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## Chapter 1: Introduction

### 1.1 Introduction

Loosely defined, a plasma is a gas of charged particles (electrons and ions), whose behavior is dominated by electric and magnetic forces despite the (often unavoidable) presence of neutral particles. A more specific definition will be given later in this chapter, following the development of some basic concepts.

## The Fourth State of Matter :

The plasma is often referred to as the fourth state of matter, as is clear from the following phase-transition process:


Before going into details, we outline below principal properties of the plasma and the existence of plasma in nature and laboratories.

## Properties of the Plasma:

long-range interaction (through EM fields) collective behavior (Debye shielding, waves, ...)
self consistency $(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{B} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{J}, \rho)$
non-Maxwellian distribution $\left[f \neq n_{0} \exp \left(\frac{-m v^{2}}{2 k T}\right)\right]$
free energy
instability
classical diffusion (due to collisions)
anomalous diffusion (due to instabilities)

## Existence of the Plasma:

gas discharges (spark gap, lightning, sprite, ....) non-neutral plasmas (accelerators, microwave tubes,....)
controlled fusion (tokamak, laser fusion, ....)
space physics (ionosphere, solar plasma, ....)
astrophysics (stellar plasmas, radiative processes, ...)

Although plasma physics is generally considered to be a branch of classical physics, quantum effects will set in when inter particle distances ( $\sim 1 / n_{0}^{1 / 3}, n_{0}$ : plasma density) are smaller or comparable to the de Broglie wavelength $(h / p)$ of a thermal particle, i.e. when

$$
\frac{1}{n_{0}^{1 / 3}} \leq \frac{h}{p}
$$

For the plasmas of interest to most plasma physicists, quantum effects are negligible.

### 1.2 Debye Shielding

Consider an infinite, uniform plasma of equal electron and (singlycharged) ion density $n_{0}$. To test its property, we put a charge $q_{T}$ at the origin. Then, at the eqilibrium state, the electrostatic potential $\varphi$ obeys the Poisson equation: $\nabla^{2} \varphi=-4 \pi \rho=4 \pi e\left(n_{e}-n_{i}\right)-4 \pi q_{T} \delta(\mathbf{r})$, where $n_{e}\left(n_{i}\right)$ is the electron (ion) density in the presence of $q_{T}$.

To solve (1.1), we make two assumptions:
Assumption (i): The electrons and ions are each in thermal equilibrium at temperatures $T_{e}$ and $T_{i}$, respectively, with densities given by:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
n_{e}=n_{0} e^{\frac{e \varphi}{k T_{e}}} \\
n_{i}=n_{0} e^{\frac{-e \varphi}{k T_{i}}}
\end{array}\right.
$$

(1.2) and (1.3) are well-known statistical relations. They will later be derived in this chapter [see (17).]

more ions $\rightarrow$
$e \varphi$ : potential energy of ions

Assumption (ii) : $\frac{e \varphi}{k T_{e}} \ll 1$ and $\frac{e \varphi}{k T_{i}} \ll 1$
Then, $\left\{\begin{array}{c}n_{e}=n_{0} e^{\frac{e \varphi}{k T_{e}}} \approx n_{0}\left(1+\frac{e \varphi}{k T_{e}}\right) \\ n_{i}=n_{0} e^{\frac{-e \varphi}{k T_{i}}} \approx n_{0}\left(1-\frac{e \varphi}{k T_{i}}\right)\end{array}\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { Nicholson uses the notation } \\ T \text { for both temperature and } \\ \text { kinetic energy. Here, we } \\ \text { denote the latter by } k T .\end{array}\right]\right.$
and $\nabla^{2} \varphi=4 \pi e\left(n_{e}-n_{i}\right)-4 \pi q_{T} \delta(\mathbf{r})[(1.1)]$ can be written
$\nabla^{2} \varphi=4 \pi n_{0} e^{2}\left(\frac{1}{k T_{e}}+\frac{1}{k T_{i}}\right) \varphi-4 \pi q_{T} \delta(\mathbf{r})$
As $r \rightarrow 0$, (1) reduces to $\nabla^{2} \varphi \simeq-4 \pi q_{T} \delta(\mathbf{r})$. Hence*,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \varphi=\frac{q_{T}}{r} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2) is the Coulomb potential of $q_{T}$. Physically, this is because $q_{T}$ makes the dominant contribution to $\varphi$ as $r \rightarrow 0$.
*See Eq. (B.10) in Appendix B.

### 1.2 Debye Shielding (continued)

For $r>0$, (1) reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla^{2} \varphi=\frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{d}{d r}\left(r^{2} \frac{d \varphi}{d r}\right)=4 \pi n_{0} e^{2}\left(\frac{1}{k T_{e}}+\frac{1}{k T_{i}}\right) \varphi \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Defining the electron Debye length $\lambda_{D e}$, ion Debye length $\lambda_{D i}$, and plasma Debye length $\lambda_{D}$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda_{D e, i} \equiv \sqrt{k T_{e, i} /\left(4 \pi n_{0} e^{2}\right)}\left[\approx 740 \sqrt{k T_{e, i}(e V) / n_{0}\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{-3}\right)} \mathrm{cm}\right]  \tag{1.4}\\
& \frac{1}{\lambda_{D}^{2}} \equiv \frac{1}{\lambda_{D e}^{2}}+\frac{1}{\lambda_{D i}^{2}} \tag{1.5}
\end{align*}
$$

we may write (1.3) as $\frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{d}{d r}\left(r^{2} \frac{d \varphi}{d r}\right)=\frac{\varphi}{\lambda_{D}^{2}}$,
which has the solution: $\quad \varphi=A \frac{e^{-\frac{r}{\lambda_{D}}}}{r}+B \frac{e^{\frac{r}{\lambda_{D}}}}{r}$
Boundary conditions $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(r)=0 \\ \lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \varphi(r)=\frac{q_{T}}{r}[(2)]\end{array}\right\}$ give $\left\{\begin{array}{l}B=0 \\ A=q_{T}\end{array}\right.$

The solution of (1.1) is thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi=\frac{q_{T}}{r} e^{-\frac{r}{\lambda_{D}}} \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

from which we obtain the only component of the electric field:

$$
E_{r}=-\frac{d \varphi}{d r}=q_{T}\left(\frac{1}{r^{2}}+\frac{1}{r \lambda_{D}}\right) e^{-\frac{r}{\lambda_{D}}}
$$

the charge density:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { arge density: } \\
& \rho=\frac{-1}{4 \pi} \nabla^{2} \varphi=\overbrace{q_{T} \delta(\mathbf{r})}^{\text {test charge }} \overbrace{-\frac{q_{T}}{4 \pi r \lambda_{D}^{2}} e^{-\frac{r}{\lambda_{D}}},}^{\text {shielding cloud }}
\end{aligned}
$$

and the total charge in a sphere of radius $r$ (including test charge):

$$
Q_{\text {Total }}(r)=\frac{1}{4 \pi} \oint \mathbf{E} \cdot d \mathbf{a}=r^{2} E(r)=q_{T}\left(1+\frac{r}{\lambda_{D}}\right) e^{-\frac{r}{\lambda_{D}}}
$$

We find $Q_{\text {Total }}(r) \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$. This shows that the total charge in the shielding cloud is $-q_{T}$, which exactly cancels the test charge.

### 1.2 Debye Shielding (continued)

Discussion: Rewrite $\rho=q_{T} \delta(\mathbf{r})-\frac{q_{T}}{4 \pi r \lambda_{D}^{2}} e^{-\frac{r}{\lambda_{D}}}$

1. When a test charge $q_{T}$ is placed in a plasma, the plasma particles form a charge cloud of the opposite sign (with total charge $-q_{T}$ and most of which in a radius of $\sim \lambda_{D}$ ) to shield $q_{T}$. As a result, $\varphi$ due to $q_{T}$ falls off as $\frac{1}{r}$ when $r \ll \lambda_{D}$ [(2)]. But as $r$ increases, $\varphi$ falls off much faster than $\frac{1}{r}$ due to the shielding cloud. This effect is called Debye shielding.

2. Through the shielding charge cloud, the plasma effectively neutralizes the test charge over a distance of approximately $\lambda_{\mathrm{D}}$. Hence, a plasme can be regarded as quasineutral $\left(n_{e} \approx n_{i}\right)$ if $\lambda_{D} \ll L$, where $L$ is the dimension of the plasma.

Approximate Electron Densities and
Temperatures for Typical Plasmas

| Plasma | Density <br> $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-3}\right)$ | Temperature <br> $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{K}\right)$ | $\lambda_{D}$ <br> $(\mathrm{~cm})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interstellar gas | $10^{0}$ | $10^{4}$ | $7 \times 10^{2}$ |
| Gaseous nebula | $10^{2}$ | $10^{4}$ | $7 \times 10^{\prime}$ |
| Ionosphere (F layer) | $10^{6}$ | $10^{3}$ | $2 \times 10^{-1}$ |
| Solar corona | $10^{6}$ | $10^{6}$ | 7 |
| Tenuous laboratory plasma | $10^{11}$ | $10^{4}$ | $2 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| Solar atmosphere | $10^{14}$ | $10^{4}$ | $7 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| Dense laboratory plasma | $10^{15}$ | $10^{5}$ | $7 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| Thermonuclear plasma | $10^{16}$ | $10^{8}$ | $7 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| Metal | $10^{23}$ | $10^{2}$ | $2 \times 10^{-10}$ |
| Stellar interior | $10^{27}$ | $10^{7}$ | $7 \times 10^{-10}$ |

3. Any plasma particle can be regarded as a test charge and is therefore shielded by the other particles just as the test charge.
4. $\lambda_{D e, i}=\sqrt{\frac{k T_{e, i}}{4 \pi n_{0} e^{2}}}\left[\frac{1}{\lambda_{D}^{2}}=\frac{1}{\lambda_{D e}^{2}}+\frac{1}{\lambda_{D i}^{2}}\right]$

Physical reason for $\lambda_{D e, i} \propto \sqrt{T_{e, i}}:$ When $T_{e, i} \neq 0$, the neutralizing particles, after drawing to the shileding cloud, have the mobility (due to thermal velocities) to move out of the cloud, thus reducing their shielding ability.

Physical reason for $\lambda_{\mathrm{D}} \propto \frac{1}{\sqrt{n_{0}}}$ : The shielding ability of the plasma increases with its density.
5. In a plasma, we can have $T_{e} \neq T_{i}$ for a limited time duration. As will be shown in Sec. 1.6 [Eqs. (36)-(39)], this is because of the difference in ralaxation time scales: $\tau_{e e} \ll \tau_{i i} \ll \tau_{i e}$. As a result, it takes a much longer time for $T_{e}$ and $T_{i}$ to equalize than for the electrons and ions to thermalize.
6. In the presence of a magnetic field $\mathbf{B}$, forces acting on charges along $\mathbf{B}$ can be different from those acting perpendicular to $\mathbf{B}$. Thus, even a single species (e.g. the electrons) can have two temperatures, $T_{\perp}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{\|}$, before collisions equalize the two temperatures.
7. Temperatures of laboratory plasmas typically range from 1 eV to $10 \mathrm{keV}(1 \mathrm{eV} \approx 11,600 \mathrm{~K})$, but with a density much lower than that of the air (see table two pages back). Hence, the thermal energy per unit volume ( $n k T$ ) can be much lower than that of the ordinary matter. For example, $T_{e}$ of a fluorescent light tube is $\sim 20,000 \mathrm{~K}$, but the energy content just barely warms up the glass tube.
8. In a neutral gas, particles interact with each other only when they come into close contact. In the plasma, a charged particle interact simultaneously with many particles through electromagnetic forces. The short-range binary interactions still take place in a plasma, but particle interactions are dominated by long range forces. These forces are important because of the large number of particles involved. A quantitative comparison between long- and short-range interactions will be given in Sec. 1.6.
9. Long-range interactions can result in a variety of collective behavior. Debye shielding is an example of such behavior, in which many particles respond cooperatively to shield the electrostatic field of the test charge. Collective behavior is responsible for the richness of plasma phenomena. For example, there are numerous types of plasma waves, but there is only one type of wave (the sound wave) in a neutral gas. However, long-range interactions can also be stochastic in nature, as in collisions discussed in Sec. 1.6.

### 1.3 Plasma Parameter

Derivation of $\lambda_{\mathrm{D}}$ (hence Debye shielding) has used the statistical relations (1.2) and (1.3), which are valid only when there are many particles in the shielding cloud of radius $\sim \lambda_{\mathrm{D}}$, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda \equiv n_{0} \lambda_{D}^{3} \gg 1 \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Lambda$ is called the plasma parameter.
Derivation of $\lambda_{\mathrm{D}}$ has also assumed $k T_{e, i} \gg e \varphi$. We take $\varphi$ to be the average potential at a particle due to its nearest neighbor located a distance $1 / n_{0}^{1 / 3}$ away:

$$
\varphi \approx \frac{e}{r} \approx e n_{0}^{1 / 3}\left[\begin{array}{l}
\text { Coulomb potential is appropriate to }  \tag{1.9}\\
\text { use because particle distances } \ll \lambda_{\mathrm{D}}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Thus, $k T_{e, i} \gg e \varphi$ implies $k T_{e, i} \gg n_{0}^{1 / 3} e^{2}$
Using $\lambda_{\mathrm{D}} \approx \sqrt{k T_{e, i} /\left(4 \pi n_{0} e^{2}\right)}$, (1.12) gives $n_{0} \lambda_{D}^{3} \gg 1$, which is again (1.14). Thus, (1.14) is the validity condition for the Debye theory.

### 1.4 Plasma Frequency

This section considers another familiar example of the collective behavior : plasma oscillations. We begin with a development of the basic equations for a detailed treatment of this important problem.

Basic Equations : First, we will derive the fluid equation (7.5) in Sec. 7.1 by following a small element of the $\alpha$-th plasma species, which has a velocity $\mathbf{v}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ at position $\mathbf{x}$ and time $t$. By Newton's law of motion, we write $n_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}, t) m_{\alpha} \frac{d}{d t} \mathbf{v}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}, t)=\mathbf{f}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}, t)$, where $n_{\alpha}$ is the particle density of species $\alpha, m_{\alpha}$ is the particle mass, and $\mathbf{f}_{\alpha}$ is the force per unit volume acting on the fluid element. Note that although $n_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ varies with $t$, it is not to be differentiated with repect to $t$ because $\mathbf{f}_{\alpha}$ acts on the mass per unit volume $\left(n_{\alpha} m_{\alpha}\right)$.

For the LHS, we have $\frac{d}{d t} \mathbf{v}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}, t)=\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{v}_{\alpha}+\left(\frac{d}{d t} \mathbf{x} \cdot \nabla\right) \mathbf{v}_{\alpha}$
Since $\mathbf{x}$ is the position of the fluid element, $\frac{d}{d t} \mathbf{x}$ is the velocity of the fluid element. Thus, $\frac{d}{d t} \mathbf{v}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}, t)=\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{v}_{\alpha}+\left(\mathbf{v}_{\alpha} \cdot \nabla\right) \mathbf{v}_{\alpha}$.

Rewrite (3) : $n_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}, t) m_{\alpha} \frac{d}{d t} \mathbf{v}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}, t)=\mathbf{f}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}, t)$,
On the RHS, we consider only the Lorentz force density and the pressure force density: $\quad \mathbf{f}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}, t)=n_{\alpha} q_{\alpha}\left[\mathbf{E}+\frac{1}{c} \mathbf{v}_{\alpha} \times \mathbf{B}\right]-\nabla P_{\alpha}$, where $q_{\alpha}$ is charge per particle. Note that the fluid pressure " $P_{\alpha}$ " has the unit of force $/ \mathrm{cm}^{2}$, while pressure force density $"-\nabla P_{\alpha}$ " (force per unit volume due to $P_{\alpha}$ ) has the unit of force $/ \mathrm{cm}^{3}$.



Sub. the expressions for $\frac{d}{d t} \mathbf{v}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}, t)[(4)]$ and $\mathbf{f}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}, t)[(5)]$ into (3), we obtain the fluid equation for species $\alpha$ [same as (7.5)]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{\alpha} m_{\alpha}\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{v}_{\alpha}+\left(\mathbf{v}_{\alpha} \cdot \nabla\right) \mathbf{v}_{\alpha}\right]=n_{\alpha} q_{\alpha}\left(\mathbf{E}+\frac{1}{c} \mathbf{v}_{\alpha} \times \mathbf{B}\right)-\nabla P_{\alpha} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 1.4 Plasma Frequency (continued)

Discussion: In writing the pressure force density as " $-\nabla P_{\alpha}$ ", we have assumed an isotropic velocity distribution for the particles. This has considerably simplified our derivation of the fluid equation. A rigorous derivation can be found in Nicholson Sec. 7.2, which also leads to (7.5), but with a specific expression for $P_{\alpha}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\alpha}=n_{\alpha} k T_{\alpha} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we note that conservation of particles requires (see figure)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d}{d t} \int_{v} n_{\alpha} d^{3} x+\int_{v}\left(n_{\alpha} \mathbf{v}_{\alpha}\right) \cdot d \mathbf{a}=0 \Rightarrow \int_{v} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} n_{\alpha} d^{3} x+\int_{v} \nabla \cdot\left(n_{\alpha} \mathbf{v}_{\alpha}\right) d^{3} x \\
\Rightarrow \quad & \frac{\partial}{\partial t} n_{\alpha}+\nabla \cdot\left(n_{\alpha} \mathbf{v}_{\alpha}\right)=0, \quad \text { divergence thm. } \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

which is the equation of continuity.

arbitrary volume $v$

Rewrite the fluid equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{\alpha} m_{\alpha}\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{v}_{\alpha}+\left(\mathbf{v}_{\alpha} \cdot \nabla\right) \mathbf{v}_{\alpha}\right]=n_{\alpha} q_{\alpha}\left(\mathbf{E}+\frac{1}{c} \mathbf{v}_{\alpha} \times \mathbf{B}\right)-\nabla P_{\alpha} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the continuity equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} n_{\alpha}+\nabla \cdot\left(n_{\alpha} \mathbf{v}_{\alpha}\right)=0 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, the EM fields are governed by the Maxwell equations:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}=4 \pi \sum_{\alpha} n_{\alpha} q_{\alpha}  \tag{9}\\
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}=0 \\
\nabla \times \mathbf{E}=-\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{B} \\
\nabla \times \mathbf{B}=\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{E}+\frac{4 \pi}{c} \sum_{\alpha} n_{\alpha} \mathbf{v}_{\alpha}
\end{array}\right.
$$

(6), (8), and (9) form a set of coupled, self-consistent equations, i.e. the fluid motion is determined by dynamic equations (6) $[\alpha=1,2, .$. through $\mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{B}$, while $\mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ are determined by field equations (9) through the fluid motion (which produces $\rho$ and $\mathbf{J}$ ).

## Plasma Oscillations :

Assume that the plasma is formed of two fluids (electrons and singly-charged ions), each obeying (6) and (8), and contributing to (9). Then, the complete set of equations are

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
n_{e} m_{e}\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{v}_{e}+\left(\mathbf{v}_{e} \cdot \nabla\right) \mathbf{v}_{e}\right]=-n_{e} e\left(\mathbf{E}+\frac{1}{c} \mathbf{v}_{e} \times \mathbf{B}\right)-\nabla P_{e}  \tag{10a}\\
n_{i} m_{i}\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{v}_{i}+\left(\mathbf{v}_{i} \cdot \nabla\right) \mathbf{v}_{i}\right]=n_{i} e\left(\mathbf{E}+\frac{1}{c} \mathbf{v}_{i} \times \mathbf{B}\right)-\nabla P_{i} \\
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}=4 \pi e\left(n_{i}-n_{e}\right) \\
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}=0 \\
\nabla \times \mathbf{E}=-\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{B} \\
\nabla \times \mathbf{B}=\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{E}+\frac{4 \pi e}{c}\left(-n_{e} \mathbf{v}_{e}+n_{i} \mathbf{v}_{i}\right) \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} n_{e}+\nabla \cdot\left(n_{e} \mathbf{v}_{e}\right)=0 \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} n_{i}+\nabla \cdot\left(n_{i} \mathbf{v}_{i}\right)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Although the oscillation behavior involves plasma currents, we start out with the assumption:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{B}=0 \tag{11a}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (10e) and (10f), this is equivalent to assuming

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\nabla \times \mathbf{E}=0 \quad[\text { The oscillation is an electrostatic phenomenon }]  \tag{11b}\\
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{E}+4 \pi e\left(-n_{e} \mathbf{v}_{e}+n_{i} \mathbf{v}_{i}\right)=0\left[\begin{array}{l}
\text { displacement current } \\
+ \text { particle current }=0
\end{array}\right]
\end{array}\right.
$$

which need to be justified later on the basis of the results obtained.
We further make the simplifying assumption:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{e}=T_{i}=0 \tag{11d}
\end{equation*}
$$

which, by (7), implies that the plasma is "cold" and hence $P_{e}=P_{i}=0$.

Under approximations (11a)-(11d), Eqs. (10a)-(10h) reduce to

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{v}_{e}+\left(\mathbf{v}_{e} \cdot \nabla\right) \mathbf{v}_{e}=-\frac{e}{m_{e}} \mathbf{E}  \tag{12a}\\
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{v}_{i}+\left(\mathbf{v}_{i} \cdot \nabla\right) \mathbf{v}_{i}=\frac{e}{m_{i}} \mathbf{E} \\
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}=4 \pi e\left(n_{i}-n_{e}\right) \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} n_{e}+\nabla \cdot\left(n_{e} \mathbf{v}_{e}\right)=0 \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} n_{i}+\nabla \cdot\left(n_{i} \mathbf{v}_{i}\right)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

As a simple but important first step, we find the solutions for the equilibrium state $\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}=0\right)$. The equilibrium solutions are obviously

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathbf{v}_{e 0}=\mathbf{v}_{i 0}=\mathbf{E}_{0}=0 \\
n_{e 0}=n_{i 0}=n_{0}=\operatorname{const}(\Rightarrow \text { infinite and uniform plasma })
\end{array}\right.
$$

where the equilibrium solutions are treated as zero-order quantities and denoted by subscript " 0 ".

Next, assume that the equilibrium state is slightly perturbed, and denote the perturbations by subscript " 1 " as first-order quantities.

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathbf{v}_{e}=\mathbf{y} / e 0+\mathbf{v}_{e 1}  \tag{13}\\
\mathbf{v}_{i}=\mathbf{y}_{i 0}+\mathbf{v}_{i 1} \\
n_{e}=n_{0}+n_{e 1} \\
n_{i}=n_{0}+n_{i 1} \\
\mathbf{E}=\mathbf{E}_{0}+\mathbf{E}_{1}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Sub (13) into (12) and keep only first order terms,

$$
\begin{cases}\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{v}_{e 1}=-\frac{e}{m_{e}} \mathbf{E}_{1} & \begin{array}{l}
\left(\mathbf{v}_{e 1} \cdot \nabla\right) \mathbf{v}_{e 1} \text { and } \\
\left(\mathbf{v}_{i 1} \cdot \nabla\right) \mathbf{v}_{i 1} \text { are } \\
\text { 2nd order terms }
\end{array}  \tag{14a}\\
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{v}_{i 1}=\frac{e}{m_{i}} \mathbf{E}_{1} & \\
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}_{1}=4 \pi e\left(n_{i 1}-n_{e 1}\right) & \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} n_{e 1}+n_{0} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_{e 1}=0 & \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} n_{i 1}+n_{0} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_{i 1}=0 & \end{cases}
$$

The steps from (12) to (14) are called the linearization procedure. As a result, (12a)-(12e) reduce to a set of linear differential equations [(14)], which can be readily solved. However, the solutions are valid only when the perturbations are sufficiently small ( $n_{e 1} \ll n_{0}, n_{i 1} \ll n_{0}$, $\cdots$..) so that higher order perturbations can be neglected. Consider a normal mode by letting

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l|c}
\mathbf{v}_{e 1}(\mathbf{x}, t)=v_{e 1 k} e^{-i \omega t+i k_{z} z} \mathbf{e}_{z} & \text { Subscripts "en" and "i" }  \tag{15a}\\
\mathbf{v}_{i 1}(\mathbf{x}, t)=v_{i 1 k} e^{-i \omega t+i k_{z} z} \mathbf{e}_{z} & \text { Subscript "0" denotes } \\
n_{e 1}(\mathbf{x}, t)=n_{e 1 k} e^{-i \omega t+i k_{z} z} & \text { zero-order quantities. } \\
n_{i 1}(\mathbf{x}, t)=n_{i 1 k} e^{-i \omega t+i k_{z} z} & \text { fubscript "1" denotes } \\
\mathbf{E}_{1}(\mathbf{x}, t)=E_{1 k} e^{-i \omega t-o r d e r ~ q u a n t i t i k e s . ~} \\
\text { Subscript " } k \text { " denotes } \\
\text { a normal mode. }
\end{array}\right.
$$

where the normal mode is independent of $x, y$, and $v_{e 1 k}, v_{i 1 k}, n_{e 1 k}$, $n_{i 1 k}, E_{1 k}$ are the (constant) amplitudes of the respective variables. It is understood that the LHS is given by the real part of the RHS.

Sub. (15) into (14), we obtain a set of linear algebraic equations:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-i \omega v_{e 1 k}=-\frac{e}{m_{e}} E_{1 k}  \tag{16a}\\
-i \omega v_{i 1 k}=\frac{e}{m_{i}} E_{1 k} \\
i k_{z} E_{1 k}=4 \pi e\left(n_{i 1 k}-n_{e 1 k}\right) \\
-i \omega n_{e 1 k}+i k_{z} n_{0} v_{e 1 k}=0 \\
-i \omega n_{i 1 k}+i k_{z} n_{0} v_{i 1 k}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

From (16a,b) and (16d,e), we obtain $v_{e 1 k}, v_{i 1 k}, n_{e 1 k}$, and $n_{i 1 k}$ in terms of $E_{1 k}$ :

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
v_{e 1 k}=-i \frac{e}{\omega m_{e}} E_{1 k}  \tag{17a}\\
v_{i 1 k}=i \frac{e}{\omega m_{i}} E_{1 k} \\
n_{e 1 k}=-i \frac{k_{z}}{\omega^{2}} \frac{n_{0} e}{m_{e}} E_{1 k} \\
n_{i 1 k}=i \frac{k_{z}}{\omega^{2}} \frac{n_{0} e}{m_{i}} E_{1 k}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Sub. $n_{e 1 k}=-i \frac{k_{z}}{\omega^{2}} \frac{n_{0} e}{m_{e}} E_{1 k}[(17 \mathrm{c})]$ and $n_{i 1 k}=i \frac{k_{z}}{\omega^{2}} \frac{n_{0} e}{m_{i}} E_{1 k}^{\quad[(17 \mathrm{~d})]}$ into $i k_{z} E_{1 k}=4 \pi e\left(n_{i 1 k}-n_{e 1 k}\right)[(16 \mathrm{c})]$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
i k_{z} E_{1 k}=4 \pi i \frac{k_{z}}{\omega^{2}}\left(\frac{n_{0} e^{2}}{m_{e}}+\frac{n_{0} e^{2}}{m_{i}}\right) E_{1 k}, \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be written $\left(\omega^{2}-\omega_{p e}^{2}-\omega_{p i}^{2}\right) E_{1 k}=0$
where $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\omega_{p e} \equiv \sqrt{\frac{4 \pi n_{0} e^{2}}{m_{e}}}\left[=5.64 \times 10^{4} \sqrt{n_{0}\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{-3}\right)} \frac{\mathrm{rad}}{\mathrm{sec}}\right] \\ \omega_{p i} \equiv \sqrt{\frac{4 \pi n_{0} e^{2}}{m_{i}}}\left[=\sqrt{\frac{m_{e}}{m_{i}}} \omega_{p e} \ll \omega_{p e}\right]\end{array}\right.$
For (18) to have a non-trivial solution $\left(E_{1 k} \neq 0\right), \omega$ can only have a single frequency given by $\omega^{2}=\omega_{p e}^{2}+\omega_{p i}^{2}=\omega_{p}^{2}$
where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{p}^{2} \equiv \omega_{p e}^{2}+\omega_{p i}^{2} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\omega_{p}$ is called the plasma frequency. It is a characteristic frequency of the plasma most frequently encountered in plasma studies.

### 1.4 Plasma Frequency (continued)

To summarize, the solution of this problem is in (15) with the amplitude constants given by (17) and $\omega$ given by (19). As a final step, we need to justify the assumption $\mathbf{B}=0$ made in (11a), which requires $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\nabla \times \mathbf{E}=0 \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{E}+4 \pi e\left(-n_{e} \mathbf{v}_{e}+n_{i} \mathbf{v}_{i}\right)=0\end{array}\right.$
or, upon linearization, $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\nabla \times \mathbf{E}_{1}=0 \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{E}_{1}+4 \pi n_{0} e\left(-\mathbf{v}_{e 1}+\mathbf{v}_{i 1}\right)=0\end{array}\right.$
From (15a,b,e) and (17a,b), we have $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\mathbf{E}_{1}=E_{1 k} e^{-i \omega t+i k_{z} z} \mathbf{e}_{z} \\ \mathbf{v}_{e 1}=-i \frac{e}{\omega m_{e}} \mathbf{E}_{1} \\ \mathbf{v}_{i 1}=i \frac{e}{\omega m_{i}} \mathbf{E}_{1}\end{array}\right.$
(21a) is clearly satisfied. The LHS of (21b) gives by (17)

$$
-i \omega \mathbf{E}_{1}+i \frac{1}{\omega}\left(\omega_{p e}^{2}+\omega_{p i}^{2}\right) \mathbf{E}_{1}=i \frac{1}{\omega}\left(-\omega^{2}+\omega_{p e}^{2}+\omega_{p i}^{2}\right) \mathbf{E}_{1} \stackrel{\downarrow}{=} 0
$$

Hence, (21b) is also satisfied.

Discussion:

1. In (15), we have assumed a wave solution (i.e. all quantities are $\left.\sim e^{-i \omega t+i k_{z} z}\right)$. But (19) shows that the frequeny $\omega$ is fixed at a constant value $\omega_{p}$ independent of the wave number $k_{z}$. As a result, the wave does not propagate (group velocity $v_{g}=d \omega / d k_{z}=0$ ). Thus, what we have is an oscillation phenomenon rather than a wave phenomenon.

Rewrite (21b): $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{E}_{1}+4 \pi n_{0} e\left(-\mathbf{v}_{e 1}+\mathbf{v}_{i 1}\right)=0$. This equation shows an exact cancellation of the plasma and displacement currents. Thus, no magnetic field is generated; the oscillation is electrostatic in nature.

However, if the plasma has a finite temperature ( $P_{e}$ and/or $P_{i} \neq 0$ ), thermal velocities will allow the charges to carry any disturbance away from the source. In this case, $\omega$ will be a function of $k_{z}$ (hence $v_{g} \neq 0$ ) and plasma oscillations turns into a plasma wave. This will be treated in Chapter 6.
2. Since $\omega_{p e} \gg \omega_{p i}$, we have from (19) $\omega \approx \omega_{p e}$, which implies that plasma oscillations are basically an electron effect. Physically, this is because the wave field varies so rapidly that the much heavier ions can not respond fast enough to play a significant role. Hence, as a good approximation, we may let $m_{i} \rightarrow \infty$, Then, from (16b), $v_{i 1} \rightarrow 0$, and from (16e), $n_{i 1} \rightarrow 0$, i.e. the ions are essentially stationary. Thus, we may simply treat the ions as a uniform, neutralizing background with zero density and velocity perturbations and neglect the ion dynamic equation [(10b,h)] in the analysis of plasma oscillations, as is done in Nicholson Sec. 7.2. This approximation will lead to a plasma frequency of $\omega_{p}=\omega_{p e}$. Comparing it with the exact value in (20), $\omega_{p}=\omega_{p e}\left(1+m_{e} / m_{i}\right)^{1 / 2}$, we find the error to be of the order of $m_{e} / m_{i}$.
3. In Section 1.2, we have shown that the electrostatic field of a test charge is localized within a distance $\sim \lambda_{\mathrm{D}}$ because of Debye shielding. Here, we find that a time - varying electric field can extend well beyond $\lambda_{\mathrm{D}}$ (i.e. we can have $k \lambda_{\mathrm{D}} \ll 1$ ). The difference can be attributed to the "inertia effect" associated with the dynamic behavior of the plasma.

Consider Eq. (10a) without the nonlinear term $\left(\mathbf{v}_{e} \cdot \nabla\right) \mathbf{v}_{e}$ and the magnetic force $\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B} / \mathrm{c}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{e} m_{e} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{v}_{e}=-n_{e} e \mathbf{E}-\nabla P_{e} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

The LHS is the inertia term due to the finite electron mass, the importance of which depends upon the rate of change of $\mathbf{v}_{e}: \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{v}_{e}$.

For the static case $\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}=0\right)$, the electron inertia $\left(m_{e}\right)$ plays no role and we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
-n_{e} e \mathbf{E}-\nabla P_{e}=0 \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Physically, (23) states that the electric force are balanced by the pressure force, as is required for the static state. The solution of (23) is [write $\mathbf{E}=-\nabla \varphi(\mathbf{x})$ and assume $P_{e}=n_{e}(\mathbf{x}) k T_{e}$ ]

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{e}(\mathbf{x})=n_{0} e^{\frac{e \varphi(\mathbf{x})}{k T_{e}}} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n_{0}$ and $T_{e}$ are constants. (24) was used in our earlier derivation of $\lambda_{\mathrm{D}}$ [see (1.2)].

To consider the dynamic case $\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \neq 0\right)$, we rewrite (22):

$$
n_{e} m_{e} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{v}_{e}=-n_{e} e \mathbf{E}-\nabla P_{e}
$$

which shows that, depending on its relative magnitude with respect to the other terms, the LHS may modify the static results (e.g. Debye shielding) only slightily (e.g. the case of a moving test charge, see Nicholson, p.3) or in a fundamental way (e.g. plasma oscillations).
4. The effect of electron inertia is best illustrated by the example of plasma oscillations. The solid curve in the figure below shows the perturbed electron density at $t=0$. In order to restore neutrality, the excess electrons in regions with $n_{e 1}>0$ will be pushed by the electric field into regions with $n_{e 1}<0$. In doing so, the electrons will obtain maximum velocities at the state of complete neutrality (field energy becoming kinetic energy). The momentum will then carry the motion further (an inertia effect). As a result, half a plasma period later, the electron density turns nonuniform again in the opposite way (dashed curve). The reverse process then starts to complete the second half of the oscillation cycle.

5. The analysis of plasma oscillations here is a typical example of fluid treatment of plasma modes. In Ch. 7 of Nicholson, a variety of plasma modes are derived with fluid equations. As we will show in Ch. 6, the same phenomena can be analyzed on the basis of a (more rigorous) kinetic equation called the Vlasov equation. So the plan of this course is to incorporate most of the materials in Ch .7 of Nicholson into Ch. 6 of lecture notes for a kinetic treatment. Ch. 7 will be referenced in (but not part of) the lecture notes.

### 1.5 Other Parameters

The plasma is often immersed in an external magnetic field. Hence, cyclotron motion of charged particles can influence plasma behavior. Consider the equation of motion of a single particle of mass $m$ and charge $q$ in a uniform magnetic field $\mathbf{B}_{0}=B_{0} \mathbf{e}_{z}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
m \ddot{\mathbf{r}}=\frac{q}{c} \dot{\mathbf{r}} \times B_{0} \mathbf{e}_{z} \tag{1.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

with initial conditions: $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\mathbf{r}(t=0)=\left(x_{0}, y_{0}, z_{0}\right) \\ \text { The solutions are: } \\ \dot{\mathbf{r}}(t=0)=\left(0, v_{\perp}, v_{z}\right)\end{array}\right.$

$$
\left\{\begin{array} { l } 
{ x ( t ) = x _ { 0 } + \frac { v _ { \perp } } { \Omega } ( 1 - \operatorname { c o s } \Omega t ) }  \tag{1.25}\\
{ y ( t ) = y _ { 0 } + \frac { v _ { \perp } } { \Omega } \operatorname { s i n } \Omega t } \\
{ z ( t ) = z _ { 0 } + v _ { z } t }
\end{array} \& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
v_{x}=v_{\perp} \sin \Omega t \\
v_{y}=v_{\perp} \cos \Omega t \\
v_{z}=\text { const }
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$


where

$$
\Omega \equiv \frac{q B_{0}}{m c} \quad\left[\begin{array}{l}
\text { called gyrofrequency }  \tag{1.26}\\
\text { cyclotron frequency }
\end{array}\right]
$$

and $q$ carries the sign of the charge.

The center of the gyrational motion is called the guiding center. The radius of gyration is called the Larmor radius or gyroradius given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{L}=\frac{v_{\perp}}{|\Omega|} \tag{1.28}
\end{equation*}
$$



Relativistic correction: Since there is no electric field in (1.24), the particle energy does not change and its relativistic factor:

$$
\gamma=\left(1-\frac{v_{\perp}^{2}}{c^{2}}-\frac{v_{z}^{2}}{c^{2}}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}
$$

is a constant. For the relativistic correction, we simply replace $m$ with $\gamma m$ in all equations. Thus, $\Omega=\frac{q B_{0}}{\gamma m c}\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { relativistic cyclotron } \\ \text { frequency }\end{array}\right]$

For an electron, $\Omega=\frac{e B_{0}}{\gamma m_{e} c}=1.76 \times 10^{7} \frac{B_{0}(\mathrm{Gauss})}{\gamma} \frac{\mathrm{rad}}{\mathrm{sec}}$

### 1.6 Collisions



## Properties of Coulomb Collisions in a Plasma :

1. Each particle sees the electric field of all the particles within the Debye sphere of this particle, i.e. it is undergoing approximately $\Lambda$ simultaneous Coulomb collisions.
2. Most of the Coulomb collisions are small-angle collisions (i.e. collisions of small deflection angles). However, the cumulative effect of many small-angle collisions is greater than that of large-angle collisions, as will be shown in this section.


## Small - Angle Collisions :

As shown in the figure above, an incident particle with charge $q$ and mass $m$ is colliding with (or scattered by) a particle of charge $q_{0}$ and mass $m_{0}$. Assume $m_{0} \rightarrow \infty$, so the scatterer remains stationary. Define the impact parameter $p$ to be the perpendicular distance from the scatterer to the unperturbed orbit ( $\|$ to the $z$-axis) of the incident particle. The actual orbit of the incident particle is $\mathbf{r}(t)$, which makes an angle $\theta(t)$ with the negative $z$-axis. Although the figure shows a repulsive collision, the treantment applies also to attractive collisions. ${ }_{37}$


Our primary interest is the scattering angle $\varphi$, defined to be the angle betwen the initial $(t \rightarrow-\infty)$ and final $(t \rightarrow \infty)$ velicities of the incident particle, i.e. the angle between $v_{0} \mathbf{e}_{z}$ and $v_{z} \mathbf{e}_{z}+v_{\perp} \mathbf{e}_{\perp}$, where $v_{\perp}$ is given by $m v_{\perp}=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F_{\perp}(t) d t=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{q q_{0}}{r^{2}(t)} \sin \theta(t) d t$

The scattering angle $\varphi$ can be evaluated exactly (see Appendix C). Here, we calculate it approximately for the case of small-angle collisions ( $\varphi \ll 1$ ). For $\varphi \ll 1$, we have $v_{\perp} \ll v_{z}$ ( or $v_{z} \approx v_{0}$ ), which gives the approximate relations: $\left\{\begin{array}{l}r \sin \theta \approx p \\ r \cos \theta \approx-v_{0} t\end{array}\right.$

$\operatorname{Sub}$ (27) into (26) $\Rightarrow v_{\perp} \approx \frac{q q_{0}}{m p^{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sin ^{3} \theta d t$
Divide (28) by (27) $\Rightarrow \frac{p \cos \theta}{\sin \theta} \approx-v_{0} t \Rightarrow d t \approx \frac{p d \theta}{v_{0} \sin ^{2} \theta}$
$\operatorname{Sub}$ (1.34) into (1.32) $\Rightarrow v_{\perp} \approx \frac{q q_{0}}{m v_{0} p} \int_{0}^{\pi} \sin \theta d \theta=\frac{2 q q_{0}}{m v_{0} p}$
$\Rightarrow \frac{v_{\perp}}{v_{0}} \approx \frac{p_{0}}{p} \quad$ or $\quad \varphi \approx \frac{p_{0}}{p} \quad\left[\right.$ valid when $p \gg p_{0}$ ]
where $p_{0} \equiv \frac{2 q q_{0}}{m v_{0}^{2}}\left(\Rightarrow \frac{1}{2} m v_{0}^{2}=\frac{q q_{0}}{p_{0}}\right)$
$p_{0}$ is the distance of closest approach for a head-on collision $(p=0)_{39}$

### 1.6 Collisions (continued)

## Large - Angle Collisions :

Refer to the right figure. The scattering angle is given by (derived in Appendix C)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tan \frac{\varphi}{2}=\frac{p_{0}}{2 p} \quad\left[p_{0} \equiv \frac{2 q q_{0}}{m v_{0}^{2}}\right] \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$



In the limit $\varphi \rightarrow 0$, we have $\varphi \approx \frac{p_{0}}{p}$, in agreement with (1.37).
If $p \leq p_{0}$, (29) gives $\varphi \geq 53^{\circ}$, which we define to be large-angle scattering. Then, the cross section $\left(\sigma_{L}\right)$ for large-angle scattering is

$$
\sigma_{L}=\pi p_{0}^{2}
$$

For an electron moving with velocity $v_{0}$ in a gas of ions ( $m_{0} \rightarrow \infty$ ), the large-angle collision frequency $\left(\gamma_{L}\right)$ is for singly-charged ions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{L}=n_{0} v_{0} \sigma_{L}=n_{0} v_{0} \pi p_{0}^{2}=\frac{4 \pi n_{0} e^{2} q_{0}^{2}}{m^{2} v_{0}^{3}}=\frac{4 \pi n_{0} e^{4}}{m_{e}^{2} v_{0}^{3}},\left[\propto \frac{1}{v_{0}^{3}}\right] \tag{1.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n_{0}$ is the ion density. Note that Nichoson uses the notation $v$ for collision freuencies. Here, we use $\gamma$ to avoid confusion with velocity $v_{40}$

## Small - Angle Collision Frequency :

Random walk: Let's first consider the problem of one-dimensional
 of equal length $\ell$. The direction of each step $\left(\mathbf{e}_{x}\right.$ or $\left.-\mathbf{e}_{x}\right)$ is completely random. The total distance away from $x=0$ is: $\quad \Delta x^{\text {tot }}=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \Delta x_{i}$, where $\Delta x_{i}(=+\ell$ or $-\ell)$ is the $i$-th step length, and $\Delta x^{\text {tot }}$ can be any multiple of $\ell$ between $-N \ell$ and $N \ell$ for a single event. Statistically, if there are an arbitrarily large number of similar random-walk events, one can find the average of $\Delta x_{i}, \Delta x^{\text {tot }},\left(\Delta x^{\text {tot }}\right)^{2}$, etc. Such an average is called the ensemble average and we denote it by $\rangle$. Evidently, $\left\langle\Delta x_{i}\right\rangle=0$ (hence $\left\langle\Delta x^{\text {tot }}\right\rangle=0$ ) because the $i$-th steps in all events are uncorrelated, and $\left\langle\Delta x_{i} \Delta x_{j}\right\rangle=0$ if $i \neq j$ because the $i$-th and $j$-th steps of each event are uncorrelated.
Thus, $\left\langle\left(\Delta x^{\text {tot }}\right)^{2}\right\rangle=\left\langle\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \Delta x_{i}\right)^{2}\right\rangle=\sum_{i=1}^{N}\langle\overbrace{\left(\Delta x_{i}\right)^{2}}^{=\ell^{2}}+\sum_{i \neq j}^{N} \overbrace{\left\langle\Delta x_{i} \Delta x_{j}\right\rangle}^{0}=N \ell^{2}$
1.6 Collisions (continued)

Taking the square root of $\left\langle\left(\Delta x^{\text {tot }}\right)^{2}\right\rangle=N \ell^{2}[(30)]$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{\left\langle\left(\Delta x^{\text {tot }}\right)^{2}\right\rangle}=\sqrt{N} \ell \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

which shows that, in a great many random-walk events in which a man has randomly walked $N$ steps of equal length $\ell$, he will be at an average distance of $\sqrt{N} \ell$ from where he started. So the more steps he makes, the further away he will likely be from the starting point, while the average distance will be proportional to $\sqrt{N}$ rather than $N$. The key word here is "average". The actual distance traveled differs from event to event. It can be any multiple of $\ell$ between 0 and $N \ell$, with the highest probability for 0 and the lowest probability for $N \ell$.

The (3-dimensional) diffusion of a molecule in a gas is a typical random-walk phenomenon. If the molecule moves a mean distance $\ell$ between collisions, it will be at an average distance of $\sqrt{N} \ell$ from the starting point after $N$ steps ( $\ell^{2}$ is the diffusion coefficient).

Electron-ion collision frequency: Return to the collision problem. Suppose a test electron with velocity $v_{0} \mathbf{e}_{z}$ is injected into an ion gas. After $N$ small -angle collisions, all with the same $p$, the total perturbed velocities will be $\Delta v_{x}^{\text {tot }}=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \Delta v_{x i} ; \Delta v_{y}^{\text {tot }}=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \Delta v_{y i}\left[\Delta v_{x i}^{2}+\Delta v_{y i}^{2}=\Delta v_{\perp i}^{2}\right]$

Assume $m_{i} \rightarrow \infty$ and the electron velocity $\approx v_{0} \mathbf{e}_{z}$ in all collisions. Then, from (1.37), we have $\Delta v_{\perp i}^{2}=v_{0}^{2} p_{0}^{2} / p^{2}$ for all $i$

This is a random-walk problem in the velocity space. If we repeat the injection for a large number of times, the ensemble averages are:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left\langle\Delta v_{x i}\right\rangle=\left\langle\Delta v_{y i}\right\rangle=0, \text { for all } i \\
\left\langle\Delta v_{x i} \Delta v_{x j}\right\rangle=\left\langle\Delta v_{y i} \Delta v_{y j}\right\rangle=0, i \neq j \quad\left\langle\left(\Delta v_{x i}\right)^{2}\right\rangle \text { is the same for all } i .
\end{array}\right.
$$ and $\left\langle\left(\Delta v_{x}^{\text {tot }}\right)^{2}\right\rangle=\left\langle\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \Delta v_{x i}\right)^{2}\right\rangle=\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left\langle\left(\Delta v_{x i}\right)^{2}\right\rangle \stackrel{\downarrow}{=} N\left\langle\left(\Delta v_{x i}\right)^{2}\right\rangle$

Similarly, $\left\langle\left(\Delta v_{y}^{\text {tot }}\right)^{2}\right\rangle=N\left\langle\left(\Delta v_{y i}\right)^{2}\right\rangle . \quad=v_{0}^{2} p_{0}^{2} / p^{2}$ by (32)
$\Rightarrow\left\langle\left(\Delta v_{\perp}^{\text {tot }}\right)^{2}\right\rangle=N\left\langle\left(\Delta v_{x i}\right)^{2}\right\rangle+N\left\langle\left(\Delta v_{y i}\right)^{2}\right\rangle=N\left\langle\left(\Delta v_{\perp i}\right)^{2}\right\rangle=N \frac{v_{0}^{2} p_{0}^{2}}{p^{2}}$

### 1.6 Collisions (continued)

Rewrite (33) : $\left\langle\left(\Delta v_{\perp}\right)^{2}\right\rangle=N \frac{v_{0}^{2} p_{0}^{2}}{p^{2}}\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { where we have dropped the } \\ \text { superscript "tot" for brevity. }\end{array}\right]$
Assume that the electron (with initial velocity $\mathrm{V}_{0} \mathbf{e}_{z}$ ) is injected along the $z$-axis (see figure) into the ion gas. (33) gives the average perpendicular velocity obtained by the electron after $N$ smallangle collisions with surrounding ions which are
 located on a cylindrical shell of radius $p$ (the impact parameter).

If the ion density is $n_{0}$, the rate of collisions made with ions located between $p$ and $p+d p$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d N}{d t}=2 \pi n_{0} v_{0} p d p \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating (33) with respect to $t$, we obtain the rate of change of $\left\langle\left(\Delta v_{\perp}\right)^{2}\right\rangle$ due to collisions with ions located between $p$ and $p+d p$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}\left\langle\left(\Delta v_{\perp}\right)^{2}\right\rangle=\frac{v_{0}^{2} p_{0}^{2}}{p^{2}} \frac{d N}{d t}=2 \pi n_{0} v_{0}^{3} p_{0}^{2} \frac{d p}{p} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Rewrite (35): $\frac{d}{d t}\left\langle\left(\Delta v_{\perp}\right)^{2}\right\rangle=\frac{v_{0}^{2} p_{0}^{2}}{p^{2}} \frac{d N}{d t}=2 \pi n_{0} v_{0}^{3} p_{0}^{2} \frac{d p}{p}$
Integrating (35) over $p$ from $p_{\text {min }}$ to $p_{\text {max }}$, we obtain the rate of change of $\left\langle\left(\Delta v_{\perp}\right)^{2}\right\rangle$ due to collisions with ions located between $p_{\text {min }}$ and $p_{\text {max }}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}\left\langle\left(\Delta v_{\perp}\right)^{2}\right\rangle=2 \pi n_{0} v_{0}^{3} p_{0}^{2} \int_{p_{\min }}^{p_{\max }} \frac{d p}{p} \tag{1.45}
\end{equation*}
$$



Small-angle collisions are, by our definition, for impact parameters of
 $p>\left|p_{0}\right|$, so we set $p_{\min }=\left|p_{0}\right|$. Because of the Debye shielding effect, the Coulomb field is negligible at $p>\lambda_{D}$. So we set $p_{\max }=\lambda_{D}$. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}\left\langle\left(\Delta v_{\perp}\right)^{2}\right\rangle=2 \pi n_{0} v_{0}^{3} p_{0}^{2} \ln \frac{\lambda_{D}}{\left|p_{0}\right|} \tag{1.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

The log factor in (1.46), $\ln \left(\lambda_{D} /\left|p_{0}\right|\right)$, is insensitive to the argument $\lambda_{D} /\left|p_{0}\right|$. This justifies our rough choices of $p_{\min }$ and $p_{\max }$.

Rewrite (1.46): $\frac{d}{d t}\left\langle\left(\Delta v_{\perp}\right)^{1.6}\right\rangle=2 \pi n_{0} v_{0}^{3} p_{0}^{2} \ln \frac{\lambda_{D}}{\left|p_{0}\right|}$
For an estimate of $\ln \left(\lambda_{D} /\left|p_{0}\right|\right)$, which is insensitive to $\lambda_{D} /\left|p_{0}\right|$, we let $v_{0} \approx v_{T e}=\sqrt{k T_{e} / m_{e}}=$ electron thermal velocity (Nicholson denotes $v_{T e}$ by $v_{e}$. This gives $\left|p_{0}\right|=\frac{2 e^{2}}{m_{e} v_{0}^{2}} \approx \frac{2 e^{2}}{k T_{e}}$

Ignoring the difference between $\lambda_{D}$ and $\lambda_{D e}$, we have from (1.4)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{D} \approx \sqrt{\frac{k T_{e}}{4 \pi n_{0} e^{2}}} \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, $\frac{\lambda_{D}}{\left|p_{0}\right|} \approx \lambda_{D} \frac{k T_{e}}{2 e^{2}}=\lambda_{D} 2 \pi n_{0} \frac{k T_{e}}{4 \pi n_{0} e^{2}} \approx 2 \pi n_{0} \lambda_{D}^{3} \stackrel{\downarrow}{=} 2 \pi \Lambda$,
In (1.46), setting $\left|p_{0}\right| \approx \frac{2 e^{2}}{m_{e} \nu_{0}^{2}}$ and $\frac{\lambda_{D}}{\left|p_{0}\right|} \approx \Lambda$ (neglect the factor $2 \pi$ ), we obtain $\quad \frac{d}{d t}\left\langle\left(\Delta v_{\perp}\right)^{2}\right\rangle \approx \frac{8 \pi n_{0} e^{4}}{m_{e}^{2} v_{0}} \ln \Lambda$

The collision time $\left(\tau_{c}\right)$ is roughly the time it takes for the particle to be deflected by $90^{\circ}$. We define it to be the time for $\left\langle\left(\Delta v_{\perp}\right)^{2}\right\rangle$ to increase to $v_{0}^{2}$ according to the initial rate of change in (1.48):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}\left\langle\left(\Delta v_{\perp}\right)^{2}\right\rangle \approx \frac{8 \pi n_{0} e^{4}}{m_{e}^{2} v_{0}} \ln \Lambda \tag{1.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

although $v_{0}$ will have changed considerably during this time. Thus, $\frac{d}{d t}\left\langle\left(\Delta v_{\perp}\right)^{2}\right\rangle \cdot \tau_{c}=v_{0}^{2}$ or $\tau_{c}=\frac{m_{e}^{2} v_{0}^{3}}{8 \pi n_{0} e^{4} \ln \Lambda}$, which gives the small-angle collision frequency: $\quad \gamma_{c}=\frac{1}{\tau_{c}}=\frac{8 \pi n_{0} e^{4}}{m_{e}^{2} v_{0}^{3}} \ln \Lambda$

In (1.49), the factor $\ln \Lambda$ is insensitive to $\Lambda$. For most plasmas, $\ln \Lambda \sim 10$. Comparing $\gamma_{c}$ with the large-angle collision frequency in (1.38): $\gamma_{L}=\frac{4 \pi n_{0} e^{4}}{m_{e}^{2} v_{0}^{3}}$ (note both $\gamma_{c}$ and $\gamma_{L}$ are $\propto \frac{1}{v_{0}^{3}}$ ), we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\gamma_{c}}{\gamma_{L}}=2 \ln \Lambda \gg 1 \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e. small-angle collisions dominate over large-angle collisions.

Rewrite (1.49): $\quad \gamma_{c}=\frac{8 \pi n_{0} e^{4}}{m_{e}^{2} v_{0}^{3}} \ln \Lambda$
$\gamma_{c}$ in (1.49) applies to a test electron of velocity $v_{0}$ colliding with an ion gas. In a plasma, we have an electron gas colliding with an ion gas. We may estimate the electron-ion collision frequency $\left(\gamma_{e i}\right)$ in the gas by letting $v_{0} \approx v_{T e}=\sqrt{k T_{e} / m_{e}}$. Thus,

$$
\gamma_{e i}=\gamma_{c}\left(v_{0}=v_{T e}\right)=\frac{8 \pi n_{0} e^{4}}{m_{e}^{1 / 2}\left(k T_{e}\right)^{3 / 2}} \ln \Lambda\left[\begin{array}{l}
\text { electron-ion }  \tag{38}\\
\text { collision frequency }
\end{array}\right]
$$

To compare the relative magnitude of $\gamma_{e i}$ and $\omega_{p e}$, we write

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\gamma_{e i}=\frac{v_{T e}}{2 \pi n_{0}} \frac{\left(4 \pi n_{0} e^{2}\right)^{2}}{\left(k T_{e}\right)^{2}} \ln \Lambda \approx \frac{v_{T e}}{2 \pi n_{0} \lambda_{D}^{4}} \ln \Lambda  \tag{1.51}\\
\omega_{p e}=\sqrt{\frac{4 \pi n_{0} e^{4}}{m_{e}}}=\sqrt{\frac{4 \pi n_{0} e^{4}}{k T_{e}}} \sqrt{\frac{k T_{e}}{m_{e}}}=\frac{v_{T e}}{\lambda_{D}}\left[\begin{array}{l}
\text { Ignore the difference } \\
\text { between } \lambda_{D} \text { and } \lambda_{D e}
\end{array}\right]
\end{array}\right.
$$

Thus, $\quad \frac{\gamma_{e i}}{\omega_{p e}}=\frac{\ln \Lambda}{2 \pi n_{0} \lambda_{D}^{3}}=\frac{\ln \Lambda}{2 \pi \Lambda} \approx \frac{1}{\Lambda} \ll 1$

Rewrite (1.51): $\frac{\gamma_{e i}}{\omega_{p e}}=\frac{\ln \Lambda}{2 \pi n_{0} \lambda_{D}^{3}}=\frac{\ln \Lambda}{2 \pi \Lambda} \sim \frac{1}{\Lambda} \ll 1$
This shows that Coulomb collisions are not effective in damping plasma oscillations or waves. For example, if $\Lambda=10^{6}$, the damping time will be $\sim 10^{6}$ oscillation periods. As will be considered in Ch.6, a damping mechanism called Landau damping is much more effective.

## Electron-electron collision frequency:

When an electron collides with another electron, the scatterer is no longer stationary. The collision frequency can be calculated in the same way by moving to the center-of-mass frame*. The result, within a factor or $\sim 2$, is the same as (38). So, we have

$$
\gamma_{e e} \approx \gamma_{e i}=\frac{8 \pi n_{0} e^{4}}{m_{e}^{1 / 2}\left(k T_{e}\right)^{3 / 2}} \ln \Lambda\left[\begin{array}{l}
\text { electron-electron }  \tag{39}\\
\text { collision frequency }
\end{array}\right]
$$

*See L. Spitzer, Jr., "Physics of Ionized Gases," 2nd ed., Ch. 5.

Ion-ion collision frequency:
Rewrite (39) : $\gamma_{e e}=\frac{8 \pi n_{0} e^{4}}{m_{e}^{1 / 2}\left(k T_{e}\right)^{3 / 2}} \ln \Lambda$
The ion-ion collision frequency can be obtained from (39) by replacing $m_{e}$ with $m_{i}$ and $T_{e}$ with $T_{i}$. Thus,

$$
\gamma_{i i}=\frac{8 \pi n_{0} e^{4}}{m_{i}^{1 / 2}\left(k T_{i}\right)^{3 / 2}} \ln \Lambda\left[\begin{array}{l}
\text { ion-ion collision }  \tag{40}\\
\text { frequency }
\end{array}\right]
$$

Comparing (38)-(40), we find, for $T_{e}=T_{i}, \gamma_{i i}$ is smaller by the factor $\sqrt{\frac{m_{e}}{m_{i}}}$ i.e $\quad \gamma_{i i}=\sqrt{\frac{m_{e}}{m_{i}}} \gamma_{e i}\left(\right.$ or $\left.\sqrt{\frac{m_{e}}{m_{i}}} \gamma_{e e}\right)$

## Ion-electron collision frequency:

Scattering of ions by electrons $\left(\gamma_{i e}\right)$ is like scattering of billiard balls by ping-pong balls. A similar calculation in the center-of-mass frame shows the $\gamma_{i e}$ is another factor of $\sqrt{m_{e} / m_{i}}$ smaller, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{i e}=\frac{m_{e}}{m_{i}} \gamma_{e i}\left(\text { or } \frac{m_{e}}{m_{i}} \gamma_{e e}\right) \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Relaxation Times: When a plasma is first formed (e.g. by an electrical discharge), a charged - particle species may be in directed motion. The directed velocity will then randomize on the time scale of one collision. Thus, from (38) and (39), we obtain
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Electrons thermalize } \text { on the time scale of } \tau_{e e}=\gamma_{e e}^{-1} . \\ \text { Ions thermalize on the time scale of } \tau_{i i}=\gamma_{i i}^{-1}\left(\approx \sqrt{\frac{m_{i}}{m_{e}}} \tau_{e e}\right) .\end{array}\right.$
In addition, electron and ion energies may not be equal when a plasma is first formed. The energies will equalize via ion-electron collisions on the time scale of $\quad \tau_{i e}=\gamma_{i e}^{-1}$ to reach the final state of equipartition of energy $\left(k T_{e}=k T_{i}\right)$.

Comparing (45) with (43) and (44), we find $\tau_{i e}$ is greater than
$\tau_{e e}$ and $\tau_{i e}$ by the factors: $\tau_{i e} \approx \frac{m_{i}}{m_{e}} \tau_{e e} ; \tau_{i e} \approx \sqrt{\frac{m_{i}}{m_{e}}} \tau_{i i}$,
which explains why we can have $T_{e} \neq T_{i}$ for a limited time.

## Discussion:

1. All the Coulomb collision frequencies have the $\gamma \propto 1 / T^{3 / 2}$ dependence, i.e. less collisions at higher temperatures. Physically, this is because thermal velocities, but not Coulomb forces, are greater at higher temperatures. Hence, it takes a longer time to deflect the velocity of a particle by $90^{\circ}$.
2. Heavier particles collide less because they have greater momenta at the same $T$ and hence need more collisions to deflect.
3. Coulomb collisions, though due to long-range forces, are not a collective effect because the scatterers do not act cooperatively. This is in contrast to Debye shielding and plasma oscillations as summarized below.
Long-range forces $\Rightarrow \begin{cases}\text { Debye shielding } & \text { (collective effect) } \\ \text { plasma oscillations } & \text { (collective effect) } \\ \text { Coulomb collisions } & \text { (single-particle effect) }\end{cases}$

## Electrical Conductivity of a Plasma :

Coulomb forces tend to slow down the directed motion, hence affect the plasma conductivity. The current density $\mathbf{J}$ is given by

$$
\mathbf{J}=-n_{0} e \mathbf{v}, \quad[\text { Ion current is negligible. }]
$$

where $n_{0}$ is the electron density, and the electron velocity $\mathbf{v}$ obeys

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m_{e} \frac{d \mathbf{v}}{d t}=-e \mathbf{E}-\underbrace{m_{e} \gamma_{e} \mathbf{v}}\left[\gamma_{e}: \text { electron collision frequency }\right] \\
& \text { Let }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { rate of change of } \\
\text { electron momentum } \\
\mathbf{v} \\
\mathbf{J}
\end{array}\right\}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathbf{E}_{0} \\
\mathbf{v}_{0} \\
\mathbf{J}_{0}
\end{array}\right\} e^{-i \omega t} \Rightarrow-i m_{e} \omega \mathbf{v}_{0}=-e \mathbf{E}_{0}-m_{e} \gamma_{e} \mathbf{v}_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\Rightarrow \mathbf{v}_{0}=\frac{-e}{m_{e}\left(\gamma_{e}-i \omega\right)} \mathbf{E}_{0} \Rightarrow \mathbf{J}_{0}=-n_{0} e \mathbf{v}_{0}=\frac{n_{0} e^{2}}{m_{e}\left(\gamma_{e}-i \omega\right)} \mathbf{E}_{0}
$$

$$
\Rightarrow \mathbf{J}_{0}=\underset{\uparrow}{\sigma \mathbf{E}_{0}} \text {, where } \sigma \equiv \frac{n_{0} e^{2}}{m_{e}\left(\gamma_{e}-i \omega\right)} \approx \frac{n_{0} e^{2}}{m_{e} \gamma_{e}} \propto T_{e}^{3 / 2}
$$

## Appendix A: Unit Systems and Dimensions

(Ref. J. D. Jackson, "Classical Electrodynamics," pp. 775-784)

## Unit Systems:

Two systems of electromagnetic units are in common use today: the SI and Gaussian systems. Regardless of one's personal preference, it is important to be familiar with both systems and, in particular, the conversion from one system to the other. Conversion formulae can be divided into two categories: "symbol/equation conversion (such as $E$ and $E=q / r^{2}$ )" and "unit conversion (such as coulomb)".

Conversion formulae for symbols and equations are listed in Table 3 on p. 782 of Jackson and conversion formulae for units in Table 4 on p. 783 (both tables attached on next page). These two tables are all we need to convert between SI and Gaussian systems. Correct use of the tables requires practices.

Appendix A: Unit Systems and Dimensions (continued)


Jackson, p.782, Table 3

Table 4 Conversion Table for Given Amounts of a Physical Quantity
The table is arranged so that a given amount of some physical quantity, expressed as so nany SI or Gaussian units of that quantity, can be expressed as an equivalent number If units in the other system. Thus the entries in each row stand for the same amount, :xpressed in different units. All factors of 3 (apart from exponents) should, for accurate
vork, be replaced by ( 2.99792458 ), arising from the numerical value of the velocity of ight. For example, in the row for displacement ( $D$ ), the entry $\left(12 \pi \times 10^{5}\right.$ ) is actually $2.99792458 \times 4 \pi \times 10^{5}$ ) and "9" is actually $10^{-16} c^{2}=8.98755 \ldots$. Where a name or a unit has been agreed on or is in common usage, that name is given. Otherwise,
one merely reads so many Gaussian units, or SI units. or a unit has been agreec on or is in common usage, the
ne merely reads so many Gaussian units, or SI units.


Jackson, p. 783, Table 4

Conversion of symbols and equations:
Consider, for example, the conversion of the SI equation
into the Gaussian system.

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=\frac{q}{4 \pi \varepsilon_{0} r^{2}} \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This involves the conversion of symbols and equations. So we use Table 3. First, we note from Table 3 (top) that mechanical symbols (e.g. time, length, mass, force, energy, and frequency) are unchanged in the conversion. Thus, we only need to deal with electromagnetic symbols on both sides of (A.1).

From Table 3, we find $E^{S I} \rightarrow \frac{E^{G}}{\sqrt{4 \pi \varepsilon_{0}}}$ and $q^{S I} \rightarrow \sqrt{4 \pi \varepsilon_{0}} q^{G}$
Sub. $E^{G} / \sqrt{4 \pi \varepsilon_{0}}$ and $\sqrt{4 \pi \varepsilon_{0}} q^{S I}$, respectively, for $E$ and $q$ in (A.1), we obtain the corresponding equation in the Gaussian system:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{E^{G}}{\sqrt{4 \pi \varepsilon_{0}}}=\frac{\sqrt{4 \pi \varepsilon_{0}} q^{G}}{4 \pi \varepsilon_{0} r^{2}} \Rightarrow E^{G}=\frac{q^{G}}{r^{2}} \tag{A.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Conversion of units and evaluation of physical quantities:
Consider again the SI equation : $E=\frac{q}{4 \pi \varepsilon_{0} r^{2}}$
Given $r=0.01 \mathrm{~m}, q=1$ statcoulomb, we may evaluate $E$ in 3 steps:
Step 1: Express $r, q$, and $\varepsilon_{0}$ in SI units. From Table 3 (bottom) and Table 4, we find

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\varepsilon_{0}=8.854 \times 10^{-12} \text { Farad } / \mathrm{m}=\frac{1}{36 \pi \times 10^{9}} \text { Farad } / \mathrm{m} \mathrm{~m}  \tag{A.4}\\
r=0.01 \mathrm{~m}(\text { same as given }) \\
q(=1 \text { statcoulomb })=\frac{1}{3 \times 10^{9}} \text { coulomb }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Step 2: Sub. the numbers (but not the units) from (A.4) into (A.1).
This gives $E=\frac{q}{4 \pi \varepsilon_{0} r^{2}}=\frac{\frac{1}{3 \times 10^{9}}}{4 \pi \times \frac{1}{36 \pi \times 10^{9}} \times(0.01)^{2}}=3 \times 10^{4}$
Step 3: Look up Table 4 for the SI unit of $E$. As shown in Table 4, the SI unit of $E$ is $\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{m}$. Thus, $E=3 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{m}$

Appendix A: Unit Systems and Dimensions (continued)
As another exercise, we write (A.1) in the Gaussian system :

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=\frac{q}{r^{2}} \tag{A.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and evaluate $E$ for the same $r(=0.01 \mathrm{~m})$ and $q(=1$ statcoulomb $)$.
Step 1: Express $r$ and $q$ in Gaussian units. From Table 4, we find

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
r(=0.01 \mathrm{~m})=1 \mathrm{~cm}  \tag{A.6}\\
q=1 \text { statcoulomb (same as given) }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Step 2: Sub. the numbers (but not the units) from (A.6) into (A.3). This gives $E=\frac{q}{r^{2}}=\frac{1}{1}=1$
Step 3: Look up Table 4 for the Gaussian unit of $E$. We find the unit to be statvolt $/ \mathrm{cm}$. Thus, $E=1 \mathrm{statvolt} / \mathrm{cm}$
Table 4 shows 1 statvolt $/ \mathrm{cm}=3 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{m}$. Hence, the 2 results in (A.5) and (A.7): $\left\{\begin{array}{l}E=3 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{m} \\ E=1 \text { statvolt } / \mathrm{cm}\end{array}\right\}$ are identical as expected.

## Units and Dimensions :

In the Gaussian system, the basic units are length $(\ell)$, mass $(m)$, and time $(t)$. In the SI system, they are the above plus the current $(I)$. [See Table 1 (top) on p. 779 of Jackson.] All other units are derived units.

If a physical quantity is expressed in term of the basic units, we have the dimension of this quantity.

A mechanical quantity has the same dimension in both systems. For example, the acceleration $a\left(=d^{2} x / d t^{2}\right)$ has the dimension of $\ell t^{-2}$. From $f=m a$, we obtain the dimension of force : $m \ell t^{-2}$, which in turn gives the dimension of work $(f \cdot \ell)$ or energy: $m \ell^{2} t^{-2}$.

An electromagnetic quantity has different dimensions in different systems. For example, the charge $q$ has the SI dimension of It. In the Gaussian system, from the equation $f=q^{2} / r^{2}$ and the dimension of force, we find the Gaussian dimension of $q$ to be $m^{1 / 2} \ell^{3 / 2} t^{-1}$. Since $q \phi$ has the dimension of energy ( $m \ell^{2} t^{-2}$ ), the potential $\phi$ has the SI dimension of $m \ell^{2} t^{-3} I^{-1}$ and the Gaussian dimension of $m^{1 / 2} \ell^{1 / 2} t^{-1}$. 59

Appendix A: Unit Systems and Dimensions (continued)
All physical quantities in an equation must be expressed in the same unit system and all terms must have the same dimension. For example, by Stokes's theorem, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\oint_{C} \mathbf{E} \cdot d \ell=\int_{S}(\nabla \times \mathbf{E}) \cdot \mathbf{n} d a \tag{A.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where both terms have the dimension of $\ell \times($ the dimension of $E)$.
In the definition of the delta function:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{a_{1}}^{a_{2}} \delta(x-a) d x=1 \tag{A.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

the RHS is dimensionless. Thus, if $x$ has the dimension of $\ell, \delta(x-a)$ must have the dimension of $\ell^{-1}$. However, " 0 " is not to be regarded as a dimensionless quantity. This is clear if we write (A.8) as

$$
\oint_{C} \mathbf{E} \cdot d \ell-\int_{S}(\nabla \times \mathbf{E}) \cdot \mathbf{n} d a=0
$$

Well known equations need not be checked for dimensional consistency. However, for newly derived equations, a dimensional check can be a convenient way to find mistakes.

## Appendix B: Delta Functions

Definition of Delta Function :
$\begin{cases}\delta(x-a)=0, & \text { if } x \neq a \\ \int_{a_{1}}^{a_{2}} \delta(x-a) d x=1, & \text { if } a_{1}<a<a_{2}\end{cases}$


Note: Since the delta function is defined in terms of an integral, it takes an integration to bring out its full meaning.

## Properties of Delta Function :

(i) $\int_{a_{1}}^{a_{2}} f(x) \delta(x-a) d x=f(a)$
(ii) $\int_{a_{1}}^{a_{2}} f(x) \delta^{\prime}(x-a) d x=\overbrace{\left.f(x) \delta(x-a)\right|_{a_{1}} ^{a_{2}}}^{0}-\int_{a_{1}}^{a_{2}} f^{\prime}(x) \delta(x-a) d x$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=-f^{\prime}(a) \tag{B.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Appendix B: Delta Functions (continued)
(iii) Let $x=a$ be the root of $f(x)=0$, then
$\int_{a_{1}}^{a_{2}} \delta[f(x)] d x=\int_{f\left(a_{1}\right)}^{f\left(a_{2}\right)} \delta[f(x)] \frac{1}{\frac{d}{d x} f(x)} d f(x)$
$=\left\{\begin{array}{l}\int_{f\left(a_{1}\right)}^{f\left(a_{2}\right)} \frac{1}{f^{\prime}} \delta(f) d f=\frac{1}{f^{\prime}(a)}=\frac{1}{\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|}, \quad f^{\prime}(a)>0 \\ -\int_{f\left(a_{2}\right)}^{f\left(a_{1}\right)} \frac{1}{f^{\prime}} \delta(f) d f=-\frac{1}{f^{\prime}(a)}=\frac{1}{\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|}, f^{\prime}(a)<0 \rightarrow(x) \quad\left\{\left(a_{1}\right)>f\left(a_{2}\right)\right. \\ a_{1} \backslash a a_{2}\end{array}\right.$
Note: In both expressions above, the integration is from a samller value to a larger value, as in the definition of the delta function.

Compare with (2) $\Rightarrow \delta[f(x)]=\frac{1}{\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|} \delta(x-a)\left[=\frac{1}{\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|} \delta(x-a)\right]$ (B.4)
If $f(x)$ has multiple roots $x_{i}\left[f\left(x_{i}\right)=0, i=1,2, \cdots\right]$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta[f(x)]=\sum_{i} \frac{1}{\left|f^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right)\right|} \delta\left(x-x_{i}\right)\left[=\sum_{i} \frac{1}{\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|} \delta\left(x-x_{i}\right)\right] \tag{B.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Exercise: Show $\delta(a-x)=\delta(x-a)$ and $\delta(c x)=\delta(x) /|c|$

## Extension to 3 Dimensions:

1. Cartesian coordinates: $\mathbf{x}=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta\left(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right) \equiv \delta\left(x_{1}-x_{1}^{\prime}\right) \delta\left(x_{2}-x_{2}^{\prime}\right) \delta\left(x_{3}-x_{3}^{\prime}\right)  \tag{B.6}\\
\Rightarrow & \int_{V} \delta\left(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right) d^{3} x=\int \delta\left(x_{1}-x_{1}^{\prime}\right) d x_{1} \int \delta\left(x_{2}-x_{2}^{\prime}\right) d x_{2} \int \delta\left(x_{3}-x_{3}^{\prime}\right) d x_{3}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
= \begin{cases}0, & \text { if } \mathbf{x}^{\prime} \text { lies outside } V \\ 1, & \text { if } \mathbf{x}^{\prime} \text { lies inside } V\end{cases}
$$

2. Cylindrical coordinates: $\mathbf{x}=(\rho, \theta, z)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta\left(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right) \equiv \frac{1}{\rho} \delta\left(\rho-\rho^{\prime}\right) \delta\left(\theta-\theta^{\prime}\right) \delta\left(z-z^{\prime}\right)  \tag{B.7}\\
\Rightarrow & \int_{V} \delta\left(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right) d^{3} x=\int_{V} \delta\left(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right) \rho d \rho d \theta d z \\
& =\int \delta\left(\rho-\rho^{\prime}\right) d \rho \int \delta\left(\theta-\theta^{\prime}\right) d \theta \int \delta\left(z-z^{\prime}\right) d z \\
& = \begin{cases}0, & \text { if } \mathbf{x}^{\prime} \text { lies outside } V \\
1, & \text { if } \mathbf{x}^{\prime} \text { lies inside } V\end{cases}
\end{align*}
$$



Question: If $x$ and $\mathbf{x}$ both have the dimension of cm , what are the dimensions of $\delta(x)$ and $\delta(\mathbf{x})$ ? [See Appendix (A), Eq. (A.9).] 63

Appendix B: Delta Functions (continued)
3. Spherical coordinates: $\mathbf{r}=(r, \theta, \varphi)$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\delta\left(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}^{\prime}\right) \equiv\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{1}{r^{2} \sin \theta} \delta\left(r-r^{\prime}\right) \delta\left(\theta-\theta^{\prime}\right) \delta\left(\varphi-\varphi^{\prime}\right), \text { or } \\
\frac{1}{r^{2}} \delta\left(r-r^{\prime}\right) \delta\left(\cos \theta-\cos \theta^{\prime}\right) \delta\left(\varphi-\varphi^{\prime}\right)
\end{array}\right. \\
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{By}(\mathrm{~B} .4), \delta\left(\cos \theta-\cos \theta^{\prime}\right)=\frac{1}{\sin \theta \mid} \delta\left(\theta-\theta^{\prime}\right)=\frac{1}{\sin \theta} \delta\left(\theta-\theta^{\prime}\right), 0 \leq \theta \leq \pi
\end{aligned} \\
\int_{V} \delta\left(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}^{\prime}\right) d^{3} x
\end{gathered}=\int_{V} \frac{\delta\left(r-r^{\prime}\right)}{r^{2}} \delta\left(\cos \theta-\cos \theta^{\prime}\right) \delta\left(\varphi-\varphi^{\prime}\right) \underbrace{}_{\begin{array}{c}
r^{2} d r d(\cos \theta) d \varphi \\
\text { [see (B.9) below] }
\end{array}} \begin{aligned}
& 0, \text { if } \mathbf{r}^{\prime} \text { lies outside } V \\
& 1, \text { if } \mathbf{r}^{\prime} \text { lies inside } V
\end{aligned}
$$

Note: Volume integration in spherical coordinates $\int_{0}^{\infty} d r \int_{0}^{\pi} r d \theta \int_{0}^{2 \pi} r \sin \theta d \varphi=\int_{0}^{\infty} r^{2} d r \int_{0}^{\pi} \sin \theta d \theta \int_{0}^{2 \pi} d \varphi$ Variables are to be integrated $=\int_{0}^{\infty} r^{2} d r \int_{-1}^{1} d(\cos \theta) \int_{0}^{2 \pi} d \varphi$
 from smaller to
larger values. $\Rightarrow d^{3} x=r^{2} \sin \theta d r d \theta d \varphi$ or $r^{2} d r d(\cos \theta) d \varphi$

## Appendix B: Delta Functions (continued)

## Approximate Representations of the Delta Function :

The delta function, $\delta(x)$, can be represented analytically by the following functions because they satisfy the definition of the delta function in the limit $\gamma \rightarrow 0(\gamma>0)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta(x)=\lim _{\gamma \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{\gamma}{x^{2}+\gamma^{2}} \\
& \delta(x)=\lim _{\gamma \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi} \gamma} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{x^{2}}{2 \gamma^{2}}} \\
& \delta(x)=\lim _{\gamma \rightarrow 0} \begin{cases}\frac{1}{\gamma}, & \text { for }-\frac{\gamma}{2}<x<\frac{\gamma}{2} \\
0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Appendix B: Delta Functions (continued)

Problem 1: A total charge $Q$ is uniformly distributed around a circular ring of radius $a$ and infinitesimal thickness. Write the charge density $\rho(\mathbf{x})$ in cylindrical coordinates.

## Solution:

Let $\rho(\mathbf{x})=K \delta(r-a) \delta(z)$ and find $K$ as follows.


Note: $\rho$ has the dimension of "charge/volume" as expected.

Problem 2: Prove $\nabla^{2} \frac{1}{r}=-4 \pi \delta(\mathbf{r})$
Solution: Definition of $\delta(\mathbf{r}):\left\{\begin{array}{l}\delta(\mathbf{r})=0, \text { if } r \neq 0 \\ \int \delta(\mathbf{r}) d^{3} x=1\end{array}\right.$
Hence, we need to prove
(i) $\nabla^{2} \frac{1}{r}=0$, if $r \neq 0$
(ii) $\int \nabla^{2} \frac{1}{r} d^{3} x=-4 \pi \int \delta(\mathbf{r}) d^{3} x=-4 \pi$


It is convenient to use the spherical coordinates. To prove (i), we we write $\nabla^{2}$ as (see back cover of Jackson)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \nabla^{2}=\frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(r^{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right)+\frac{1}{r^{2} \sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\left(\sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\right)+\frac{1}{r^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \varphi^{2}} \\
\Rightarrow & \nabla^{2} \frac{1}{r}=\frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{d}{d r}\left(r^{2} \frac{d}{d r} \frac{1}{r}\right)=-\frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{d}{d r}\left(\frac{r^{2}}{r^{2}}\right)=0 \quad \text { if } r \neq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Note: $\frac{r^{2}}{r^{2}}$ is undetermined at $r=0$. However, here we are only concerned with the region $r>0$.

## Appendix B: Delta Functions (continued)

To prove (ii), we integrate $\nabla^{2} \frac{1}{r}$ over a spherical volume $V$

Note: Since $r>0$ on the spherical surface, again we do not have the problem of evaluating $r^{2} / r^{2}$ at $r=0$.
Change to a coordinate system in which $\mathbf{r}=\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}^{\prime}$ and $r=\left|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right|$. We obatin from $\nabla^{2} \frac{1}{r}=-4 \pi \delta(\mathbf{r})$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla^{2} \frac{1}{\left|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right|}=-4 \pi \delta\left(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right) \tag{B.11}
\end{equation*}
$$




The figure above shows the scattering of an incident particle ( $m, q$ ) by a stationary target $\left(q_{0}\right)$ for different impact parameters $(p)$. Note that for $p=0$, the incident particle will be reflected (i.e. $180^{\circ}$ deflection) upon reaching the distance of closest approach $p_{0}$.

Since the particle moves in a static electric field, its final velocity $\mathbf{v}_{f}$ (at $t=\infty$ ) will be equal in magnitude to the initial velocity $\mathbf{v}_{i}$ (at $t=$ $-\infty)$, i.e. $\left|\mathbf{v}_{f}\right|=\left|\mathbf{v}_{i}\right|=v_{0}$, but oriented at an angle $\varphi$ with respect to $\mathbf{v}_{i}$. ${ }_{69}$

Appendix C: Rutherford Scattering (continued)


Our main interest here is to express the scattering angle $\varphi$ as a function of $p$. From the triangle (righe figure) formed of $\mathbf{v}_{f}, \mathbf{v}_{i}$, and $\Delta \mathbf{v}\left(=\mathbf{v}_{f}-\mathbf{v}_{i}\right)$ and the equality $\left|\mathbf{v}_{f}\right|=\left|\mathbf{v}_{i}\right|=v_{0}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta v=\left|\mathbf{v}_{f}-\mathbf{v}_{i}\right|=2 v_{0} \sin \frac{\varphi}{2} \tag{C.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Refer now to the left figure. Lines AB and BC are tangential to the the orbits at $t=-\infty$ and $t=\infty$, respectively. Since the angular momentum $\left(L=m p v_{0}\right)$ is conserved in the central-force field, the orbit must be symmetric about the line, which equally divides the angle $\angle \mathrm{ABC}$. 70

$\Delta \mathbf{v}$ is due to the impulse of the Coulomb force $\mathbf{F}: \Delta \mathbf{v}=\frac{1}{m} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathbf{F}(t) d t$.
By symmetry of the orbit, $\Delta \mathbf{v}$ must be along the symmetry line.
Thus, we need only to evaluate the magnitude of $\Delta \mathbf{v}$ along this line:
$\Delta v=\frac{1}{m} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F \cos \theta d t$. [ $\theta:$ angle between $\mathbf{F}$ and the symmetry line]
Writing $d t=\frac{d t}{d \theta} d \theta$ and noting $\theta(t= \pm \infty)= \pm \frac{1}{2}(\pi-\varphi)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta v=\frac{1}{m} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F \cos \theta d t=\frac{1}{m} \int_{-\frac{1}{2}(\pi-\varphi)}^{\frac{1}{2}(\pi-\varphi)} F \cos \theta \frac{d t}{d \theta} d \theta \tag{C.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Conservation of angular momentum requires $m r^{2} \frac{d \theta}{d t}=m p v_{0}$,
which gives $\quad \frac{d t}{d \theta}=\frac{r^{2}}{v_{0} p}$
Sub. (C.3) into (C.2) $\left[\Delta v=\frac{1}{m} \int_{-\frac{1}{2}(\pi-\varphi)}^{\frac{1}{2}(\pi-\varphi)} F \cos \theta \frac{d t}{d \theta} d \theta\right]$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta v & =\frac{1}{m} \int_{-\frac{1}{2}(\pi-\varphi)}^{\frac{1}{2}(\pi-\varphi)} F \frac{r^{2}}{v_{0} p} \cos \theta d \theta=\frac{q q_{0}}{m v_{0} p} \int_{-\frac{1}{2}(\pi-\varphi)}^{\frac{1}{2}(\pi-\varphi)} \cos \theta d \theta \\
& =\frac{q q_{0}}{m v_{0} p} 2 \cos \frac{\varphi}{2} \quad F=\frac{q q_{0}}{r^{2}} v_{0} \frac{q, m}{I^{p}} \tag{C.4}
\end{align*}
$$

From (C.4) and (C.1) $\left[\Delta v=2 v_{0} \sin \frac{\varphi}{2}\right]$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{0} \sin \frac{\varphi}{2}=\frac{q q_{0}}{m v_{0} p} \cos \frac{\varphi}{2} \Rightarrow \tan \frac{\varphi}{2}=\frac{p_{0}}{2 p} \quad\left[p_{0} \equiv \frac{2 q q_{0}}{m v_{0}^{2}}\right] \tag{C.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

(C.5) was derived by Rutherford in 1911 to show that the nuclear model of the atom (the positive charge in an atom is concentrated in a small nucleus) was the only model that could explain the measured results of $\alpha$ particle scattering in passing through a thin foil.

