rho_{\uparrow} + \rho_{\downarrow}}$$

At high temperature

$$\rho = \frac{\rho_{\uparrow} \rho_{\downarrow} + \rho_{\uparrow\downarrow} (\rho_{\uparrow} + \rho_{\downarrow})}{\rho_{\uparrow} + \rho_{\downarrow} + 4\rho_{\uparrow\downarrow}}$$



Spin mixing effect equalizes two currents

Two Current Model



A. Fert, I.A. Campbell, PRL **21**, 1190 (1968)

48



Geometrical size effect Fe 100nm 10K



Ni 100nm 10K



outline

- Giant Magnetoresistance, Tunneling Magnetoresistance
- Spin Transfer Torque (STT)
- Pure Spin current (no net charge current)
 - Spin Hall, Inverse Spin Hall effects
 - Spin Pumping effect
 - Spin Seebeck effect
- Spin Orbit torque (SOT)
- Spin wave in micro and nano magnetic structures

2007 Nobel prize in Physics



2007年諾貝爾物理獎得主 左 亞伯·費爾(Albert Fert)與 右彼得·葛倫貝格(Peter Grünberg)

(圖片資料來源: Copyright © Nobel Web AB 2007/ Photo: Hans Mehlin)

Giant Magnetoresistance Tunneling Magnetoresistance



Discovery of Giant MR --Two-current model combines with magnetic coupling in multilayers

Spin-dependent transport structures. (A) Spin valve. (B) Magnetic tunnel junction. (from Science)

Moodera's group, PRL 74, 3273 (1995)

Miyazaki's group, JMMM **139**, L231(1995)

Spin valve -

a sandwich structure

with a free ferromagnetic layer (F) and a fixed F layer pinned by an antiferromagnetic (AF) layer



Transport geometry



CIP geometry



CPP geometry

- In metallic multilayers, CIP resistance can be measured easily, CPP resistance needs special techniques.
- From CPP resistance in metallic multilayers, one can measure interface resistances, spin diffusion lengths, and polarization in ferromagnetic materials, etc.
- CPP magnetoresistance of magnetic multilayers: A critical review Jack Bass

Journal of Magnetism and Magnetic Materials 408 (2016) 244-320

Valet and Fert model of (CPP-)GMR

Based on the Boltzmann equation

A semi-classical model with spin taken into consideration



Spin imbalance induced charge accumulation at the interface is important Spin diffusion length, instead of mean free path, is the dominant physical length scale

Spin Diffusion: The Johnson Transistor non-local measurement



First Experimental Demonstrations





Cu film: $\lambda_s = 1 \ \mu m$ (4.2 K)

Jedema et al., Nature 410, 345 (2001)

outline

- Giant Magnetoresistance, Tunneling Magnetoresistance
- Spin Transfer Torque (STT)
- Pure Spin current (no net charge current)
 - Spin Hall, Inverse Spin Hall effects
 - Spin Pumping effect
 - Spin Seebeck effect
- Spin Orbit torque (SOT)
- Spin wave in micro and nano magnetic structures



The transverse spin component is lost by the conduction electrons, transferred to the global spin of the

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{S}}_{1,2} = (\boldsymbol{I}_{e} \boldsymbol{g}/\boldsymbol{e}) \, \boldsymbol{\hat{s}}_{1,2} \times (\boldsymbol{\hat{s}}_{1} \times \boldsymbol{\hat{s}}_{2})$$

Slonczewski JMMM 159, L1 (1996)

Modified Landau-Lifshitz-Gilbert (LLG) equation



FIG. 1. The point contact dV/dI(V) spectra for a series of magnetic fields (2, 3, 5, 6, 7, and 8 T) revealing an upward step and a corresponding peak in dV/dI at a certain negative bias voltage $V^*(H)$. The inset shows that $V^*(H)$ increases linearly with the applied magnetic field H.

Tsoi et al. PRL 61, 2472 (1998)

$$\frac{dm}{dt} = -\gamma m \times H_{eff} + \alpha m \times \frac{dm}{dt} + \frac{\gamma \hbar PI}{2e\mu_0 M_s V} (m \times \sigma \times m)$$

Experimantally determined current density ~10¹⁰-10¹²A/m² 58





In a trilayer, current direction determines the relative orientation of F_1 and F_2 when H = 0



Ralph and Stiles <u>"Spin transfer</u> torques". *JMMM* **320**, 1190–1216 (2008).



(c) Minor loop of free layer and
(d) spin transfer curve at 293K
120 Cu/20 Py/12 Cu/X Py/2 Cu/30
Au

Ralph and Buhrman's group, APL 87, 112507 (2005)

Landau-Lifshitz-Gilbert equation with Spin Transfer Torque terms

Current induced domain wall motion

Passing spin polarized current from Domain A to Domain $B \Rightarrow B$ switches



Landau-Lifshitz-Gilbert equation with Spin Transfer Torque terms



Onsager reciprocity relations

generalized forces

Conjugate variables

 X_i generalized currents $J_{i} = \sum_{i} L_{ij} X_{j}$ linear response *i* = {mass, charge, spin, energy, ...} $\dot{S} = \sum_{i} X_{i} J_{i}$ entropy creation rate $L_{ii}\left(\mathbf{m},\mathbf{H}_{ext}\right) = \varepsilon_{i}\varepsilon_{j}L_{ii}\left(-\mathbf{m},-\mathbf{H}_{ext}\right)$

Equality between certain relations between flows and forces out of equilibrium

Currents can induce magnetization excitations

A time-dependent magnetization can induce (charge and spin) currents



Industrial applications Read head in hard drives

<complex-block>

Read head

HDD (Hard Disc Drive)







Magnetic Domain-Wall Racetrack Memory



Dr. Stuart S. P. Parkin Science 320, 190 (2008)

A novel three-dimensional spintronic storage class memory

Magnetic nanowires: information stored in the domain walls

- Immense storage capacity of a hard disk drive
- High reliability and performance of solid state memory (DRAM, FLASH, SRAM...)

 Understanding of current induced domain wall (DW) motion



J. Magn. Magn. Mater. **290**, 750 (2005)





PHYSICAL REVIEW B **83**, 174444 (2011) Appl. Phys. Lett. **90**, 142508 (2007)

AC Current-Induced DW Resonance



PRB 81, 060402 (2010),

PRL 97, 107204 (2006)

Radio-Frequency DW Oscillators



🗱 CPP-nanopillar



Nature 425, 380 (2003)

outline

- Giant Magnetoresistance, Tunneling Magnetoresistance
- Spin Transfer Torque (STT)
- Pure Spin current (no net charge current)
 - Spin Hall, Inverse Spin Hall effects
 - Spin Pumping effect
 - Spin Seebeck effect
- Spin Orbit torque (SOT)
- Spin wave in micro and nano magnetic structures

Spin polarized current vs pure spin current

Pure Spin Current

-- with no accompanying net charge current

• Theoretically

$$J_S = \hat{s} \cdot \vec{v} \qquad \rightarrow \qquad J_S = \frac{d}{dt}(\hat{s} \cdot \vec{r}) = \hat{s} \cdot \vec{v} + \frac{d}{dt}\hat{s} \cdot \vec{r}$$

- Experimentally
 - Spin Hall, Inverse Spin Hall effects
 - Spin Pumping effect
 - Spin Seebeck effect



Spin Current

Proper Definition of Spin Current in Spin-Orbit Coupled Systems

Junren Shi,^{1,2} Ping Zhang,^{2,3} Di Xiao,² and Qian Niu²

 ¹Institute of Physics and ICQS, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100080, People's Republic of China ²Department of Physics, The University of Texas at Austin, Austin, Texas 78712, USA
 ³Institute of Applied Physics and Computational Mathematics, Beijing 100088, People's Republic of China (Received 19 April 2005; revised manuscript received 18 November 2005; published 24 February 2006)

The conventional definition of spin current is incomplete and unphysical in describing spin transport in systems with spin-orbit coupling. A proper and measurable spin current is established in this study, which fits well into the standard framework of near-equilibrium transport theory and has the desirable property to vanish in insulators with localized orbitals. Experimental implications of our theory are discussed.

$$J_S = \hat{s} \cdot \vec{v} \qquad \rightarrow \qquad J_S = \frac{d}{dt}(\hat{s} \cdot \vec{r}) = \hat{s} \cdot \vec{v} + \frac{d}{dt}\hat{s} \cdot \vec{r}$$

torque dipole term

- 1. spin current is not conserved
- 2. can even be finite in insulators with localized eigenstates only
- not in conjugation with any mechanical or thermodynamic force, not fitted into the standard nearequilibrium transport theory
- 1. spin current conserved
- 2. vanishes identically in insulators with localized orbitals
- in conjugation with a force given by the gradient of the Zeeman field or spin-dependent chemical potential


Advances in Physics Vol. 59, No. 3, May–June 2010, 181–255



Spin currents and spin superfluidity

E.B. Sonin*

Racah Institute of Physics, Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Jerusalem 91904, Israel

(Received 3 September 2009; final version received 1 February 2010)

The present review analyses and compares various types of dissipationless spin transport: (1) Superfluid transport, when the spin-current state is a metastable state (a local but not the absolute minimum in the parameter space). (2) Ballistic spin transport, when spin is transported without losses simply because the sources of dissipation are very weak. (3) Equilibrium spin currents, i.e. genuine persistent currents. (4) Spin currents in the spin Hall effect. Since superfluidity is frequently connected with Bose condensation, recent debates about magnon Bose condensation are also reviewed. For any type of spin currents simplest models were chosen for discussion in order to concentrate on concepts rather than the details of numerous models. The various hurdles on the way of using the concept of spin current (absence of the spin-conservation law, ambiguity of spin current definition, etc.) were analysed. The final conclusion is that the spin-current concept can be developed in a fully consistent manner, and is a useful language for the description of various phenomena in spin dynamics.

4. Conclusions

The present review focused on four types of dissipationless spin transport: (1) superfluid transport, when the spin-current state is a metastable state (a local but not the absolute minimum in the parameter space); (2) Ballistic spin transport, when spin is transported without losses simply because the sources of dissipation are very weak; (3) equilibrium spin currents, i.e. genuine persistent currents and (4) spin currents in the spin Hall effect. The dissipationless spin transport was a matter of debate for decades, though sometimes they were to some extent semantic. Therefore, it was important to analyse what physical phenomenon was hidden under this or that name remembering that any choice of terminology is inevitably subjective and is a matter of taste and convention. The various hurdles on the way of using the concept of spin current (absence of the spin-conservation law, ambiguity of spin current definition, etc.) were analysed. The final conclusion is that the spin-current concept can be developed in a fully consistent manner, though this is not an obligatory language of description: spin currents are equivalent to deformations of the spin structure, and one may describe the spin transport also in terms of deformations and spin stiffness.

The recent revival of interest to spin transport is motivated by the emerging of spintronics and high expectations of new applications based on spin manipulation. This is far beyond the scope of the present review, but hopefully the review could justify using of the spin-current language in numerous investigations of spin-dynamics problems, an important example of which is the spin Hall effect.

Spin Hall effect

Spin Hall Effect: Electron flow generates transverse spin current



The Intrinsic SHE is due to topological band structures



The extrinsic SHE is due to asymmetry in electron scattering for up and down spins. – spin dependent probability difference in the electron trajectories



Inverse Spin Hall effect



FIG. 1 (color online). (a) Scanning electron microscope (SEM) image of the fabricated spin Hall device together with a schematic illustration of the fabricated device. (b) Schematic spin dependent electrochemical potential map indicating spin accumulation in Cu and Pt induced by the spin injection from the Py pad. Dashed line represents the equilibrium position. (c) Schematic illustration of the charge accumulation process in the Pt wire, where I_S and I_e denote injected pure spin current and induced charge current, respectively. (d) Spin dependent electrochemical potential map for the charge to spin-current conversion and (e) corresponding schematic illustration.



Kimura *et al*, PRL **98**, 156601 (2007) Guo *et al*, PRL **100** 096401 (2008) С

е

Inverse Spin Hall effect : ISHE

VOLUME 83, NUMBER 9

PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS

30 August 1999

Spin Hall Effect

J.E. Hirsch

Department of Physics, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, California 92093-0319 (Received 24 February 1999)

It is proposed that when a charge current circulates in a paramagnetic metal a transverse spin imbalance will be generated, giving rise to a "spin Hall voltage." Similarly, it is proposed that when a spin current circulates a transverse charge imbalance will be generated, giving rise to a Hall voltage, in the absence of charge current and magnetic field. Based on these principles we propose an experiment to generate and detect a spin current in a paramagnetic metal.

ISHE: converts a spin current into an electric voltage



J. Appl. Phys. 109, 103913 (2011)

SO-coupling bends the two electrons in the same direction \rightarrow charge accumulation $\rightarrow E_{ISHE}$.

 $\mathbf{E}_{\mathrm{ISHE}} \propto \mathbf{J}_s imes oldsymbol{\sigma}$

- Js : spin current density
- σ : direction of the spin-polarization vector of a spin current.

ISHE: Governed by spin-orbit coupling



Spin Hall Angle

$$\gamma = \frac{\sigma_{SH}}{\sigma_c} \leftarrow \text{spin Hall conductivity} \leftarrow \text{charge conductivity}$$

stronger spin orbit interaction \longrightarrow larger γ

Spin Pumping



Spin accumulation gives rise to spin current in neighboring normal metal

Landau-Lifshitz-Gilbert

 $\dot{\mathbf{m}} = -\gamma \mathbf{m} \times \mathbf{H}_{\text{eff}} + \mathbf{m} \times \left(\tilde{\alpha} \dot{\mathbf{m}} \right)$

In the FMR condition, the steady magnetization precession in a F is maintained by balancing the absorption of the applied microwave and the dissipation of the spin angular momentum --the transfer of angular momentum from the local spins to conduction electrons, which polarizes the conductionelectron spins.

Spin Pumping



A ferromagnetic film *F* sandwiched between two nonmagnetic reservoirs *N*. For simplicity of the discussion in this section, we mainly focus on the dynamics in one (right) reservoir while suppressing the other (left), e.g., assuming it is insulating. The spin-pumping current I_s and the spin accumulation μ_s in the right reservoir can be found by conservation of energy, angular momentum, and by applying circuit theory to the steady state $I_s^{pump} = I_s^{back}$.

$$\mathbf{I}_{s}^{\mathrm{pump}} = \frac{\hbar}{4\pi} \left(A_{r} \mathbf{m} \times \frac{d\mathbf{m}}{dt} - A_{i} \frac{d\mathbf{m}}{dt} \right)$$

Tserkovnyak *et al*, PRL **88**, 117601 (2002), Enhanced Gilbert Damping in Thin Ferromagnetic Films

Brataas *et al*, PRB **66**, 060404(R) (2002), Spin battery operated by ferromagnetic resonance Tserkovnyak *et al*, PRB **66**, 224403 (2002), Spin pumping and magnetization dynamics in metallic multilayers

Rev Mod Phys 77, 1375 (2005) Nonlocal magnetization dynamics in ferromagnetic heterostructures

PRL 110, 217602 (2013)

Spin Backflow and ac Voltage Generation by Spin Pumping and the Inverse Spin Hall Effect

HuJun Jiao1 and Gerrit E.W. Bauer2,1

1Kavli Institute of NanoScience, Delft University of Technology, 2628 CJ Delft, The Netherlands

2Institute for Materials Research and WPI-AIMR, Tohoku University, Sendai 980-8577, Japan

(Received 28 September 2012; published 23 May 2013)

The spin current pumped by a precessing ferromagnet into an adjacent normal metal has a constant polarization component parallel to the precession axis and a rotating one normal to the magnetization. The former is now routinely detected as a dc voltage induced by the inverse spin Hall effect (ISHE). Here we compute ac ISHE voltages much larger than the dc signals for various material combinations and discuss optimal conditions to observe the effect. The backflow of spin is shown to be essential to distill parameters from measured ISHE voltages for both dc and ac configurations.



FIG. 1 (color online). Schematic spin battery operated by FMR, for the measurement configurations (a) and (b). The ac (dc) voltage drops along the z (y) direction. The right panel introduces the parameters of the model. The effective field \mathbf{H}_{eff} is the sum of the external field \mathbf{H}_{ex} and the uniaxial field \mathbf{H}_{un} , \mathbf{H}_{ex} , and \mathbf{H}_{un} point along the z axis. The dc component $J_{1d}(j_{1s}^z)\mathbf{e}_z$ and ac component $\mathbf{J}_{1a}(\mathbf{j}_{1s}^a)$ constitute the spin current \mathbf{j}_{1s} .

Combining Spin Pumping and Inverse Spin Hall Effect



The spin-orbit interaction bends these two electrons in the same direction and induces a charge current transverse to Js,

$$\mathbf{J}_{\mathrm{c}} = D_{\mathrm{ISHE}} \mathbf{J}_{\mathrm{s}} \times \boldsymbol{\sigma}.$$

The surface of the Py layer is of a $1 \times 1 \text{ mm}^2$ square shape. Two electrodes are attached to both ends of the Pt layer.

Saitoh et al, APL 88, 182509 (2006)

Kimura et al, PRL 98, 156601 (2007)

Spin Seebeck effect



Uchida et al., Nature 455, 778 (2008) 84

Spin Seebeck effect

In a ferromagnetic metal, **up-spin and down-spin conduction** electrons have **different scattering rates and densities**, and thus have different Seebeck coefficients.

$$j_s = j_{\uparrow} - j_{\downarrow} = (\sigma_{\uparrow} S_{\uparrow} - \sigma_{\downarrow} S_{\downarrow})(-\nabla T)$$

This **Spin current** flows **without accompanying charge currents** in the open-circuit condition, and the up-spin and down-spin currents flow **in opposite directions** along the temperature gradient



How to detect
$$j_s$$
?

Inverse Spin Hall Effect coverts j_s into j_c

Detection of Spin Current by Inverse Spin Hall Effect

The ISHE converts a spin current into an electromotive force E_{SHE} by means of spin-orbit scattering.



Solid State Communications 150, 524 (2010)

С

 $\delta \mu_{\text{NIE}}^0$

0

M

н

-d_{NiFe}

Ni₈₁Fe₁₉

 $300 \text{ K} + \Delta T$

Ni₈₁Fe₁₉

Pt

0

►Z

 $d_{\rm Pt}$

EISHE

Pt



(a) A schematic of the conventional setup for measuring the ISHE induced by the SSE. Here, VT, M, Js, and EISHE denote a temperature gradient, the magnetization vector of a ferromagnet (F), the spatial direction of the spin current flowing across the F/no...



(a) Comparison between the H dependence of V at $\Delta T = 23.0$ K in the YIG/Pt system and the magnetization M curve of the YIG. During the V measurements, ∇T was applied along the +z direction [the -z direction for the inset to (a)] and H was applied along the...

Uchida et al, APL 97, 172505 (2010)

© 2010 American Institute of Physics

Thermoelectric effect:



outline

- Giant Magnetoresistance, Tunneling Magnetoresistance
- Spin Transfer Torque (STT)
- Pure Spin current (no net charge current)
 - Spin Hall, Inverse Spin Hall effects
 - Spin Pumping effect
 - Spin Seebeck effect
- Spin Orbit torque (SOT)
- Spin wave in micro and nano magnetic structures

Spin Orbit Torque in a dilute magnetic semiconductor

Evidence for reversible control of magnetization in a ferromagnetic material by means of spin–orbit magnetic field Alexandr Chernyshov, Mason Overby, Xinyu Liu, Jacek K. Furdyna, Yuli Lyanda-Geller & Leonid P. Rokhinson Nature Physics **5**, 656 (2009)

magnetization can be reversibly manipulated by the spin—orbit-induced polarization of carrier spins generated by the injection of unpolarized currents. Specifically, we demonstrate domain rotation and hysteretic switching of magnetization between two orthogonal easy axes in a model ferromagnetic semiconductor.



a, Atomic force micrograph of sample A with eight nonmagnetic metal contacts. **b**, Diagram of device orientation with respect to crystallographic axes, with easy and hard magnetization axes marked with blue dashed and red dot–dash lines, respectively. Measured directions of **H**^{eff} field are shown for different current directions. **c**,**d**, Orientation of effective magnetic field with respect to current direction for strain-induced (**c**) and Rashba (**d**) spin–orbit interactions. The currentinduced Oersted field under the contacts has the same symmetry as the Rashba field.



a, ϕ_{H} dependence of R_{xy} near the [010] \rightarrow [100] magnetization switching for $I=\pm0.7$ mA in sample A for $I \parallel [110]$ **b**, R_{xy} shows hysteresis as a function of current for a fixed field H=6 mT applied at $\phi_{H}=72^{\circ}$. **c**, Magnetization switches between the [0] for and directions when alternating ± 1.0 mA current pulses are applied. The pulses have 100 ms duration and are shown schematically above the data curve. R_{xy} is measured with $I=10 \ \mu$ A.

Spin Orbit Torque in ferromagnetic heterostructures

nature nanotechnology

ARTICLES PUBLISHED ONLINE: 28 JULY 2013 | DOI: 10.1038/NNANO.2013.145

Symmetry and magnitude of spin-orbit torques in ferromagnetic heterostructures

Kevin Garello¹*, Ioan Mihai Miron², Can Onur Avci¹, Frank Freimuth³, Yuriy Mokrousov³, Stefan Blügel³, Stéphane Auffret², Olivier Boulle², Gilles Gaudin² and Pietro Gambardella^{1,4,5}*

Recent demonstrations of magnetization switching induced by in-plane current injection in heavy metal/ferromagnetic heterostructures have drawn increasing attention to spin torques based on orbital-to-spin momentum transfer. The symmetry, magnitude and origin of spin-orbit torques (SOTs), however, remain a matter of debate. Here we report on the three-dimensional vector measurement of SOTs in $AIO_x/Co/Pt$ and MgO/CoFeB/Ta trilayers using harmonic analysis of the anomalous and planar Hall effects. We provide a general scheme to measure the amplitude and direction of SOTs as a function of the magnetization direction. Based on space and time inversion symmetry arguments, we demonstrate that heavy metal/ferromagnetic layers allow for two different SOTs having odd and even behaviour with respect to magnetization reversal. Such torques include strongly anisotropic field-like and spin transfer-like components, which depend on the type of heavy metal layer and annealing treatment. These results call for SOT models that go beyond the spin Hall and Rashba effects investigated thus far.

Spin Orbit Torque

Landau-Lifshitz-Gilbert equation with Spin Orbit Torque term

$$\frac{\partial \vec{M}}{\partial t} = \left[-\gamma \vec{M} \times \vec{H}_{\text{eff}} + \frac{\alpha}{M_s} \vec{M} \times \frac{\partial \vec{M}}{\partial t} - \frac{b_j}{M_s^2} \vec{M} \times (\vec{M} \times \frac{\partial \vec{M}}{\partial x}) - \frac{c_j}{M_s} \vec{M} \times \frac{\partial \vec{M}}{\partial x} \right] \quad b_j, c_j \sim JP/t$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{M}}{\partial t} = -\gamma \mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{H} + \frac{a}{M_s} \mathbf{M} \times \frac{\partial \mathbf{M}}{\partial t} - (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{M} + \frac{\beta}{M_s} \mathbf{M} \times (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{M} + \frac{\alpha_{\text{SHE}}}{M_s} \mathbf{M} \times (\mathbf{\sigma} \times \mathbf{M})$$

dissipative and reactive torques



(a) schematics of spin Hall effect. A longitudinal electron current, Jc, in heavy metal (HM) is converted into a transverse spin current by spin-orbit scattering. The spin current leads to a spin accumulation at the HM/FM interface that diffuses across the interface into the FM and exerts torques. (b) The effective field generated by the inverse spin galvanic effect at the interface, which can lead to a switching of the magnetization. Webpage of **Prof. Dr. Mathias Kläui,** University of Mainz



outline

- Giant Magnetoresistance, Tunneling Magnetoresistance
- Spin Transfer Torque (STT)
- Pure Spin current (no net charge current)
 - Spin Hall, Inverse Spin Hall effects
 - Spin Pumping effect
 - Spin Seebeck effect
- Spin Orbit torque (SOT)
- Spin wave in micro and nano magnetic structures

Nano Magnetism

Vortex induced by dc current in a circular magnetic spin valve nanopillar

L. J. Chang and S. F. Lee



Current driven vortex nucleation



Other research interest include superconductor-magnetic material proximity effect, Ferromagnetic Resonance etc.

Domain wall oscillation in a trapping potential

Theoretical Backgrounds

Resonant DW induced by AC spin-polarized current in Ferromagnetic strips

DW dynamics equation

$$(1 + \alpha^2)m\frac{d^2x}{dt^2} = F_p(x) + F_f + F_s + F_d$$

where $m = \frac{2(\mu_0 L_y L_z)}{\gamma_0^2 (N_z - N_y) \Delta_0}$ is the effective DW

mass (kg), and the other variables are listed below.

 $\begin{array}{ll} L_{y}: \mbox{ width of wire (m)} & \gamma_{0}: \mbox{ electron gyromagnetic ratio } (2.2 \times 10^{5} \ Vs^{2}m^{-1}kg^{-1}) \\ L_{z}: \mbox{ thickness of wire (m)} & Vs^{2}m^{-1}kg^{-1}) \\ \mu_{0}: \mbox{ permeability } (4\pi \times 10^{-7} \ VsA^{-1}m^{-1}) & N_{z}, \ N_{y}: \mbox{ transverse demagnetizing factors} \\ \Delta_{0}: \mbox{ DW width (m)} \\ x: \mbox{ DW position (m)} \end{array}$

Experiment Methods

four point probe measurement circuit

high frequency measurements circuit



Measurement and simulation results

AC current induced localized domain wall oscillators in NiFe/Cu/NiFe submicron wires

Nucleation of Pinned anti-parallel transverse DW



DW resonators for frequency-selective operation



(a) Experimental measurement of the ac current induces resonance excitation of pinned DW trapped at the protrusion. Resistance change as a function of ac excitation current frequency for the submicron wires containing artificial symmetric protrusions with three different widths of protrusion w = 200, 150, and 100 nm. (b) The response curve measured at the saturation field with a uniform state of submicron wires (without DW). The ΔR is observed unchanged with frequency for each of the samples.

Measurement and simulation results

AC current induced localized domain wall oscillators in NiFe/Cu/NiFe submicron wires



Resonance frequency of pinned DW dependence on the width of trap w, the solid circles and the open triangles indicate the experiment and simulation results respectively. The inset shows the simulated time evolutions of the DW motion with w = 150 nm. (b)-(d) Potential landscape of pinned DW from micromagnetic simulation with three different width of protrusion w = 200, 150, 100 nm.

Measurement and simulation results

Reversible domain wall motion induced by dc current in NiFe/Cu/NiFe submicron wires



Differential resistance vs. current density at different external transverse fields H_t , enlarged in the inset for V/I vs. j at $H_t = 210$ Oe. (b) Map of dV/dI versus transverse field and dc current. (c) Critical current I_c vs. H_t .

Strong angular dependent transmission ratio due to interplay between spin waves and the domain wall in perpendicular magnetic anisotropy materials

Simulation on magnetic nanowires



1 Introduction

PHYSICAL REVIEW B 86, 054445 (2012)

Domain wall motion induced by the magnonic spin current

Xi-guang Wang, Guang-hua Guo,^{*} Yao-zhuang Nie, Guang-fu Zhang, and Zhi-xiong Li School of Physics Science and Technology, Central South University, Changsha, 410083, China (Received 28 April 2012; revised manuscript received 17 August 2012; published 31 August 2012)

The spin-wave induced domain wall motion in a nanostrip with perpendicular magnetic anisotropy is studied. It is found that the domain wall can move either in the same direction or in the opposite direction to that of spin-wave propagation depending on whether the spin wave is reflected by the wall or transmitted through the wall. A magnonic momentum transfer mechanism is proposed and, together with the magnonic spin-transfer torque, a one-dimensional phenomenal model is constructed. The wall motion calculated based on this model is in qualitative agreement with micromagnetic simulations, showing that the model can describe the characteristics of spin-wave-induced wall motion and, especially, the wall motion direction.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.86.054445

PACS number(s): 75.60.Ch, 75.30.Ds, 75.40.Gb, 85.70.Kh



FIG. 2. (Color online) The wall displacement X as a function of time t, induced by propagating spin waves of frequency (a) 22 GHz and (b) 70 GHz. The black squares are the micromagnetic simulation results. The red solid line and green dashed line represent the model calculation with $\alpha = 0.01$ and 0, respectively. The blue circle is the simulation results of wall motion driven by the equivalent spin-polarized electrical current.



FIG. 1. (Color online) Illustration of the Model PMA nanostrip with the geometry and dimensions. A 180° Bloch domain wall is positioned at the center X = 0. The magnetization direction is represented by arrows. The gray area with H(t) represents the region where spin waves are excited. The Cartesian coordinate system is shown on the higher left. The lower right inset shows the magnetization components of the wall profiles.



FIG. 4. (Color online) Transmission coefficient T of spin wave passing through the domain wall (black solid line) and amplitude of spin wave ρ (red/dark gray solid line) as a function of the frequency.

2 *Micromagnetic simulations - Interaction between propagating spin waves and domain walls on a ferromagnetic PMA nanowire*



The sample geometry

hanostrip 4 µm long, with a Néel domain wall

located at the center, and a spin wave source 0.5 μ m to the left. The cones indicate the precession of the magnetizations. $\delta \varphi$ is the rotation of the magnetization at the center of the domain wall. τ_d , h_d , τ_K , and h_K are the torques and effective fields due to demagnetization and anisotropy, respectively. Drawing not to scale.

Theoretical Backgrounds



Theoretical Backgrounds



3 Theoretical Analysis

$$\frac{\partial \vec{M}}{\partial t} = -\gamma \vec{M} \times \vec{H}_{eff} + \frac{\alpha}{M_s} \vec{M} \times \frac{\partial \vec{M}}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial \vec{J}_m}{\partial x}$$



Effective demagnetization field

$$h_d = -N_y M_y e_y$$

where N_y is the demagnetization factor related to the DW itself

Demagnetization torque

$$\boldsymbol{\tau}_d = -\gamma \boldsymbol{M} \times \boldsymbol{h}_d$$

$$\boldsymbol{V}_d = -\frac{\Delta \gamma}{2(1+\alpha^2)M_S} \vec{M} \times \vec{h}_d$$

3 Theoretical Backgrounds





 $V_g = \partial \omega / \partial k = 2Ak$ is the group velocity.



$$\varphi(\xi) = \rho \frac{\tanh \xi - iq}{-iq - 1} e^{iq\xi},\tag{6}$$
3 Theoretical Analysis

$$\frac{\partial \vec{M}}{\partial t} = -\gamma \vec{M} \times \vec{H}_{eff} + \frac{\alpha}{M_s} \vec{M} \times \frac{\partial \vec{M}}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial \vec{J}_m}{\partial x}$$

Total instantaneous velocity of DW

To estimate the total instantaneous velocity

 $V_{DW} = V_d + V_m, \text{ we obtain}$ $V_{DW} = \left(\frac{\Delta \gamma M_S(N_y - N_x)}{2(1 + \alpha^2)} \sin 2\delta \varphi - \frac{\left(e^{-\frac{x}{A}}\right)^2}{2} \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial k}\right) \hat{x}$ where $\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial k} = 2Ak$ is the SW group velocity



 $h_{K} = 5.1557 \ Oe$ $\Lambda = 138 \ nm$

 $h_{DW} = 0.63383 \; Oe$





Domain wall displacement as functions of simulation time and magnetic field amplitude of the spin wave with frequency 20 GHz.

4 Walker breakdown due to spin wave amplitude as a function of the frequency of spin wave

10 15 0 0 18 GHz 26 GHz Average domain wall velocity -15 -10 10 15 0 0 V_{DW} (m/s) 20 GHz 28 GHz -15 -10 10 15 0 0 22 GHz 30 GHz -15 -10 10 15 0 0 32 GHz -15 24 GHz -10 150 300 150 300 450 450 0 0 H_0 (mT)



140°.

Analytical self-consistence check

modified Landau-Lifshitz-Gilbert (LLG) equation

$$\frac{\partial M}{\partial t} = -\gamma M \times H_{eff} + \frac{\alpha}{M_s} M \times \frac{\partial M}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial J_m}{\partial x}$$

Spinwave

$$M = M_0 + m(t)e^{-x/\Lambda} \qquad \Lambda \sim 370 \text{ nm}$$

$$\begin{split} \delta \phi(t) &= \frac{\gamma}{\overline{V}} \int_{0}^{x(t)} (h_{K} - h_{DW}) dx = -\frac{\gamma}{\overline{V}} (\Lambda h_{K} + h_{DW} x(t)) \\ V_{d} &= -\frac{\Delta \gamma}{2(1 + \alpha^{2})M_{S}} \left| \overrightarrow{M} \times \overrightarrow{h}_{d} \right| \\ V_{DW} &= (\frac{\Delta \gamma M_{S} (N_{y} - N_{x})}{2(1 + \alpha^{2})} \sin 2\delta \phi - \frac{\left(e^{-\frac{x}{\Lambda}}\right)^{2}}{2} \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial k}) \hat{x} \end{split}$$

 γ_0 : electron gyromagnetic ratio ($2.2 \times 10^5 \text{ Vs}^2\text{m}^{-1}\text{kg}^{-1}$)

 N_z , N_y : transverse demagnetizing factors, Δ_0 : DW width (m), x: DW position (m)

Analytical self-consistence check

$$\begin{split} \vec{H}_{eff} &= \vec{H}_d + \vec{h}(x)e^{i\omega t} = (H_{dx} + h_x e^{i\omega t}, H_{dy} + h_y e^{i\omega t}, h_z e^{i\omega t}), \\ H_{dx} &= -M_S N_x cos \delta \varphi \\ H_{dy} &= -M_S N_y sin \delta \varphi \\ \vec{M} &= (M_x + m_x e^{i\omega t}, M_y + m_y e^{i\omega t}, m_z e^{i\omega t}) \\ M_x &= -M_S cos \delta \varphi \\ M_y &= -M_S sin \delta \varphi . \\ \begin{bmatrix} i\omega & 0 & -\omega_y \\ 0 & i\omega & \omega_x \\ \omega_y & -\omega_x & i\omega \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} m_x \\ m_y \\ m_z \end{bmatrix} = -\gamma M_s \begin{bmatrix} -sin \delta \varphi h_z \\ cos \delta \varphi h_z \\ sin \delta \varphi h_x - cos \delta \varphi h_y \end{bmatrix} \\ \begin{bmatrix} m_x \\ m_y \\ m_z \end{bmatrix} &= \frac{-i\gamma M_s}{\omega_x^2 + \omega_y^2 - \omega^2} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{-\omega^2 + \omega_x^2}{\omega} & \frac{\omega_x \omega_y}{\omega} & i\omega_y \\ \frac{\omega_x \omega_y}{\omega} & \frac{-\omega^2 + \omega_y^2}{\omega} & -i\omega_x \\ i\omega_x & -\omega \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -sin \delta \varphi h_z \\ cos \delta \varphi h_z \\ sin \delta \varphi h_x - cos \delta \varphi h_y \end{bmatrix} \\ \omega_x &= \left(\gamma H_{dx} + \frac{\alpha}{M_s} i\omega M_x\right) \qquad \omega_y = \left(\gamma H_{dy} + \frac{\alpha}{M_s} i\omega M_y\right) \end{split}$$

Analytical self-consistence check

The dispersion relation

$$\omega^{2} = \omega_{H}(\omega_{H} + \omega_{M}) + \frac{\omega_{M}^{2}}{2(1 + \cot h(kd))}$$

with $\omega_{H} = \frac{\omega_{x}\omega_{y}}{\omega}$ and $\omega_{M} = \gamma M_{s}$.
Using $k = k_{r} - i\kappa$
$$\frac{1}{(1 + \cot h(kd))} = \frac{1}{2}(1 - e^{-2k_{r}d}) + i\frac{d}{\Lambda}e^{-2k_{r}d} \qquad \Lambda = 1/\kappa$$
$$\frac{1}{\Lambda_{D}} = \frac{1}{de^{-2kd}} \left[\frac{\alpha\gamma M_{s}}{\omega}(N_{x} + N_{y})N_{x}N_{y}sin^{2}2\delta\varphi + \alpha(N_{x} + N_{y})sin2\delta\varphi\right]$$
$$\Lambda_{D}$$
 is the attenuation length inside the DW

$$T_{DW} = e^{-2\Delta \left| \frac{1}{\Lambda_D} - \frac{1}{\Lambda_0} \right|},$$

Strong angular dependent transmission ratio due to interplay between spin waves and the domain wall in perpendicular magnetic anisotropy materials

Results



Transmission of SW in the case of (d). (a) Spatial variation of the normalized m_y component without and with DW for $\delta \varphi = 45^{\circ}$ and 140°. Shaded areas indicate the domain wall region. (b) Polar plot of the transmission ratio versus $\delta \varphi$. Blue circles are calculated results and the red triangles are from simulations.

Spin wave driven domain wall motion

simple model

$$\begin{split} \delta \dot{\varphi} &= \gamma \left(h_{K} - h_{W} \right) \\ \dot{x} &= \frac{\Delta \gamma M_{s} \left(N_{y} - N_{x} \right)}{2 \left(1 + \alpha^{2} \right)} \sin \left(2\delta \varphi \right) - \frac{1}{2} T \left(\delta \varphi \right) e^{-2(x - x_{0})/\Lambda} \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial k} \end{split}$$

$$h_{K} = 2K_{\wedge}m_{z0}e^{-x/L} / m_{0}M_{S}^{2} \qquad \text{spin wave effective field}$$

$$h_{W} = h_{W0}\sin\left(2dj\right) \qquad \qquad \text{Walker break down field}$$

$$T\left(dj\right) = e^{-2D|I/L_{D}-I/L|} \qquad \qquad \text{Walker break down field}$$

$$1/L_{D} = \frac{1}{de^{-2kd}} \left[\frac{\partial gM_{S}}{W} \left(N_{X} + N_{Y}\right)N_{X}N_{Y}\sin^{2}\left(2dj\right) + \partial\left(N_{X} + N_{Y}\right)\sin\left(2dj\right)\right]$$
dispersion relation:
$$W^{2} = W_{H}\left(W_{H} + W_{M}\right) + \frac{W_{M}^{2}}{2\left(1 + \coth\left(kd\right)\right)}$$

Experiments on spin wave sources

• Spin-wave wavelength imposed by the size of the antenna

APPLIED PHYSICS LETTERS 99, 082507 (2011)

Excitation of short-wavelength spin waves in magnonic waveguides

V. E. Demidov,^{1,a)} M. P. Kostylev,² K. Rott,³ J. Münchenberger,³ G. Reiss,³ and S. O. Demokritov¹

¹Institute for Applied Physics and Center for Nonlinear Science, University of Muenster, Corrensstrasse 2-4, Muenster 48149, Germany

²School of Physics, University of Western Australia, Crawley, Western Australia 6009, Australia ³Department of Physics, Bielefeld University, P. O. Box 100131, Bielefeld 33501, Germany

(Received 27 June 2011; accepted 10 August 2011; published online 25 August 2011)

We emphasize that a microstrip antenna can only efficiently excite spin waves with the wavelengths $\lambda > w_a$ where w_a is the width of the antenna



• External field is necessary



Magnetic vortex motion

Resonant amplification of vortex-core oscillations by coherent magnetic-field pulses

Young-Sang Yu, Dong-Soo Han, Myoung-Woo Yoo, Ki-Suk Lee, Youn-Seok Choi, Hyunsung Jung, Jehyun Lee, Mi-Young Im, Peter Fischer & Sang-Koog Kim ⊠

Scientific Reports 3, Article number: 1301 (2013) | Download Citation 🛓

Figure 1: Concept of resonant amplification of vortex oscillations by coherent serial pulses.



Figure 2: Sample geometry for timeresolved soft X-ray microscopy measurement.





Thiele's equation

 $\bar{\bar{M}}\ddot{\boldsymbol{X}} - \boldsymbol{G} \times \dot{\boldsymbol{X}} - \bar{\bar{D}}\dot{\boldsymbol{X}} + \boldsymbol{F} = 0$

G: the gyroforce vector *D*: the damping tensor

Figure 3: (a) Amplification and (b) damping of vortex oscillations.



Micromagnetic simulation









 M_{Z^+}/M_S

1

0

-1







Sample fabrication









R=500nm



Brillouin light scattering (BLS) magnon detection and measureme



Frequency modulated spin waves generation



spin wave propagation (by BLS)



Electrical property measurement (Anisotropic magnetoresistance, AMR)





The magneto-resistance (MR) results with varying frequencies of our sample. The dark line is the FMR signal which is well fitted by the Kittel equation while the white line should be the spin wave signal.



Summary

- DW oscillation with resonance frequency as high as 2.92 GHz and the resonance frequency can be tuned by the width of protrusion.
- The higher resonance frequency for the narrow trap is due to the steeper potential landscape which enhances the restoring force on the pinned DW.
- For the domain wall oscillations induced by injection of a dc current investigated, the observed peak in dV/dI associated with the reversible change of magnetoresistance is attributed to the reversible motion of the DW.

Topological insulator



•A topological insulator is a material conducting on its boundary but behaves as an insulator in its bulk.

•The conducting channel(s) are guaranteed by timereversal symmetry, topologically protected, will not be affected by local impurities etc, and thus robust.

Why the word 'topological'?

 Topological order: a pattern of long-range quantum entanglement in quantum states, can be described by a new set of quantum numbers, such as ground state degeneracy, quasiparticle fractional statistics, edge states, topological entropy, etc.

 Landau symmetry breaking

describes classical orders in materials. But it failed to describe the chiral spin state, which was proposed (but failed) to explain HTS.



- Z₂ topological quantum number
- Chern numbers (陳省身) explains Quantum Hall Effect (from Foucault pendulum to Chern numbers)

$$1/2\pi \int_{s} K dA = 2 (1 - g)$$

How to become a topological insulator? Or, how to cross from an intrinsic insulator to a topological insulator? Or, how to build the edge conducting states?

- Spin-orbit effect
- Lattice constant adjustment
- To get inversion states and Dirac cone on the boundary.

 Carriers in these states have their <u>spin</u> locked at a <u>right-angle</u> to their <u>momentum</u>. At a given energy the only other available electronic states have opposite spin, so scattering is strongly suppressed and conduction on the surface is nearly <u>dissipationless</u>.



States of matter. (**Top**) Electrons in an insulator are bound in localized orbitals (left) and have an energy gap (right) separating the occupied valence band from the empty conduction band. (Middle) A twodimensional quantum Hall state in a strong magnetic field has a bulk energy gap like an insulator but permits electrical conduction in one-dimensional "one way" edge states along the sample boundary. (**Bottom**) The quantum spin Hall state at zero magnetic field also has a bulk energy gap but allows conduction in spin-filtered edge states.

A zoo of Hall effects

• Hall effect --- $B \perp I, V \perp I$

$$R_{H} = \frac{-n\mu_{e}^{2} + p\mu_{h}^{2}}{e(n\mu_{e} + p\mu_{h})^{2}}$$

- Anomalous (Extra-ordinary) Hall effect ---extra voltage proportional to magnetization
- Planar Hall effect --- in-plane field, $V \perp I$
- (Integer) Quantum Hall effect --- $B \perp I$, $V \perp I$ in 2D electron gas
- Fractional quantum Hall effect --- electrons bind magnetic flux lines
- Spin Hall effect --- $B = 0, V \perp I$
- Quantum spin Hall effect --- 2D topological insulator
- Quantum anomalous Hall effect

 $\sigma = \nu \; \frac{e^2}{h},$



Edwin Hall's 1878 experiment was the first demonstration of the Hall effect. A magnetic field *B* normal to a gold leaf exerts a Lorentz force on a current *I* flowing longitudinally along the leaf. That force separates charges and builds up a transverse "Hall voltage" between the conductor's lateral edges. Hall detected this transverse voltage with a voltmeter that spanned the conductor's two edges.

PhysicsToday, Aug 2003, p38



PhysicsToday, Jan 2010, p33

Figure 1. Spatial separation is at the heart of both the quantum Hall (QH) and the quantum spin Hall (QSH) effects. **(a)** A spinless one-dimensional system has both a forward and a backward mover. Those two basic degrees of freedom are spatially separated in a QH bar, as illustrated by the symbolic equation "2 = 1 + 1." The upper edge contains only a forward mover and the lower edge has only a backward mover. The states are robust: They will go around an impurity without scattering. **(b)** A spinful 1D system has four basic channels, which are spatially separated in a QSH bar: The upper edge contains a forward mover with up spin and a backward mover with down spin, and conversely for the lower edge. That separation is illustrated by the symbolic equation "4 = 2 + 2."



Figure 2. (a) On a lens with antireflection coating, light waves reflected by the top (blue line) and the bottom (red line) surfaces interfere destructively, which leads to suppressed reflection. **(b)**A quantum spin Hall edge state can be scattered in two directions by a nonmagnetic impurity. Going clockwise along the blue curve, the spin rotates by π ; counterclockwise along the red curve, by $-\pi$. A quantum mechanical phase factor of -1 associated with that difference of 2π leads to destructive interference of the two paths—the backscattering of electrons is suppressed in a way similar to that of photons off the antireflection coating.



Figure 3. Mercury telluride quantum wells are two-dimensional topological insulators. (a) The behavior of a mercury telluride-cadmium telluride guantum well depends on the thickness d of the HgTe layer. Here the blue curve shows the potential-energy well experienced by electrons in the conduction band; the red curve is the barrier for holes in the valence band. Electrons and holes are trapped laterally by those potentials but are free in the other two dimensions. For quantum wells thinner than a critical thickness $d_c \simeq 6.5$ nm, the energy of the lowest-energy conduction subband, labeled E1, is higher than that of the highest-energy valence band, labeled H1. But for $d > d_c$, those electron and hole bands are inverted. (b) The energy spectra of the quantum wells. The thin quantum well has an insulating energy gap, but inside the gap in the thick quantum well are edge states, shown by red and blue lines. (c) Experimentally measured resistance of thin and thick quantum wells, plotted against the voltage applied to a gate electrode to change the chemical potential. The thin quantum well has a nearly infinite resistance within the gap, whereas the thick quantum well has a quantized resistance plateau at $R = h/2e^2$, due to the perfectly conducting edge states. Moreover, the resistance plateau is the same for samples with different widths, from 0.5 μ m (red) to 1.0 µm (blue), proof that only the edges are conducting.

Angle Resolved Photo Emission Spectroscopy (ARPES)

Α

Fig. 1. Electronic band structure of undoped Bi2Se3 measured by ARPES. (A) The bulk conduction band (BCB), bulk valence band (BVB), and surface-state band (SSB) are indicated, along with the Fermi energy $(E_{\rm F})$, the bottom of the BCB $(E_{\rm B})$, and the Dirac point $(E_{\rm D})$. (B) Constant-energy contours of the band structure show the SSB evolution from the Dirac point to a hexagonal shape (green dashed lines). (C) Band structure along the K- Γ -K direction, where Γ is the center of the hexagonal surface Brillouin zone (BZ), and the K and M points [see (D)] are the vertex and the midpoint of the side of the BZ, respectively (14). The BCB bottom is ~190 meV above Ep and 150 meV below Er. (D) Photon energy-dependent FS maps (symmetrized according to the crystal symmetry). Blue dashed lines around the BCB FS pocket indicate their different shapes.

0.1 Binding energy (eV) 0.2 BCB SSB 0.3 Dirac point **BVB** 0.4 ED 0.5 ALCH 5×12/42 (ALLIN 1.0.5 С D -SSB BCB 0.1 Binding energy (eV) 0.2 SSB 0.3 BCB BCB MM k (1/Å) SSB 51eV 25eV Dirac point -SSB 0.4 **BVB** 0.5 0.6 BCB \geq 0.1 -0.1 -0.05 0 0.05 0.1 -0.1 0.1 -0.1 0 0.1 0 k (1/Å) k (1/Å)

3 D topological insulators: Bi_2Sb_3 , Bi_2Se_3 , Bi_2Te_3 , Sb_2Te_3 . There is optical proof of the Dirac cone, but no transport evidence.

M. Z. Hasan, C. L. Kane

SCIENCE 329, 659 (2010)

в



Shiomi et. al., arXiv1312.7091 (2013).

Spin Chemical Potential Bias Induced Surface Current Evidenced by Spin Pumping into Topological Insulator Bi₂Te₃

Faris Basheer Abdulahad, Jin-Han Lin, Yung Liou, Wen-Kai Chiu, Liang-Juan Chang, Ming-Yi Kao, Jun-Zhi Liang, Dung-Shing Hung, and Shang-Fan Lee

PRB Rapid Comm. 92, 241304R (2015)


Transport measurements on Bi₂Te₃ films grown on sapphire (0001)



Temperature dependence of normalized resistivity for 30 nm sample measured under zero magnetic field. Inset shows the schematic illustration of the Fermi level.



Magnetic field dependence of normalized resistivity for 20 nm (black) and 30 nm (red) samples at T = 5 K. The black curve is shifted for clarity.



FMR for Bi₂Te₃/Py Bilayers



Temperature dependence measurements of FMR show upper left shift compared to single Py layers.





Possible effects:

- FM-anisotropy
- proximity effect
- FM/TI exchange coupling.

$$f_{res} = \frac{\gamma}{2\pi} \sqrt{(\mathrm{H}_0 + H_{eff})((\mathrm{H}_0 + H_{eff}) + 4\pi M_s)}$$

Temperature dependence of the effective field for the reference sample and 15, 20, and 30 nm Bi_2Te_3 samples. Solid lines are exponential fits.

Bi₂Te₃/Py Bilayers

Bi₂Te₃ thickness dependence of FMR



Bi₂Te₃/Py Bilayers

H_{eff} for Bi₂Te₃ thickness dependence

| | Y=1.8887E7 ; M_s =8863.2 Oe (5K) Y=1.88264E7 ; M_s =8271.3 Oe (300K) | |
|--|---|------------------------|
| | 5K | 300K |
| Py (40nm) | 18.26 <u>+</u> 1 Oe | 6.64 <u>+</u> 1 Oe |
| Bi ₂ Te ₃ (10nm)/ Py (40nm) | 27.79 <u>+</u> 3.6 Oe | 22.16 <u>+</u> 3.43 Oe |
| Bi ₂ Te ₃ (15nm)/ Py (40nm) | 132 <u>+</u> 5.50e | 44 <u>+</u> 5.40e |
| Bi ₂ Te ₃ (20nm)/ Py (40nm) | 58.5 <u>+</u> 4.25Oe | - |
| Bi ₂ Te ₃ (30nm)/ Py (40nm) | 60.344 <u>+</u> 5.5Oe | 29 <u>+</u> 3.70e |
| Bi ₂ Te ₃ (100nm)/ Py (40nm) | 12.17 <u>+</u> 1.1 Oe | 19.8 <u>+</u> 1.9 Oe |

Summary

- 1. Large H_{eff} due to the spin pumping effect was observed for different Bi_2Te_3 thin films.
- 2. H_{eff} has a maximum value around $t_{TI} = 15$ nm.
- 3. H_{eff} decreases with temperature exponentially; characteristic temperature $T_0 \sim 25-33$ K is on the energy scale of 2.5 meV.



We observed an exchange coupling between NiFe and TI surface. The strength of this coupling decay with increasing temperature with a characteristic temperature ~25K, or ~2.2 meV. Topological insulators and topological superconductors



Schematic comparison of 2D chiral superconductor and QH states. In both systems, TR symmetry is broken and the edge states carry a definite chirality. (Bottom row) Schematic comparison of 2D TR invariant topological superconductor and QSH insulator. Both systems preserve TR symmetry and have a helical pair of edge states, where opposite spin states counterpropagate. The dashed lines show that the edge states of the superconductors are Majorana fermions so that the E<0 part of the quasiparticle spectrum is redundant. In terms of the edge state degrees of freedom, we have symbolically QSH = $(QH)^2$ = (helical SC)² = (chiral SC)⁴. From Qi, Hughes et al., 2009a.

REVIEWS OF MODERN PHYSICS, 83, 1057 (2011) DOI: 10.1103/RevModPhys.83.1057

Summary

- Giant Magnetoresistance, Tunneling Magnetoresistance
- Spin Transfer Torque
- Pure Spin current (no net charge current)
 - Spin Hall, Inverse Spin Hall effects
 - Spin Pumping effect
 - Spin Seebeck effect
- Micro and nano Magnetics
- Spin pumping into Topological Insulator, Topological Superconductor
- Spin logic
- Skyrmion
- Is Spintronics the next generation technology beyond 2020?
 Is there better candidate in sight?

Spin Hall Magnetoresistance





PRL **110**, 206601 (2013) Spin han wagnetoresistance mouced by a Nonequilibrium Proximity Effect

Spintronics has evolved in many aspects other than material developments, including effects like Giant Magnetoresistance, Tunneling Magnetoresistance, Spin Transfer Torque, Spin Hall, Spin Pumping, Inverse Spin Hall, and more. The underlying idea was to investigate and manipulate the electron spin degree of freedom in addition to its charge in transport phenomena. However, charge transport is usually accompanied by Joule heating problem as the sizes of the electronics continue to shrink. Thus, devices that manipulate pure spin currents can be highly beneficial compared to traditional charge-based electronics. We now have "spin caloritronics", where one exploits the interaction between heat transport and the charge/spin carriers.

Spin caloritronic effect, such as spin Seebeck effect, has attracted a great deal of attention recently. The difference in the chemical potentials of the spin-up and the spin-down electrons can cause a pure spin current. This pure spin current can be detected by Pt strips via the inverse spin-Hall effect. In most cases such studies have been made on ferromagnetic thin films on substrates. The mechanism of spin Seebeck effect has evolved from the above-mentioned intrinsic difference in the spin chemical potentials when it was first reported experimentally to magnon-phonon interaction through the substrate in recent publication. We use patterned ferromagnetic thin film to demonstrate the profound effect of a substrate on the spin-dependent thermal transport [1]. With different sample patterns and on varying the direction of temperature gradient, both longitudinal and transverse thermal voltages exhibit asymmetric instead of symmetric spin dependence. This unexpected behavior is due to an out-of-plane temperature gradient imposed by the thermal conduction through the substrate and the mixture of the anomalous Nernst effects. Only with substrate-free samples have we determined the intrinsic spin-dependent thermal transport with characteristics and field sensitivity similar to those of anisotropic magnetoresistance effect.